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Summary
The growth of the dacite dome at Mount St. Helens between 1980 and 1986 has been more intensively studied than that of any other dome-building eruption. The growth has been complex in detail, but remarkably regular overall. This paper summarizes some of what has been learned and provides many references to additional information. Whether dome building has ended is an open question, particularly in view of the renewed, though minor, explosive activity of late 1989 and early 1990.

Introduction
Volcanic activity continued intermittently through the decade at Mount St. Helens following the catastrophic events of May 18, 1980. This long-lasting dacite eruption took place in 21 distinct eruptive episodes, each preceded by increasing seismicity and rates of ground deformation. Sub-plinian eruption columns and minor pyroclastic flows characterized the episodes of May 25, June 12, July 22, August 7, and October 16-18, 1980. Small dacite domes formed after the June and August explosions, but were largely destroyed by the July and October explosions, respectively (Moore et al., 1981). A longer-lived, composite dome began to grow within a few minutes after the last explosion of October 18. Since then, 16 different dome-building episodes have taken place. Most of these episodes lasted only several days and were separated by quiet periods of a few weeks to 1 year; however, two of the dome-building episodes were complex, each lasting at least two distinct lobes of dacite to the dome during periods of prolonged activity lasting three weeks (March-April 1982) and one year (February 1983-February 1984), respectively. At the time of writing (May 1990), the dome has not grown since October 1986, although small phreatic explosions occurred in December 1989 and January and April 1990.

General Physical Characteristics
The dome presently is about 1060 m by 860 m wide, rises 267 m above its vent, and has a volume (including flanking talus) of about 74 x 10^6 m^3 (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990). The total volume of all erupted material, including tephra and debris removed from the dome by explosions and large rockfalls, is about 77 x 10^6 m^3. The dome formed in a shallow depression on the floor of the inner crater that was created on May 18, 1980. With time, the dome filled the depression and eventually spread onto a northward slope averaging about 13°. At present, the north base of the dome is about 83 m below the vent, and the total relief on the dome is about 350 m.

Typical episodes of dome building produced volumes of 1.2-4.5 x 10^6 m^3; the largest two episodes resulted in 5.8 and 6.1 x 10^6 m^3 (in May and October 1986, respectively). Most episodes consisted of both endogenous (internal) and exogenous (extrusive) growth. The volumetric ratio of endogenous to exogenous growth increased over time as the dome became larger and more able to store new magma. Endogenous growth probably accounts for 30-40% of the dome overall and more than 70% of the volume added during 1985-86.

The extrusions formed short (200-400 m), thick (20-40 m) lava flows, termed lobes, that piled up on one another and generally did not reach the crater floor before crumbling into hot talus (Swanson et al., 1987a, figures 3 and 5). Most of the lobes were fed from the summit area of the dome, but several came from eccentric vents high on the flanks. The lobes moved so slowly generally less than 5 cm per minute, that their advance could be seen only through a theodolite telescope or with time-lapse photography. Effective viscosities of 10^6-10^12 Pa s were calculated from measured flow rates on known slopes. Many lobes have subvertical columnar jointing exposed in the walls of explosion craters (Figure 1). Most lobes have a one to two metre-thick scoriaceous carapace and a relatively dense interior (Swanson et al., 1987a, figure 4), but each lobe extruded in September 1984 and later either lacked such a carapace or had only patchy scoria. This change in pattern has been ascribed to increased pre-eruption degassing starting in September 1984 (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990; Anderson and Fink, 1989); such degassing may take place primarily in the ascending magma column. If it stalls for a few days within a few hundred metres of the surface before erupting (Anderson and Fink, 1989, 1990).

