

# Curating Ethnomusicology in Cyberworlds for Ethnomusicological Research: World Music in Wonderland

Michael Frishkopf, Michael Cohen and Rasika Ranaweera

Volume 37, Number 1, 2015

Exposer les paysages sonores  
Exhibiting Soundscapes

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1039658ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7202/1039658ar>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Association Canadienne d'Ethnologie et de Folklore

ISSN

1481-5974 (print)

1708-0401 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this article

Frishkopf, M., Cohen, M. & Ranaweera, R. (2015). Curating Ethnomusicology in Cyberworlds for Ethnomusicological Research: World Music in Wonderland. *Ethnologies*, 37(1), 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1039658ar>

Article abstract

We describe a musical cyberworld as a virtual space for curating ethnomusicology, as well as for conducting research: the ethnomusicology of controlled musical cyberspaces. Our cyberworld differs from most online music curation in enabling immersive, social experience. Considering such cyber-exhibition of ethnomusicological research as itself a form of social and musical practice also calls for an ethnomusicology of such exhibits. Research in ethnomusicology has typically been conducted through qualitative fieldwork in uncontrolled settings. By contrast, we design a custom musical cyberworld as a virtual ethnomusicological laboratory, a platform for research geared towards better ways of designing online musical exhibitions for discovery, learning, and aesthetic contemplation, as well as contributing towards our general understanding of the role of music in human interaction and community formation.

**CURATING ETHNOMUSICOLOGY IN CYBERWORLDS FOR  
ETHNOMUSICOLOGICAL RESEARCH**  
*World Music in Wonderland*

**Michael Frishkopf**

*University of Alberta*

**Michael Cohen**

*University of Aizu*

**Rasika Ranaweera**

*Aizu Laboratory Inc.*

**Introduction: Ethnomusicology of, and through, cyberworlds**

Ethnomusicology can be defined as the branch of the human sciences that studies music in its social-cultural contexts, especially the ways in which people interact through shared musical experience and discourse about music, and how music thereby facilitates the emergence of social groups and communities (Nettl, 2005). Methodologically, ethnomusicology centers on qualitative research, mainly ethnographic fieldwork relying upon participant-observation and informal interview techniques (Fine, 2001; Barz and Cooley, 2008). Variables typically cannot be controlled.

Cyberworlds open new avenues for ethnomusicological research. A cyberworld is an online social space, with implications for real-world social interaction and culture-formation. Cyberworlds can model the real world, but are also embedded within it. Cyberworlds are thus of tremendous interest to many scholars working in the social sciences and the humanities (Kong, 2001; Taylor, 1997). As cyberworlds incorporating music become increasingly prominent (especially in multiuser videogames), the task of studying them falls to ethnomusicology. The ethnomusicologist seeks to comprehend social dimensions of musical cyberworlds, to enhance their musical functions, and to further understand music in social-cultural contexts more generally, since cyberworlds are closely related to the real world, and impact it strongly.

Indeed, this task has already begun, with several ethnomusicological studies of online communities and virtual gaming (Lysloff, 2003; Miller, 2007), as well as reflections on the virtual fieldwork enterprise (Cooley, Meizel and Syed, 2008). However, until now ethnomusicologists have studied “naturally occurring” cyberworlds, rather than constructing cyberworld laboratories expressly for research. For the most part, ethnomusicology has not relied on controlled experimentation at all. Subject matter, methodology, and technological limitations have largely precluded ethnomusicologists (like historians) from this sort of scientific research, by which variables may be manipulated and their relationships examined.

Now it is not only possible to build a cyberworld as the focus for ethnomusicological research, but necessary as well, since cyberworlds now comprise a significant component of contemporary sociomusical reality, including musical social media and multiuser videogames. Musical cyberworlds can enable a new paradigm for ethnomusicology. Instead of observing musical interactions in the world-as-encountered, one can study a virtual world whose parameters are, to a great extent, under the researcher’s control. Such a cyberworld becomes a laboratory for ethnomusicological research, and a means of better understanding other musical cyberworlds, providing, for the first time, a controlled environment for ethnomusicology.

