### **Geoscience Canada**

## Nuclear Waste Disposal

W. S. Fyfe

Volume 6, Number 4, December 1979

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/geocan6\_4nwd01

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

The Geological Association of Canada

ISSN 0315-0941 (pr

0315-0941 (print) 1911-4850 (digital)

Explore this journal

Cite this article

Fyfe, W. S. (1979). Nuclear Waste Disposal. Geoscience Canada, 6(4), 189–189.

All rights reserved © The Geological Association of Canada, 1979

érudit

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/


#### This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/



# Nuclear Waste Disposal



W. S. Fyfe Department of Geology University of Western Ontario London, Ontario N6A 5B7

#### Introduction

It is perhaps most unfortunate that in the world of energy we have recently seen a strong polarization into the pro and anti nukes. It now seems that if you are involved with any aspect of the nuclear fuel cycle you are considered pro and if you refuse to be involved then its anti. The fact is that we have a rapidly increasing mass of nuclear garbage from both electricity production and weapons production. While many in our society wish to see a slow down in nuclear electricity production, few would agree that in the present situation we should cease all interest in nuclear weapons. The waste must be safely stored. Earth Scientists from almost the entire spectrum of our subject must become involved. We need quaternary geologists, hydrogeologists (or fluid-flow geologists), hydrothermal geologists, geophysicists, geochemicsts, plate tectonics experts, fracture experts, and one can go on. Man has never before faced the problem of burying garbage with a 107 year dangerous life.

The problem is not just nuclear. There are other industrial wastes, some with an infinite toxic life. And as global population reaches 10 billion next century, and hopefully as the global standard of life improves, the present problems will multiply at an alarming rate. We must begin work now.

At the Fredericton meeting of the CGU we attempted to bring together a group of workers who would consider a few of the basic questions. Some of these contributions are presented in this issue of Geoscience Canada.