Ground Deformation
Remarkable ground deformation, accompanied by seismicity (Malone et al., 1983; Endo et al., in press) and distinctive thermal patterns on the dome (Swanson et al., 1985, p. 412), preceded each episode of dome growth. These events initially recorded the early rise of magma and resurfiling slow endogenous growth of the dome. Presumably this magma had been stored in the feeder conduit since the previous period of extrusion. Displacement rates of the dome and surrounding crater floor, and rates of seismic energy release, accelerated almost exponentially until rapid endogenous growth (as rapid as 2.3 x 10^4 m^3 per day for several hours) and extrusion began (Chadwick et al., 1983; Swanson et al., 1983). Entry of magma into the dome caused spreading and subsidence; only rarely did slight uplift occur. The subsidence reflects the relatively low shear strength of the hot core of the dome.

Deformation of the adjacent crater floor resulted in radial extension cracks and tangential thrust faults (Figure 2). Thrusting was directed away from the dome, and thrust blocks were bounded by radial cracks that exhibited early extension and late strike-slip (tear) displacement (Chadwick et al., 1983; Chadwick and Swanson, 1989). Displacement rates of tens of centimetres per day were common on the thrusts during the final days before extrusion. Finite-element modelling (Chadwick et al., 1988) suggests that deformation of the crater floor could have resulted from shear stress along the conduit walls generated by ascending magma with a high effective viscosity. All deformation took place within a few hundred metres of the dome, and the flanks of the volcano recorded no displacement above measurement error (Ivaturu et al., in press). These observations indicate that any deep magma body, if present, exerted little or no influence on ground-surface deformation.

Ascent Rates and Earth Tides
A currently unresolved conflict exists regarding the depth of magma storage and the rate of magma ascent to the surface. Seismic evidence suggests that magma rose into the dome from a depth of 1-2 km at a rate of about 25-40 m per hour, although earthquakes occur in a depth range of 0.5 to 13 km (Endo et al., in press). Amphibole-stability relations suggest deeper storage (7 km) and more rapid rise rates (65-70 m per hour) (Hill and Rutherford, 1989).

Dome-building episodes and their precursory periods tended to begin 3-5 days after the fortnightly earth-tide maximum at new or full moon (Swanson et al., 1987b). Apparently the magmatic system was in such delicate balance that, at a critical stress level, small, but rapidly changing, tidal-stress loads could "trigger" precursory events culminating in episodes of dome growth. No tidal influence on the timing of small explosions is apparent.
Figure 1 Vertically columnar dacite lobe exposed in wall of crater formed by sector collapse in February 1983 and enlarged by explosions throughout 1983. The explosions deposited 5 m of tephra (dark) above lobe.

Figure 2 (a) (middle) Small wrinkle, an incipient thrust fault, on crater floor. Some wrinkles developed into large thrusts as in Figure 2b.

(b) (lower) Toe of large thrust fault (Outward Bound thrust; Chadwick and Swanson, 1986) formed in 1982 on crater floor. Observer points toward dome. This fault enlarged from a wrinkle similar to that in Figure 2a in about 1 week. Large blocks were emplaced by rockfalls from dome and by relatively large explosions.
Regularities in Growth Patterns

Certain aspects of dome growth were quite regular despite the episodic activity (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990). The long-term volumetric growth rate was approximately constant during three stages: A, $1.8 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ per month from October 1980 to the end of 1981; B, $1.3 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ per month from March 1982 to March 1984; and C, $0.6 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ per month from March 1984 to October 1986. The switch from one stage to the next reflected changes in style of eruption, magma composition, or associated seismicity. For example, the switch from stage A to stage B coincides with the start of a weakly defined increase in SiO$_2$ content with time (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990) and with an unusual period of deep earthquakes (Weaver et al., 1983) that culminated in a magmatic explosion on March 19, 1982 (Watson and McLeod, 1987), ending the longest period of inactivity to that time. The switch from stage B to stage C corresponds with the end of a year of continuous growth (Swanson et al., 1987a), broadly correlates with proportionately greater endogenous growth during eruptive episodes, and possibly correlates with increased pre-extrusion degassing as suggested by less scoriaceous lobes (Andersen and Fink, 1989). Moreover, the recurrence interval between eruptive episodes changed from stage to stage; during stage A the interval was roughly constant (63 to 14 days), but during stages B and C the recurrence intervals each lengthened exponentially (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990). These correlations are unexplained, but most likely reflect processes within the magma reservoir itself, rather than external processes such as changes in regional tectonic stress rates or orientations.

