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Introduction

Graves remind people of who existed before them. As Hannah Arendt notes, “The whole factual world of human affairs depends for its reality and its continued existence, first, upon the presence of others who have seen and will remember, and second, on the transformation of the intangible into the tangibility of things” (1998: 95). In St. John’s, Newfoundland, headstones offer some of the most tangible evidence of the city’s immigrant Chinese community in the early twentieth century.

In the summer of 2016, I was a member of a research group within the Heritage Foundation of Newfoundland and Labrador that conducted a survey of Chinese graves in the General Protestant Cemetery in St. John’s. We measured...
the graves and markers, mapped their locations (Fig. 1), assigned numbers to each plot, identified the inscriptions, and documented biographical information from the headstones (see inventory at the end of this report). To further verify the biographical information, we also consulted burial records located in the cemetery archive.

There are twenty-five Chinese graves situated in one section of the General Protestant Cemetery. They mark the burial sites of early Chinese immigrants who came to St. John’s to make a living, mostly by doing laundry, and who eventually died and were buried in a strange land far away from their birthplace. Drawing on the survey of the Chinese graves, as well as on relevant documentary sources, this article examines the headstones for what they reveal about the formation of a complex transnational identity among early Chinese immigrants to St. John’s, Newfoundland.

Chinese Headstones in St. John’s

The General Protestant Cemetery in St. John’s is owned and managed by the United Church of Canada. Although the cemetery is primarily used for Protestants, it also includes those of other faiths. Entering the cemetery through the main entrance on Old Topsail Road, and walking to the east then turning south for a few meters, one reaches a small section of the cemetery that contains graves marked by headstones with Chinese inscriptions.

According to a diagram of the General Protestant Cemetery, which is divided into different sections, the twenty-five Chinese graves are situated in Section 22 and 23 (Fig. 2). Each section has twelve Chinese graves, while Section 23 also contains a memorial erected by the Chinese Association of Newfoundland and Labrador (CANL) in 1988 in memory of all the Chinese buried there.

The condition of the headstones varies widely. Markers 7 and 22 are missing and there are five makers (15, 16, 13, 18 and 20) broken off from or near the base. The fragments of marker 15 and 16 lie over the respective graves, while no pieces of broken makers 13, 18 and 20 remain on their graves. However, we noted that several fragments of headstone were placed next to grave 26 in the bottom left corner (Fig. 3).

A few inscriptions on the headstones are legible (see Fig. 4, 5, and 6 for example), while some are difficult or even impossible to identify completely. From the twenty-three dates of death we were able to collect, we determined that the basic sequence of the burials runs from grave 26 (April 2, 1922) to grave 1 (October 12, 1942). With this order in mind, the original locations of the fragments in the bottom left-hand side can be surmised. The fragment with the date of death of August 14, 1922 probably belongs to grave 18, and the other fragment with the date of May 15, 1929 was likely originally on grave 22. In the same way, we inferred that marker 27 should be on grave 7. The fragment of stone without a date bears the name of the deceased, Gong Kee. Since there is only one unmarked plot left, it is very probable that it is from grave 13. Therefore, although the information available for each headstone varies, we have been able to identify all twenty-five graves and their respective headstones. Building on the premise that mortuary studies can provide...
glimpses into the minds of past peoples (see Rouse 2005: 82), I argue that these headstones can help trace stories of the early Chinese community of St. John's and reflect the formation of Chinese immigrants’ transnational identity.

Early Chinese Immigrants in St. John's

Compared to other areas in North America where Chinese immigrants were attracted by job opportunities around the gold rush and railway construction in the mid-19th century, Newfoundland did not have a Chinese presence until the late 19th century. When Chinese immigrants moved to St. John's after the 1890s, the city was almost exclusively Caucasian. Baker notes, “by the 1880s St. John's was a compact, homogeneous community of approximately 30,000 people mainly of English and Irish origin” (1994: 30). One of the first known Chinese immigrants was Choy Fong who arrived in St. John's in 1894 to open a laundry (Smallwood and Dinn 1984: 272). Following this, more Chinese immigrated to Newfoundland. Like their fellow countrymen across North America, the majority of Chinese immigrants in St. John's were involved in the hand laundry business. As Mu Li explains,

At the turn of the 20th century, due to the ending of the Gold Rush and the completion of the Canadian Pacific Railway, many Chinese laborers lost their jobs. To prevent them from competing with white workers, the Chinese were excluded from many mainstream industries and Chinese men were forced to work in some traditionally ‘feminine’ enterprises. In many big cities of North America, Chinese men earned their living mainly by working in hand-washing laundries (2014: 62).