“World Music in Wonderland”<sup>1</sup> (hereafter “WMiW”) is a virtual reality groupware environment – a cyberworld in which each user is represented as an avatar, capable of walking/teleporting, conducting voice/text chats with other users, and listening to spatialized audio/music. Each track, positioned by a visual marker, broadcasts looped sonic content within an audio sphere (its “nimbus”). Within this virtual space (as shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3), each real-world user appears as (one or more) avatars. As in the familiar video game paradigm, avatars are capable of moving (walking, flying, or teleporting), communicating (via speech or text) with other users, listening to spatialized audio, and browsing metadata; real-world users receive sensory inputs corresponding to the immersive binaural experience of their corresponding avatars. WMiW is built upon “Open Wonderland,”<sup>2</sup> a pure Java framework (originally developed as “Project Wonderland” by Sun Microsystems, now supported by an independent foundation) for creating collaborative 3D virtual worlds (Kaplan and Yankelovich, 2011)

---

1. <http://diversitycapebreton.ca/it/visit-virtual-cape-breton>

2. <http://openwonderland.org>




Figure 1. World Music in Wonderland (WMIW) is a distributed groupware environment incorporating audio clips, ancillary artwork, metadata, and virtual landscapes and cityscapes, enabling collaborative information seeking through virtual reality style music browsing. This extensible system defines a virtual audio-visual space comprising a series of maps and a built environment (streets, buildings, rooms), populated by collections of sonic tracks (speech, music, sound), together with metadata.

like “Second Life.”<sup>3</sup> WMIW builds upon technology and experience resulting from an earlier Wonderland-based research project, Folkways in Wonderland (FiW), which enables virtual explorations of Smithsonian Folkways albums, positioned on a giant cylindrical map.<sup>4</sup>

To enter the WMIW cyberworld, a user connects to a public server hosted over the Internet (currently deployed in Canada<sup>5</sup> and Japan<sup>6</sup>) using a web browser, and downloads our extended Wonderland client. After authentication, the user can explore music in multiple ways, including visually (dereferencing placemarks and bookmarks or browsing a map), auditorily (entering a track’s “nimbus” or sonic sphere, as described in Greenhalgh and Benford, 1995), and socially (through discussions with other users). The system is collaborative: multiple avatars can enter a space, audition track samples, and contribute their own sounds (typically speech) to the mix via voice chat. By default, avatars can directionally hear within a space all sound sources (musical tracks and sounds produced by other avatars), attenuated for distance and mixed according to a spatial sound engine that emulates binaural hearing. Avatar-represented users are free to explore the cyberworld, using keyboard and mouse/trackball/trackpad controls to navigate through the surrounding virtual environment

3. <http://secondlife.com>

4. <http://bit.ly/cybersound>

5. <http://artl-web002.artsrn.ualberta.ca:8080>

6. <http://sicily.u-aizu.ac.jp:8080>




Figure 2. Exploring World Music in Wonderland.

(including galleries, streets, and nature, as seen in Figure 2), while interacting with one another and listening to music. When tracks are near each other, overlapping nimbus projections create a dense mix, which is appropriate when exploring an entire collection by moving one's avatar among distributed songs. However, in order to listen to a particular track, an auditory focus function is available which causes other musical streams to be blocked. When the audition of music is disturbed by cacophony from nearby tracks, such “narrowcasting” operations can be invoked to refine one's soundscape (Alam, Cohen, Villegas and Ahmed, 2009; Fernando, Adachi, Duminduardena, Kawaguchi and Cohen, 2006). An exotic multipresence feature (Cohen, 2000) allows the user to be simultaneously represented in the cyberworld by more than one avatar, for radically flexible avatar deployment.

## Related Research

Our system integrates various functionalities that are typically offered only separately by more specialized programs. In this section, we consider several classes of such focused applications.