The long-term growth rates were approximately linear and volume predictable during each growth stage, with standard deviations (s.d.) of $10^6$ m$^3$ or less (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990). In this context, volume predictability (the ability to predict the volume produced by an event on the basis of the time elapsed since the previous event) is best ascribed to gradually increasing magma pressure being an event and rapidly decreasing magma pressure during the event. The reduced supply rate with time implies slower buildup of magma pressure, which is primarily a function of volatile content. Slower buildup suggests that the parent magma was "running out of gas" during the early and mid-1980s. Correlation-spectrometer (CO-SPEC) measurements of the gas plume from the volcano indicate that the discharge of SO$_2$ drastically lowered between 1980 and 1984 and remained near or at the detection limit of 5-20 tonnes per day until measurements were discontinued in 1988 (Case-Devall et al., 1983; Cascades Volcano Observatory, unpublished data). This pattern suggests that either the gas content of the parent magma was lowering during the early 1980s or that the amount of magma remain-

ing in the reservoir was declining owing to eruption, solidification, or lateral drainage. Another factor in reducing the rate of growth may have been the increasing crystallinity of the magma (Cashman, 1988; written communication, 1990), which would have decreased the mobility of the magma and made it more difficult to erupt.

The diameter and height of the dome varied predictably with time. Ratios of height to diameter generally ranged from 0.227 to 0.292. These ratios agree with those of Japanese domes (Moriya, 1978) and are less than the upper limit of 0.32 derived from Moriya’s data, equivalent to the repose angle of talus. The shape of the dome is likely controlled by the net effective viscosity and tensile strength of the hot core, cool outer shell, and flanking talus. Modelling by Iverson (1990) suggests that the outer shell is the most important factor.

Magnetic Studies and Rate of Cooling

The magnetic-field anomaly associated with the dome is intensifying with time faster than can be explained by conductive cooling (Dzurisin et al., 1990). Convective loss of heat to circulating air, rain, and snowmelt is demanded, consistent with the observed seasonal variation in cooling rate. Dzurisin et al. (1990) conclude that the magnetized carapace of the dome thickens at rates of 0.02-0.04 m per day, mainly by convective heat loss along fractures that propagate downward during cooling. Fracturing associated with dome growth greatly accelerates cooling, so that parts of the magnetized carapace thicken as rapidly as 0.3 m per day. They estimate that the dome will be completely magnetized (cooled below the blocking temperature of about 350°C) in 18-36 years, provided that it remains inactive.

Explosions and Rockfalls

Minor explosions initiated seven dome-building episodes, and more than 420 small, unpredicted rockfalls took place between episodes of dome building. Small craters were excavated during several periods of frequent explosions (Figure 1). At other times, explosions issued from open cracks and formed no craters. Several explosions were associated with small sector-collapse events (Swanson et al., 1987a, figure 7). A typical small explosion started with rumbling sounds from within the dome, which gave nearby observers 10-15 seconds to run for cover before the explosion actually occurred. Some periods of activity were too intense for observers to be within several hundreds of metres of the dome. The ejecta consist of nonvesicular to rarely, pumiceous dacite similar to that in the dome. One 46.8 kg ballistic block was thrown 1.07 km (horizontal distance) from its vent. Much larger blocks were confined to the immediate vicinity of the dome (Figure 2b). Energy calculations for some explosions, using the methods of Wilson (1972, 1980), suggest overpressures equivalent to the weight of the dome. This suggests that groundwater was important in driving the explosions, but whether they were phreatic or phreatomagmatic is difficult to assess, and to some extent, a meaningless distinction. Is a pumice lapillus generated by decompression of the interior of the dome during a ground-water-triggered explosion considered magmatic, phreatic, or phreatomagmatic? Many explosions occurred in spring and early summer, but others occurred in the winter, and no clear relation exists between explosions and rate of snow melting. Most of the explosions occurred during slight seismic unrest — so slight, in fact, that some such periods are recognized only in hindsight. Hot ballistic ejecta from several of the larger explosions melted snow to form lahars that flowed from the crater (Swanson et al., 1987a, figures 6 and 7).