There were eight Chinese laundries in St. John's in 1913 and this number increased to over twenty by the 1930s and 1940s (Li 2014: 52; Thomson and Button, 1932: 429). As Sparrow writes, “the Chinese in St. John’s became synonymous with the hand laundry business in the early 20th century” (2010: 334).

The early Chinese community was comprised of males, many of whom were related. It constituted a “bachelor society,” no matter whether the men were legally married or single. Peter Li writes
that before the end of the Second World War, “conjugal family life among Chinese-Canadians was rare, and the Chinese community consisted predominately of married bachelors who sent remittances to support their families in China” (1998: 78). Before Confederation with Canada in 1949, there may have been only one Chinese woman who lived in Newfoundland (Li 2014: 59). Mu Li also notes that “all the Chinese were linked by kinship or region” (2014: 50). The main reason behind this interconnectedness was the immigration mode; Chinese immigrants usually sponsored or brought relatives or countrymen to the host country through what is known as “chain immigration.” Wickberg et al. report that:

In chain immigration … the immigrant came on his own and worked until he saved enough for a trip back to China. During this first return to his village he might marry, or, if already married, he might arrange to bring back with him a teen-aged son or nephew. Through subsequent return trips accompanied by teen-aged relatives, fractional families without women were assembled abroad. (1982: 5)

During this process, wives or other female family members immigrated much later or not at all, since they had to stay at home to care for families. At the same time, most jobs overseas available to Chinese persons, such as gold mining, railway construction, and hand laundry, demanded heavy physical labour that was open only to males. Further, many early Chinese immigrants preferred returning to China after they made a profit. On top of this, anti-Chinese immigration acts were issued in North America that made it very hard for family reunions to take place overseas. Canada increased the Head Tax to $500 in 1903, which deterred many Chinese from bringing their wives or other family members. As Peter Li notes, “Most Chinese probably had difficulty raising the money for their own entry, let alone family members” (1998: 64). Following Canada, Newfoundland introduced a Chinese exclusion act in 1906 which charged a Head Tax of $300 per Chinese and imposed other restrictions. Immigration mode and restrictions, then, meant that almost all the Chinese in early 20th century St. John’s were male and from the same region in southern China.

Chinese residents in St. John’s experienced discrimination and racism in all aspects of their lives. They, as well as their laundries, were often targets of physical violence as the following examples from period newspapers demonstrate. A brief report from the February 26, 1906 Evening Herald notes,

A crowd of half-grown youths attacked two defense-less Chinamen, pelting them unmercifully with snow-balls and otherwise ill-using them. The poor ‘chinks’ had not the least chance against their cowardly assailants, who followed them to Fong Lee's laundry on Prescott St., viciously pelting them all the way.

Another article from the same paper on June 8, 1908 describes how Kim Lee (his full name was Au, Kim Lee) was tormented by a drunk, who then broke the glass in the door with his fist before he ran away. The reporter also mentioned that “These Chinamen are often tormented by boys and others.” Things were no better in 1912 when an account of a more serious incident was published:

The Chinaman was walking up New Gower St. and while passing a number of fellows who were congregated near Barter’s Hill, was hit in the face by one of them. The assailant continued his assault and it is alleged by witnesses, knocked the Chinaman down and kicked him in the back. (Evening Herald, November 18, 1912)

Finally, a report published in the Evening Herald on January 29, 1912 indicates that Kim Lee was again assaulted and “received a black eye.” The assailant then went to the store of Hop Wah, on Casey Street, and beat two other Chinese men.

Besides physical attacks, Chinese businesses were broken into and robbed. In August 1907, Jim Lee's watch and some cash was stolen by a nine-year-old school boy in his laundry (Evening Telegram, August 21, 1907). One year later, Jim Lee was severely beaten by two young men who also stole money from his till (Evening Chronicle, December 26, 1908). The March 13, 1909 issue of the Evening Chronicle reported that a woman with her thirteen-year-old accomplice stole money from two Chinese laundries. Local residents also complained about the Chinese laundries in their neighborhoods because of the potential
health and safety hazards. One resident went to Fong Lee's laundry on Prescott Street demanding that the place be demolished, and he apparently assaulted a Chinese employee before departing (Evening Chronicle, September 17, 1908).