### *Music Information Retrieval*

Finding a particular recording is generally supported by traditional search interfaces via metadata (Hughes and Kamat, 2005), but there is a growing need for improving search techniques via different information retrieval strategies. Damm, Fremerey, Kurth, Müller, and Clausen (2008) introduced a novel user interface for multimodal (audiovisual) music

presentation as well as intuitive browsing and navigation. Many music search engines exist. For instance, Musipedia<sup>7</sup> offers melody search functions. Similarly, the Music Ngram Viewer<sup>8</sup> encodes songs for look-up. The Folktune Finder<sup>9</sup> also has melody and contour search. MusicSim (Chen and Butz, 2009) uses audio analysis techniques and user feedback for browsing and organizing large music collections. Although most such applications and interfaces facilitate locating music and visualizing collections, it is also important to take into account what information is desired and how that information will be used after retrieval (Downie, 2002). The mobile music player by Kuhn, Wattenhofer, and Welten (2010) incorporates several smart interfaces to access larger personal music collections and visualize content using similarity maps.

### *Social (Distributed) Music Audition*

Many research systems have been developed for music consumption, both stand-alone and distributed, of which work by Frank, Lidy, Peiszer, Genswaidner, and Rauber (2008) is representative. Boustead and Safaei (2004) compare various architectures for delivery of streamed audio, including techniques for optimization based on similarity of distribution of avatars in a virtual space with that of human players in the real world. Such groupware systems are instances of collaboration technology for synchronous but distributed (not collocated) sessions.

The major commercial labels haven't fully capitalized on the way many people really consume, share, and experience digital music. Napster anticipated distributed music sharing, but presented an asynchronous experience. Many people, especially younger listeners, enjoy music through networked music audition services. Such systems often offer social media features, generalized as "groupware" among human-computer interaction researchers and scientists. For instance, Last.fm promotes "scrobbling," publishing one's music-listening habits to the Internet, to monitor when and how often certain songs are played, but such journaling is an asynchronous practice. SongPop<sup>10</sup> is a social multiplayer online music identification game, in which players compete against others in real time to identify song snippets. (In 2012 it was the highest-rated game on Facebook.<sup>11</sup>) Both

7. <http://www.musipedia.org>

8. <http://www.peachnote.com>

9. <http://www.folktunefinder.com>

10. <http://www.songpop.fm>

11. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SongPop>

Shazam<sup>12</sup> and SoundHound<sup>13</sup> feature real-time maps of music neighbors and other users are listening to, as “My Music” and “Explore,” respectively.

In the future, online communities, currently used primarily for interactive 3D social interaction and online video games, will be increasingly used for browsing media, listening to live performances, or even performing together. The primary example of such a not-quite-mainstream environment is Second Life, which allows virtual concerts and runs from a distributed network of 40,000 servers (but might eventually be eclipsed by its founder’s subsequent immersive environment venture, High Fidelity<sup>14</sup>). Although network and processing latency precludes a totally satisfying real-time experience for globally distributed online musicians, prerecorded tracks (such as those served by WMiW) can be streamed for a “concert-like” experience. Boustead, Safaei, and Dowlatshahi (2005) considers server-side optimization of compiled soundscapes, including accommodation of limited bandwidth and soundscape compilation distribution to clients for load-sharing. For a perfect network, running at the speed of light, packets would take about 100 ms to get halfway around the world (“worst best case”), which delay would be fine for conversations, but probably distractingly audible for distributed performance.

WMiW music search features are limited to text-based search on its tracks’ metadata tags. What distinguishes WMiW from the above-described applications is its multimedia, social character: collaborative music audition, integrated text chat, voice chat, spatial music rendering, and figurative presence and natural spatial navigation, for real-time, interactive, dynamic consultation and an immersive experience.

Our system is an instance of social music browsing, or distributed music audition, allowing collaborative music exploration and ethnomusicological journeys, realizing some of Alan Lomax’s vision of a “Global Jukebox” (Lomax, 1997). Crossing groupware social audition with music information retrieval yields collaborative music information seeking, which is what WMiW is intended to foster.

### **WMiW Architecture**

Our music browser is implemented as a module in Open Wonderland. The Wonderland framework consists of the “Darkstar” game server, which

---

12. <http://www.shazam.com>

13. <http://www.soundhound.com>

14. <https://highfidelity.io>

provides a platform for Wonderland to track the frequently updated states of objects in the world, and ‘jVoiceBridge,’ a pure Java open source audio mixing application, which communicates directly with the Darkstar server, providing server-side mixing of high-fidelity, immersive audio (Kaplan and Yankelovich, 2011).




