

Editorial - Volume 17, Issue Number 4

Rory McGreal

Volume 17, Number 4, June 2016

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1066198ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i4.2844>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Athabasca University Press (AU Press)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

McGreal, R. (2016). Editorial - Volume 17, Issue Number 4. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17 (4), i-iii.
<https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i4.2844>

Copyright (c), 2016 Rory McGreal



This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/>



This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

<https://www.erudit.org/en/>

June – 2016

Editorial



Rory McGreal
Co-Editor, Athabasca University

In this issue of IRRODL, we are catching up with many articles that have been too long in the pipeline. We have been very busy evaluating and then copyediting a very large number of submissions from many countries. As with the previous issue, this one is packed with 19 articles and a book review.

We begin with three articles from Africa on access, entrepreneurship, and openness. Then the focus changes to the teacher with a critique and a look at expectations and perceptions. Learning design issues are the focus of the next group of articles, including open design and guidelines. Investigations into factors affecting learning follow, including e-readiness awareness, biography, perceptions, and multilingual facilitation. Finally, mobile learning issues are addressed in the last two articles.

Chawinga and Zozie lead in this issue with their article “Increasing Access to Higher Education Through Open and Distance Learning: Empirical Findings From Mzuzu University, Malawi.” They explore the difficulties in opening access to a blended learning programme, using Moore's Transactional Distance theory to study the benefits and challenges associated with the delivery of an ODL university programme. Amadi-Echendu, Phillips, Chodokufa, and Visser, in their paper entitled “Entrepreneurial Education in a Tertiary Context: A Perspective of the University of South Africa,” explore entrepreneurship at UNISA, suggesting that more entrepreneurial education can help South Africa meet its development goals. And Muganda, Samzugi and Malinson's article, “Analytical Insights on the Position, Challenges, and Potential for Promoting OER in ODeL Institutions in Africa,” provides us with insights into the challenges and potential for promoting OER. They identify capacity building, collaboration, and visibility as enabling strategies.

Teacher education is investigated by Kruger, van Rensburg, and De Witt in another African context. In their investigation entitled “Meeting Teacher Expectations in a DL Professional Development Programme - A Case Study for Sustained Applied Competence as Programme Outcome,” they address and compare the need to meet participant expectations for a programme. In a Turkish study entitled “A Critical Look at a Blended English Language Teacher Education Program with an Emphasis on the Practicum,” Koç differentiates the problems between those associated with the student teachers and those related to the programme.

Learning design is the focus of the submission by Richardson, Besser, Koehler, Lim, and Strait in the US. Their study, “Instructors’ Perceptions of Instructor Presence in Online Learning Environments,” shows the importance of teacher presence using various communication strategies. The appropriately- titled “Design and Development of a Learning Design Virtual Internship Program” is the subject of Ruggiero and Boehm's paper. They argue that with the increase in the take-up of graduate courses by working adults, virtual internships are becoming more necessary. Ostuzzi, Conradie, De Couvreur, Detand, and Saldien, in their paper “The Role of Re-appropriation in Open Design,” use a case study to focus on re-appropriation cycles in global discussions. In the next paper, “Guidelines for Transferring Residential Courses into Web,” Tüzün and Çınar recommends a road map for adapting traditional courses for online delivery, describing an e-course design model. More guidelines and a checklist are provided by Radovan and Perdih in their article “Developing Guidelines for Evaluating the Adaptation of Accessible Web-Based Learning Materials,” specifically providing a road map for adapting content for use by students with dyslexia by focusing on the technical aspects. E-readiness is the subject of Sopu, Chisaki, and Usagawa's study “Use of Facebook by Secondary School Students at Nuku'alofa as an Indicator of E-Readiness for E-Learning in the Kingdom of Tonga.” Their findings suggest that secondary students have developed e-readiness which supports their e-learning through their use of social networking.

“Effects of Group Awareness and Self-Regulation Levels on Online Learning Behaviors” by Lin, Szu, and Lai shows how these effects can impact student participation. Similarly, Botha and Coetzee in their paper, “The Influence of Biographical Factors on Adult Learner Self-Directedness in an Open Distance Learning Environment,” investigated self-direction in e-learning, adding the variable of biographical factors (age, race, gender) and revealing significant differences. Yet another factor, namely student perceptions of service quality, was investigated by Martinez-Argüelles and Batalla-Busquets in their paper “Perceived Service Quality and Student Loyalty in an Online University.” They expanded their study beyond teaching to include perceptions of administrative and auxiliary services. Their research suggests that the management of service quality is important in ensuring student retention. Colas, Sloep, and Garreta-Domingo's submission “The Effect of Multilingual Facilitation on Active Participation in MOOCs” identifies the use of the native language for discussions in English language courses as a critical factor in supporting social dynamics. Instructional environments and learner traits in relation to learning outcomes is the subject of Lim, Park, and Kang's study “Structural Relationships of Environments, Individuals, and Learning Outcomes in Korean Online University Settings,” which reveals significant functional connections among several learner traits.

Sarrab, Al Shibli, and Badursha's paper, “An Empirical Study of Factors Driving the Adoption of Mobile Learning in Omani Higher Education,” introduces empirical factors supporting the adoption of mobile learning. Using the Technology Acceptance Model, they identified the following factors as influencing learners' adoption of m-learning: ease of use, usefulness, enjoyment, suitability, and social and economic background. In the last paper of this issue, “Using the mTSES to Evaluate and Optimize mLearning Professional Development,” Power, Cristo, Gimbert, Bartoletti, and Kilgore examine self-efficacy in mobile learning, pointing out the usefulness of the scale for planning and evaluation in professional development training for teachers.

This issue also includes a book review on networked learning.

Once again, I hope you find personally useful content in this issue. Please share with your friends and colleagues. IRRODL is an open access journal – it can be shared. We want to ensure that as many readers as possible get the opportunity.