Racial conflict between local residents and Chinese immigrants continued throughout the first few decades of the 20th century. Between 1911 and 1945, the population of St. John's increased from 32,242 to 44,603 (Baker 1994: 33). With the rapid growth of its population, the city experienced social problems such as high levels of unemployment that eventually lead to a riot at the Colonial Building in April of 1932 (Baker 1994: 32). High levels of unemployment created tensions between members of the Chinese community and those in the larger mainstream population who worried that the Chinese immigrants were taking their jobs.

Owing to the discrimination indicated above, members of the Chinese community found it hard to fit into the city. As indicated above, the Chinese graves in the General Protestant Cemetery are located in one area and are separated from other graves. It is likely that the separation of Chinese residents from others buried in this cemetery stems from, and consolidates, the social isolation early Chinese immigrants experienced during their lifetimes. Due to a restrictive immigration policy and expressions of racism that included assaults and robberies, Chinese immigrants in St. John's struggled even in death to make their place in a new city and country far away from their homeland.

However, it is necessary to note that although its members experienced racism and discrimination, the Chinese community was not a completely isolated group. Some individuals were actively engaged in local affairs. For instance, Kim Lee was one of the leaders of the Chinese community in St. John's and his name often appears in newspapers alongside some of the other Chinese individuals buried in the General Protestant Cemetery. Kim Lee was popular in the wider community and some newspaper reports reflect an interest in his personal life—his travel between China and St. John's was noted—as well as concern for his family including his father's illness (Evening Chronicle, February 25, 1908; Evening Chronicle, September 10, 1909; Evening Chronicle, September 2, 1911). One of the reasons for this increased interest in Lee as an individual might be his personality. In spite of being Chinese, he was also very keen to integrate into the host community. For example, he apparently attempted to coordinate the trade of cod fish between Newfoundland and China and one newspaper reported that “Mr. Kim Lee ... is sending samples of our dried codfish to China, and he thinks that he will have no difficulty in opening up a trade in the article” (Trade Review, March 20, 1919). His activities suggest that Chinese immigrants were trying to contribute to their host community of St. John's, and his entrepreneurial efforts reflect that throughout North America Chinese immigrants were progressively adapting themselves to their host culture. During the difficult and complex process of adaptation, Chinese immigrants in St. John's consolidated their own Chinese identity and eventually forged a new transnational identity.

Transnationalism and Identity

Several theories help illuminate aspects of immigrant identity. Classical assimilation theory assumes that immigrants want, and gradually are, able to meld completely into their host country. On the other hand, multiculturalism or pluralism asserts that immigrants retain their cultural differences from their home country as a form of agency (Kraus-Friedberg 2008: 124). While useful, both models have been criticized for their failure to address certain dynamics that immigrants experience. For example, assimilationists underrate the value of immigrants' own traditions to their host country while multiculturalists fail to explain the inequalities that persist in ethnic groups (Kraus-Friedberg 2008: 124). Transnationalism offers a more nuanced alternative. Researchers who approach immigrant identity from this perspective tend to believe that the formation of immigrants' identity derives from many sources, and “immigrant's involvement in both their home and host societies is a central element of transnationalism” (Smits 2008: 112). As a result, immigrants “create new cultural products and exercise multiple political and civic memberships” (Smits 2008: 111). From this approach, the Chinese graves in St. John's can be regarded as a "new cultural product" which
combines the elements of both the early Chinese immigrants’ home and host society and therefore represents their transnational identity.

Material Expressions of Chinese Identity in St. John’s

The twenty-one headstones which contain legible hometowns indicate that all of the immigrants originated in the same region in southern China, Siyi, which literally means “Four Districts,” and is located in southern Guangdong (Canton) Province. This supports information recorded in the *Newfoundland Register: Arrivals & Outward Registration* that shows between June 4, 1910 and March 26, 1949 all the Chinese immigrants were from Guangdong Province, and about 90 per cent of them from the region of Siyi (Li 2014: 51). Siyi includes four counties or districts: Kaiping, Taishan, Enping and Xinhui, and is well known as the birthplace of many overseas Chinese. For example, the town of Chikan in Kaiping has a population of 46,000 while there are currently 90,000 Chinese living overseas who are originally from there (website of the town of Chikan, 2017). Further evidence of the close ties between Chikan and Canada is evidenced in an area of the town known as “Canada Village” because the buildings were mainly constructed by Chinese Canadians in the 1920s and 1930s (website of the town of Chikan, 2017).