Figure 3. A typical World Music in Wonderland session: In the upper-center window, a user browses metadata for a selected track (located in Sydney, Nova Scotia ) in the left window. Buttons allow the user to listen via virtual headphones (excluding competing sounds), find the track on the web portal, teleport to the origin of the track, search for other tracks, or view the track location on a zoomable OSM (OpenStreetMap, upper right). The user may also embark on a tour, using a window like that shown in the lower right. The metadata window shows details of the musical track last clicked by the user.


Artistic, geographic, audio-related, and generic information describing the “Diversity Cape Breton” music collection is curated in XML (Extensible Markup Language) format, an open standard maintained by the w3C (World Wide Web Consortium<sup>15</sup>) for interoperable unicode documents (Lam, Ding and Liu, 2008), conforming to MX: IEEE 1599 (Baggi and Haus, 2009), a comprehensive, multilayered music description standard. Music has been notated and annotated for centuries in many cultures with symbols, but modern attempts have been made to create standards based on XML. MML<sup>16</sup> (Music Markup Language) is a syntax for encoding different kinds of music-related information, whereas MUSICXML<sup>17</sup> is designed for the exchange of

15. <https://www.w3.org>

16. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Music\\_Markup\\_Language](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Music_Markup_Language)

17. <http://www.musicxml.com>





#### SCORES.

Figure 4. Metadata display window: The ‘Track Details’ tab shows song information, the ‘Playlist’ tab displays the entire collection as an outline, and the ‘History’ tab lists tracks visited by the user. Other operations, invoked by buttons at the bottom, allow (left to right, respectively) auditory focus by auditioning a single track, browsing selected track information at the web portal,<sup>18</sup> teleporting to the origin of a track, muting a track, and ‘opening map window’ with an OpenStreetMap (OSM) to provide detailed, zoomable, topographic information. Narrowcasting state of a track (`mute` and `solo`) can be overridden by another narrowcasting operation. Narrowcasting state can be checked by clicking on a track or selecting a track from the “history” tab in the metadata window. When a `mute` operation is conducted, the icon changes to a slashed speaker and the album frame alters its color to red (when the track is clicked again). Subsequent clicking the button toggles the `mute` state. Similarly, the respective album frame becomes green and the headphone icon changes when the `solo` operation is invoked.

Mx, standing for musical application using XML, inherits all the features of XML — including inherent human-readability, extensibility, and durability (Ludovico, 2009) (Baratè, Haus, Ludovico and Perlasca, 2016) — and unifies features of MML and MUSICXML with some additional features, including the concept of layers. The six Mx layers, which allow integrated representation of several aspects of music, are: General, Logic, Structural, Notational, Performance, and Audio, described as follows:

- *General* – music-related metadata, including title, author, date, genre, performance, and recording information (as shown in Figure 4, left section)

18. <http://diversitycapebreton.ca>

- *Logic* – music description from a symbolic point of view
- *Structural* – identification of music objects and their mutual relationships
- *Notational* – graphical representations of a score
- *Performance* – parameters of notes played and sounds synthesized, specified by performance languages (Russo, 2008) such as MIDI
- *Audio* – digital or digitized recordings of the piece.

Even though the “Diversity Cape Breton” curation has no information corresponding to the MX logical, structural, notational, or performance layers, the schema allows empty layers (Ludovico, 2008), and there are no restrictions preventing browsing of other music collections when such information is available (as seen in Figure 5). Note that layers may contain URLs as well as directly accessed data, for extra flexibility.

