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Introduction
The Clinical Learning Environment (CLE) is a multi-faceted concept that is currently underrepresented in the CanMEDS physician competency framework.1 Healthcare environments are built on the foundational tenet of person and relationship-centered care, and we must reflect upon how the concurrent objectives of delivering patient care and implementing educational programs may compete for the attention of learners and faculty. Exploration of these tensions will enable the design and implementation of interventions that are responsive to the needs of all citizens in the learning environment including patients, families, communities, and the healthcare workforce, including learners.

Hierarchies and power asymmetries are pervasive elements of healthcare systems, and the CLE more broadly, that require further attention2. Like two sides of the same coin, how interventions are designed within the CLE, can foster functional or dysfunctional teams and healthcare delivery. Creating inclusive, psychologically safe healthcare environments ensures improved patient safety and experience, supportive team dynamics, and professional development for learners and all members of the team; CanMEDS must reflect these objectives.

What is the Clinical Learning Environment and why is it important to physician competency?
The CLE has been defined as “...social interactions, organizational cultures and structures, and physical and virtual spaces that surround and shape participants’ experiences, perceptions, and learning.”3 Other definitions reference “the overlapping space between the work environment...and the educational context.”4 These definitions span the learner continuum (undergraduate, postgraduate, and continuing medical education) as well as contexts of care, and consider all aspects of the CLE including architectural boundaries, digital spaces, sociocultural aspects, educational curricula, diversity and inclusion, psychological theories of learning, and communities of practice.5 These definitions cast the CLE as a complex overarching structure—one that influences the norms, behaviours, and unspoken codes of conduct that touch on all CanMEDS competencies. The interpersonal is tightly linked with the systemic: people inform and create norms, while systems in turn, enable and influence behaviours. Therefore, acknowledging the CLE as an enabler of all CanMEDS 2025 competencies is critical to ensuring that individuals appreciate how they may influence healthcare and medical training programs, and how these programs, in turn, shape all members of the healthcare workforce.

Patient safety, learner experience, the need for public trust, and calls for increased accountability within the healthcare system (and across society, more generally) are the impetuses for a critical and ongoing examination of the
Policy changes have resulted from patient safety incidents and subsequent advocacy for improvement in postgraduate medical training environments. Community-based movements have put a spotlight on persisting inequities in healthcare and medical education. The literature has described how overlapping systems of oppression are upheld directly through medical curricula and are perpetuated by a hidden curriculum that allows and even enables disrespect, exclusion, racism, and mistreatment. The many power asymmetries that exist in academic medicine, both through the inherently hierarchical nature of medical training but also through societal axes of oppression, influence every learner interaction. The high prevalence of intimidation, discrimination, and harassment faced by medical learners and faculty reminds us that the CLE is largely socially constructed, and it is our moral and fiduciary responsibility to shape and change it. Learners and faculty alike have the potential to be positive change agents and contribute meaningfully to the CLE.

Various evidence-based measures of the CLE have been published. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education has already incorporated formal review of the CLE into their accreditation processes—a review process, known as the Clinical Learning Environment Review (CLER) Program, provides insight into both the status of American accredited institutions’ CLEs and future practice styles of their graduates. Similarly, the Canadian Residency Accreditation Consortium has also prioritized acknowledging and integrating equity, diversity, inclusion, and other learning environment considerations directly into the postgraduate medical education accreditation process. This speaks to the need for the CLE to be formally acknowledged within CanMEDS 2025, bringing the physician competency framework into alignment with accreditation standards.

How is the Clinical Learning Environment represented in the 2015 CanMEDS competency framework?

Our working group identified CLE-specific Enabling Competencies within the Scholar, Leader, and Professional Roles (Table 1A and 1B). Since the 2015 CanMEDS framework was drafted, there have been increased calls for accountability across Canadian society related to community-based movements such as #MeToo, #BlackLivesMatter, and Truth and Reconciliation, among others. These movements have direct relevance to healthcare as they reflect lived experiences of healthcare teams and, importantly, patients, families, and communities. Historically, although consideration has been given to the social dimensions of the CLE, greater interrogation of the role that power, privilege, and social location play in enabling or disrupting the norms that comprise the CLE is required. Understanding the CLE, and how physicians and other health care providers shape it through their every action (and inaction), will require updates to competencies across multiple CanMEDS Roles.

How can the Clinical Learning Environment be better represented within the 2025 CanMEDS competency framework?

There is room to more directly incorporate concepts that are central to the CLE in the forthcoming CanMEDS 2025 revisions (Table 1C). While we acknowledge that the CLE is not a ‘skill’ in the traditional sense, it frames notions of psychological safety, cultural humility, and institutional culture change that other CanMEDS competencies would support.

Psychological safety can be defined as “the degree to which learners…perceive their work environment as conducive to engaging in behaviours that have inherent intrapersonal risk.” Psychologically safe learning environments have positive impacts on learning, communication, team cohesion, collaboration, patient experiences, and outcomes. Being explicit about fostering psychological safety is critically important to promoting a growth mindset, a characteristic that is necessary in competency-based training and contrasts with the shame-based teaching approaches that remain pervasive in medicine.
Cultural humility reflects a lifelong commitment to self-reflection and critique. It involves cultivating an awareness of one’s social location, recognizing one’s limitations in fully understanding the experiences of others, viewing others as experts of their own experiences, and fostering trust-based relationships. Cultural humility involves developing knowledge, skills, and attitudes grounded in anti-racism and trauma-informed approaches, with commitment to ongoing learning and unlearning.

Finally, we all have a collective responsibility to be intentional about building and supporting a healthcare workforce that is representative of, and responsive to, the needs of our diverse population. This goal requires all healthcare providers to be part of creating institutional
culture change to create environments in which the current representation gap is eliminated, and everyone feels like they belong.

The tendency to view the CLE as an apolitical entity has been to the detriment of academic medicine’s ability to meet the needs of the learners, teachers, patients, families, and communities that we serve today. CanMEDS 2025 offers us an opportunity to bring much needed nuance to how we think about the CLE and how we proactively support the acquisition and maintenance of the competencies needed to ensure inclusive and psychologically safe environments for all and most importantly, the best possible experiences and outcomes for our patients, families, and communities. Given its wide-reaching scope, the CLE will naturally touch on all the CanMEDS competencies, and we anticipate overlap in our recommendations with other working groups. The importance of select competencies will be readily identified by observing how they are amplified or converge across working group efforts.

As a self-regulating profession, we must hold both learners and faculty accountable to these re-imagined competencies relating to the CLE. This accountability will require resources for designing, implementing, and evaluating how these competencies are acquired, practiced, and taught.
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