As explained above, the mode of chain migration that shaped early Chinese settlement in St. John’s meant that overseas Chinese sponsored their families, relatives, kinsmen, clansmen or at least people from the same hometown (in many cases, the same hometown meant the same clan as well as same surname) to join them. As a result of this immigration mode, the twenty-one Chinese headstones containing hometown information shows that the immigrants were primarily from three counties or districts in the Siyi region of China: Kaiping (11), Taishan (9), and Enping (1). More specifically, in the group from Kaiping, five of the deceased were from the same neighborhood Donghu (東湖). At the same time, seven of them had the same family name of Au or Ou (區) (Table 1). Of the nine men from Taishan, five had the same family name of Hong (熊). Headstone inscriptions therefore reflect the strong linkages among early Chinese immigrants based on clanship and hometown.

Each inscription includes information such as the person’s name, date of death, age, and birthplace written both in Chinese and in English or an anglicized form. For example, marker 2 lists the name, age, and death date in both English—“KUNG YUEN SHING (anglicized names) AGED 19 YEARS, DIED DEC”—and Chinese—龔公遠勝 (Chinese name) 墓，廣東恩平縣人，享壽拾九歲 (age in Chinese), 终于民國廿九年十二月卅一日 (date of death in Chinese). Significantly, however, the Chinese

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Family name</th>
<th>Full name</th>
<th>Hometown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>區庭照</td>
<td>區庭照/TONG LEE</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖里</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>區?</td>
<td>區德? /</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>區連?</td>
<td>區連?</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>區鶴寿</td>
<td>區鶴寿/Oue Hick Chew</td>
<td>廣東開邑東湖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>區?</td>
<td>區?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>區淮霖</td>
<td>區淮霖/Cong Lim</td>
<td>廣東開平</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>區換照</td>
<td>區換照/Jim Lee</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖里</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The seven Clan of Au graves in the General Protestant Cemetery, St. John’s. Table by author.
inscription includes a birthplace in China, “廣東恩平縣人” (Enping County, Guangdong), but this information is not mentioned in the English inscription. The pattern is repeated across the twenty-one headstones that legibly list birthplaces; in eighteen cases these appear solely in Chinese. Early Chinese immigrants’ commitment to their birthplace is strongly expressed in this way; they make a clear declaration that their home place has not been forgotten. However, that the information appears only in Chinese also indicates that it is intended for a Chinese audience. Local residents of St. John’s, who during this time period lumped all Chinese under the general term of “Chinamen,” presumably would not know or care about the specific hometowns of these immigrants.

Although an immigrant’s birthplace engraved on a headstone was inconsequential to members of the mainstream society of St. John’s, it would have been very significant to early members of the Chinese community. Without immediate family members, a shared hometown and clan would have represented their relationship to other Chinese immigrants. It provided an important way to connect with one another and underscored an obligation to help each other in the face of inevitable death. Chung, Frampton and Murphy comment that “countrymen—often distant relatives or relatives or people from the same district—replaced the immediate family members as the main figures in the funerary process” (2005: 111). The practice of engraving a person’s hometown on their headstone in Chinese emphasized the individual’s ties to other members of the Chinese community in St. John’s at the same time it maintained a connection to their birthplace and family overseas.

Nicolas Smits points out that “the discrimination that Chinese Americans faced in their everyday lives often served to solidify the community around its members’ shared experiences and cultural heritage” (2008: 113). Thus discrimination and isolation from the larger host community led Chinese immigrants to form associations based on clanship as well as region of origin (locality and district associations) across North America (Li 1998: 96). These associations were initially formed to help provide their members with social, economic, and at times, legal assistance. In St. John’s, there were two Chinese clan associations founded between the 1920s and 1930s. One was the Tai Mei Club for those from Kaiping within the clan of Au or Ou; the other was the Hong Hang Society for countrymen from Taishan. Both clan associations had their own properties where members gathered for social, leisure, or other purposes.

One of the responsibilities of these clan associations was to manage funeral arrangements for their members. In traditional Chinese culture, descendants are obligated to take care of their deceased ancestors by organizing proper funerals and annual remembrance services. As Chace notes, “individuals believed that such measures not only benefited the deceased but also ensured a shower of blessings from the contented ancestor on the descendants” (2005: 28). For the deceased, the offerings from their descendants promised a better afterlife.