### **Discussion: Curation as research platform**

Using World Music in Wonderland, a cyberworld for curating ethnomusicology, as a virtual laboratory, we pose the following question: How do social actors, represented by avatars, interact in such an immersive cyberworld when presented with a specific collaborative task? A laboratory environment enables us to control variables and thus answer — at least within this restricted domain — questions about such dependencies with rigor that cannot be achieved in the real world, through data gathering either from the panoptic perspective of system administrator, or from the narrower but deeper immersive perspective of embedded fieldworker: the participant-observer qua avatar. In particular, we are concerned with understanding the relations between two primary clusters of independent variables known by ethnomusicologists to shape the emergence of musical community: the *social* and the *musical*. Here, social variables include the number and demographic profiles of participants whose avatars inhabit the cyberworld, while musical variables include the number and kinds of music tracks that populate it. Variables within either cluster can be manipulated: the former through participant selection, the latter by loading different collections of music tracks into WMiW.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!DOCTYPE ieee1599 SYSTEM "http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/1599/1599-2008/ieee1599.dtd">
<ieee1599>
  <track>
    <general>
      <albumArtist>K.D.</albumArtist>
      <albumTitle>Susan Chernin ? Women of the Synagogue</albumTitle>
      <artURL>file:/music/ececcc/covers/Sons of Israel-Glace Bay-straight on.png</artURL>
      <trackArtist>diversitycapebreton.ca</trackArtist>
      <country>Glace Bay, Nova Scotia, Canada</country>
      <trackTitle>Susan Chernin ? Women of the Synagogue</trackTitle>
      <genre>Ukrainian children play; Ukrainian school</genre>
      <releasedIn>2014</releasedIn>
      <cultureGroups>Ukrainians in Cape Breton</cultureGroups>
      <credits>Ely Rosenblum (interviewer), ... Jana Zoric (sound editor)</credits>
      <trackCatalogNo>AU-02006.03</trackCatalogNo>
      <buildingID desc="Glace Bay Synagogue">3</buildingID>
      <LocationInBuilding>RightCenter</LocationInBuilding>
      ...
    </general>
    <audio>
      <audioURL>file:/music/ececcc/SusanChernin-WomenoftheSynagogue.mp3</audioURL>
      <length>0:54</length>
    </audio>
    <notational />
    <logic/>
    <structural/>
    <performance/>
  </track>
</track>...</track>
</ieee1599>

```

Figure 5. XML stub encoded in MX: IEEE 1599.

## Conclusion & Future Research

We have presented a novel application for listening to world music inside a virtual space. Rather than finding tracks using traditional interfaces, an avatar- or avatars-represented user can explore music immersively while adjusting their soundscape with narrowcasting. Users can invoke `mute` or `solo` functions to listen only to particular songs when cacophony might distract.

Research will, at the outset, be exploratory, but we anticipate that the present phase of cyberworld building and observation will lead to the formulation of hypotheses and, subsequently, more focused experimentation designed to test them. We believe that this process will produce results suggesting better ways of designing musical cyberworlds as a means of ethnomusicological curation, as well as a site for ethnomusicological research, a laboratory where broader principles underlying the role of music in human interaction and community formation can be studied. Controlled research in and about a custom-built musical cyberworld can

usefully supplement, though never supplant, traditional real-world fieldwork in ethnomusicology.

### **Acknowledgment**

Development of WMiW was funded primarily by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, with additional support from folkwaysAlive! at the University of Alberta.

## References

- Alam, Sabbir, Michael Cohen, Julian Villegas and Ashir Ahmed. 2009. "Narrowcasting for articulated privacy and attention in SIP audio conferencing." *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, 5(1): 12-28.
- Baggi, Denis and Goffredo Haus. 2009. "IEEE 1599: Music encoding and interaction." *IEEE Computer* 42(3): 84-87.
- Baratè. Adriano, Goffredo Haus, Luca A. Ludovico and Paolo Perlasca. 2016. "Managing intellectual property in a music fruition environment." *IEEE MultiMedia* 23(2): 84-94.