Two small explosions took place during a period of slightly heightened seismicity on December 7-10, 1989, the first eruptive activity of the dome since October 1986. Another explosion occurred on January 6, 1990, and possibly another small one (unconfirmed as of this writing) on April 25. The small explosions of December and January differed from those of comparable size in the early 1980s by causing measurable deformation of parts of the dome (SEAN, 1989a, b). The December and January explosions both produced only lithic ejecta. A small percentage of the January ejecta contains fresh brown glass unlike that of the dome, and its bulk chemical composition is slightly more mafic than that of dacite erupted from the dome since 1961 (J.S. Pallister, written communication, 1990). How this material relates to the dome and conduit system is under study.

Several large hot rockfalls during periods of rapid dome growth generated small lithic pyroclastic flows and surges that moved hundreds of metres from the base of the dome (Mellors et al., 1988). No explosions accompanied these events. Dust plumes from the large rockfalls blew tens of kilometres downwind, where their deposits resemble those of explosive ash eruptions.

Chemistry

The magmatic ejecta of 1980, including those of May 18, have an average water-free whole-rock silica content of 63.66% (s.d. = 0.27). The October 1980-October 1981 dome has an average silica content of 62.84% (s.d. = 0.34, n = 37), and the 1982-86 dome, 53.31% (s.d. = 9.45, n = 32). Silica gradually increased from the end of 1981 to the latest extrusion in October 1986, though with considerable scatter (Swanson and Holcomb, 1990). The calc-alkaline, augite-hornblende-hypersthene dacite has a large number of crystals, as much as 50 volume per cent of the dome (Cashman, 1988). It con-
tains 3-5\% inclusions, chiefly fresh gabbro (some cumulate), but including vein quartz, hornfelsed Tertiary volcanic rocks, and older Mount St. Helens dacite and andesite (Heliker, 1984). The dacite also carries single crystals, clots, and "megacrysts" derived from the inclusions or cognate to the magma. Detailed work underway by J.S. Pallister is designed to sort out the influence of these several factors on the whole-rock compositions.

**Predictions**

The repetitive nature of dome growth at Mount St. Helens, and the clear precursors before each episode of growth, led to an ability to predict the events from 1 to 3 weeks in advance (Swanson et al., 1983, 1985). All eruptive episodes after that of May 18, 1980, except for a minor eruption in June 1984, were anticipated several tens of minutes to more generally, a few hours in advance on the basis of increased seismicity. Starting in early 1981, the episodes of dome growth were foreseen first (1.5-3 wk) by accelerating ground deformation near or on the dome. Several days later, seismometers one or more kilometers away were recording increased seismicity. A seisometer was installed on the dome in early 1986 and thereafter recognized precursors at the same time or earlier than did the ground-deformation measurements. This experience suggests that, at volcanoes in general, the most sensitive and reliable monitoring data are obtained closest to the vent and should be gathered by a combination of tele-metered information and on-site measurements and observations.

The recent geologic record of Mount St. Helens suggests that it could continue to erupt episodically for many decades, despite the present period of quiet. Accordingly, monitoring at some level must be maintained for years to come. Many tourists climb the volcano throughout the year, so that — perhaps surprisingly to some — monitoring is more important now than ever before and will continue to be so until the eruption has clearly ended. Meanwhile, small explosions are possible at any time. In the immortal words of Yogi Berra, "It ain't over 'til it's over;" how we will know when it's over is an open and important question.
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