Many Chinese immigrants wished to be buried in their hometown in China to benefit both ancestors and descendants. As a result, it was very popular in the late 19th and early 20th century for the remains of Chinese immigrants, especially those from Cantonese area, to be exhumed and shipped back to their birthplace for reburial. As Roberta Greenwood notes, historically, it was the fervent wish of Chinese in [the United States], whether the isolated workingman or the prosperous merchant, to be buried in the homeland. To this end, perhaps as far back as the gold rush and well into the 1930s, exhuming those interred along the west coast became even more regularized as an annual spring event. (2005: 242)

Without family members in North America, regional or clan associations took on the responsibility for arranging funerals and shipping back remains.

This study, however, could not find any evidence that reburial was a tradition in the St. John’s Chinese community. Perhaps the much longer distance between St. John’s and China, compared to Chinese communities located along or close to the west coast, made shipping remains unfeasible. Nonetheless, Chinese clan groups in St. John’s still played an important role in their countrymen’s burials. Although there is no record documenting who paid for the erection of
headstones on the Chinese graves in the General Protestant Cemetery, it is clear from headstone 11 that at least sometimes the clan associations were responsible. The inscription on this marker for Hong Kim indicates that it was erected by the Hong Hang Society.

In some situations, the Chinese community expanded beyond geographical and clan boundaries and acted as a united ethnic community. For example, the memorial (marker 25) erected by the Chinese Association of Newfoundland and Labrador (CANL) in 1988, is for every Chinese person buried there. CANL also holds an annual flower service at the memorial. As well, the early 20th century newspapers in St. John’s describe funerals attended by almost every Chinese resident. At Wah Hung’s funeral in 1912, “the laundrymen from all the shops (except one) in the city were present, perhaps four or five remaining to attend to callers” (Evening Herald, June 26, 1912). Writing of the funeral of two Chinese in 1919 who were buried in the General Protestant Cemetery, a reporter notes that “all the countrymen of the deceased in the city attended the funeral” (Evening Herald, April 8, 1919). In 1924, when Hon Fen was buried in the cemetery, “the obsequies were attended by a large number of Chinese citizens” (Evening Telegram, December 1, 1924). Finally, a funeral for Hong Sai Tee (who was buried in the Catholic Mount Carmel Cemetery) held later that year, “was attended by practically all the Chinese in the city” (Evening Telegram, November 27, 1924). Respect for the dead was expressed not only at funerals, but also by visiting gravesites. The May 10, 1909 issue of the Evening Chronicle recorded that

Yesterday at 10 a.m. all the Chinese in the city left the laundry of Kim Lee on New Gower Street … to Mount Carmel Cemetery, where a handsome wreath was placed on the grave of one of their countrymen who died here about three years ago. Returning, they then went to the General Protestant Cemetery, where a very handsome wreath was placed on the grave of a fellow countrymen resting here.

Rituals mark the Chinese lifecycle of birth, marriage, and death. Through these rituals, a Chinese person confirms that he or she steps into a different stage of their life and that he or she is a member of a community, since every ritual is a community event. Arguably, death took on more importance as a ritual occasion in a bachelor society without births or marriages to celebrate. Death, and accompanying funerals, processions, interments, and post-funeral visits, became an important way to reaffirm that every deceased person was a valued member of the St. John’s Chinese community. They reassured community members that no one needed to worry about their afterlife in an alien society. The funerals and processions—which almost all the Chinese community members participated in—became one of the few ways for Chinese immigrants to publically present their identities to both themselves and the host community. Similarly, the headstones erected in the General Protestant Cemetery for members of the Chinese community by their countrymen were a tangible way to express, as well as reinforce, Chinese ethnic identity in a public space.

Adapting to the Host Community

Wendy Rouse notes that, in the context of California, Chinese were “flexible and adaptive even in death … this is especially important if we are to recognize that no people, no matter how traditional, ever remain culturally static” (2005: 104). Chinese immigrants in St. John’s were equally as flexible, as their adoptions to religious belief and language reflect.

Adopting Christianity was one of the most important ways for Chinese immigrants throughout North America to adjust to a new culture. In St. John’s, many Chinese immigrants converted to Christianity and went to church services and programs. This partly explains why they were buried in the General Protestant Cemetery. Moreover, Chinese funerals were officiated by ministers from churches in St. John’s. For example, in 1912 Rev. F. R. Matthews of the Wesley Methodist Church conducted a funeral service for Wah Hung that included singing the hymn “There’s a Land that is Fairer than Day” (Evening Herald, June 26, 1912). In 1919 and 1922, Rev. W. B. Bugden, minister of the Wesley Methodist Church, led funeral services for Chinese residents (Evening Herald, April 8, 1919; Evening Telegram, May 8, 1922). Rev. R. E. Fairbairn of George Street
United Church presided over a graveside service for Hon Fen in the General Protestant Cemetery in 1924 (Evening Telegram, December 1).