- Barz, Gregory F. and Timothy J. Cooley (eds.). 2008. *Shadows in the field: New perspectives for fieldwork in ethnomusicology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bousted, Paul and Farzad Safaei. 2004. "Comparison of delivery architectures for immersive audio in crowded networked games." In *NOSSDAV: Proc. 14th Int. Workshop on Network and Operating Systems Support for Digital Audio and Video: 22-27*. New York: ACM.
- Bousted, Paul, Farzad Safaei and Milad Dowlatshahi. 2005. "IEEE: Internet delivery of immersive voice communication for crowded virtual spaces." In *Proc. IEEE Conf. 2005 on Virtual Reality: 35-41*. Washington: IEEE Computer Society.
- Chen, Ya-Xi and Andreas Butz. 2009. "Musicsim: Integrating audio analysis and user feedback in an interactive music browsing UI." In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent User Interfaces: 429-434*. New York: ACM.
- Cohen, Michael. 2000. "Exclude and Include for Audio Sources and Sinks: Analogs of mute & solo are deafen & attend." *Presence* 9(1): 84-96.
- Cooley, Timothy J., Meizel, K., & Syed, N. 2008. "Virtual Fieldwork." In Gregory F. Barz and Timothy J. Cooley (eds.). *Shadows in the field: New perspectives for fieldwork in ethnomusicology: 90-107*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Damm, David, Christian Fremerey, Frank Kurth, Meinard Müller and Michael Clausen. 2008. "Multimodal presentation and browsing of music." In *ICMI: Proc. 10th Int. Conf. on Multimodal Interfaces: 205-208*. New York: ACM.
- Downie, J. Stephen and Sally Jo Cunningham. 2002. "Toward a theory of music information retrieval queries: System design implications." In *3rd Int. Conf. on Music Information Retrieval: 299-300*. Paris: IRCAM Centre Pompidou.
- Fernando, Owen Noel Newton, Kazuya Adachi, Uresh Duminduwardena, Makoto Kawaguchi and Michael Cohen. 2006. "Audio Narrowcasting and Privacy for Multipresent Avatars on Workstations and Mobile

- Phones.” *IEICE Trans. on Information and Systems* E89-D(1): 73-87.
- Fine, Gary A. 2001. “Participant observation.” In *International encyclopedia of the social and behavioral sciences*: 11073-11078. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.
- Frank, Jacob, Thomas Lidy, Ewald Peiszer, Ronald Genswaidner and Andreas Rauber. 2008. “Ambient music experience in real and virtual worlds using audio similarity.” In *Proc. Int. Workshop on Semantic Ambient Media Experiences*: 9-16. New York: ACM.
- Greenhalgh, Chris and Steve Benford. 1995. “Massive: a distributed virtual reality system incorporating spatial trading.” In *Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Distributed Computing Systems*: 27-34). Vancouver: IEEE.
- Hughes, Baden and Amol Kamat. 2005. “A metadata search engine for digital language archives.” *D-Lib Magazine* 11(2): 891-908.
- Kaplan, Jonathan and Nicole Yankelovich. 2011. “Open Wonderland: An extensible virtual world architecture.” *IEEE Internet Computing* 15(5): 38-45.
- Kong, Lily. 2001. “Religion and technology: Refiguring place, space, identity and community.” *Area* 33(4): 404-413.
- Kuhn, Michael, Roger Wattenhofer and Samuel Welten. 2010. “Social audio features for advanced music retrieval interfaces.” In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Multimedia*: 411-420. New York: ACM.
- Lam, Tak Cheung, Jianxun Jason Ding, and Jyh-Charn Liu. 2008. “XML document parsing: Operational and performance characteristics.” *IEEE Computer* 41: 30-37.
- Lomax, Alan. 1997. “Saga of a folksong hunter: A twenty-year odyssey with cylinder, disc and tape.” In *The Alan Lomax Collection Sampler-Rounder* CD 1700.
- Ludovico, Luca A. 2008. “Key concepts of the IEEE 1599 standard.” In *Proc. Conf. on the Use of Symbols to Represent Music and Multimedia Objects*: 15-26. Lugano, Switzerland: IEEE CS.
- Ludovico, Luca A. 2009. “IEEE 1599: a Multi-layer Approach to Music Description.” *Journal of Multimedia* 4(1): 9-14.
- Lysloff, René T. A. 2003. “Musical community on the internet: An online ethnography.” *Cultural Anthropology* 18(2): 233-263.
- Miller, Kiri. 2007. “Jacking the dial: Radio, race, and place in ‘Grand theft auto’.” *Ethnomusicology* 51(3): 402-438.
- Nettl, Bruno. 2005. *The study of ethnomusicology: Thirty-one issues and concepts*. Champaign: University of Illinois Press.
- Russo, Elisa. 2008. “The IEEE 1599 standard for music synthesis systems.”

In *Proc. Conf. on the Use of Symbols to Represent Music and Multimedia Objects*: 49-53. Lugano: IEEE CS.

Taylor, Jonathan. 1997. "The emerging geographies of virtual worlds." *Geographical Review* 87(2): 172-192.