Traditionally, Chinese funeral custom includes a variety of superstitions. Chinese, especially those from Guangdong Province, relied on fengshui (literally “wind and water,” or geomancy theory) to determine proper burial sites. As Wendy Rouse explains, “The ultimate goal of fengshui … is to please the ancestor with a comfortable resting place where a balance of qi, vital energy, can be found” (2005: 24) However, in St. John’s, the plots assigned by the Church left Chinese immigrants no options to practice this ritual. Moreover, during the funeral procession from their home or the undertaker's to the cemetery, the Chinese usually performed a series of rituals to provide the deceased with comforts. As Chung and Wegars note:

Fear of malevolent spirits led to the practice … of scattering off white paper containing numerous holes. Because evil spirits had to pass through all the holes before continuing, they became confused and distracted and were unable to learn where the deceased was to be buried. (2005: 5)

The authors also observe that music was a way of distracting evil spirits (2005: 5). However, descriptions of funeral processions in newspapers in St. John’s suggest the absence of these traditional elements in immigrant Chinese funerals. It appears that Chinese newcomers failed to continue these traditions in their host society. Without the close support of family, and away from the traditional ritual practices of their home villages, Chinese immigrants turned to western religion to address the inevitability of death. In St. John’s, as elsewhere, the adoption of western funeral customs reflects the creation of a new social community and adaption to new cultural practices (Chace 2005: 47-48).

Belief systems can be reflected in the decorations found on headstones. Generally, the design and decoration of Chinese headstones in the General Protestant Cemetery is very plain and many are simply upright rectangle markers. Those that are decorated are engraved with popular religious motifs: a hand holding ivy with a finger pointing towards a flower (marker 26, 21, 19, 14) or with a finger pointing upward (marker 23); ivy (marker 17), sometimes with a cross in the middle (marker 10, 8); willow (marker 12); clasped hands (marker of Tom Yee Sing, a broken marker in the bottom left corner); or an open book, which might represent the Bible (marker 27 and marker 6). With some individual differences, these religious symbols are also used widely on the headstones of local residents in the cemetery. The shared religious motifs, burial in a Protestant cemetery, and the presence of ministers at Chinese funerals, all suggest that Chinese immigrants in St. John’s were trying to shape their death practices and beliefs to fit into larger cultural and religious groups—at least in public. In Alison Marshall’s research on Chinese communities in western Manitoba, she uses the term “religious ambivalence” to describe how “in public most people self-identified as Christian, while in private they were more traditionally Chinese” (2009: 577). This pattern may well characterize the experience of early Chinese immigrants buried in St. John’s.

Beyond religion, Chinese immigrants attempted to adapt to other aspects of community life. Once in St. John’s, a city dominated by English speakers, arrivals often “invented” an anglicized or English name for themselves. Chinese names inscribed on headstones in the General Protestant Cemetery appear in a large font size and are usually positioned in the middle of the stone, clearly prioritizing the original nationality of the Chinese immigrants. That said, every headstone includes an anglicized name which the person probably only used in St. John’s. The engraving of this “second name” on the headstones indicates that the deceased were immigrants, not just sojourners (Chung and Neziman 2005: 190). They were trying to accommodate the host culture while preserving Chinese ethnicity.

Usually, Chinese names can be anglicized naturally according to their pronunciations. For example:

Marker 2: 熊華章 = Hong Wah Chong
Marker 3: 龔遠勝 = Kung Yuen Shing
Marker 5: 黄義逢 = Wong Y ee Fung
Marker 12: 熊進盛 = Hong Dean Shing

However, sometimes anglicized names were not transliterated from formal Chinese
names. Chinese immigrants adopted a conventional English name directly, sometimes based on informal names or nicknames, or the names were newly selected to make their identity more recognizable and pronounceable to the English-speaking population of St. John's, since even their anglicized Chinese name was still too difficult for locals to pronounce. Taking on an English name represented a substantial step toward assimilation into the host culture. For example, 鄭長業 was known as “Jack” Chong and 余發 and as “Charlie” Yee.

There are also many cases when the Chinese and anglicized names do not match each other phonemically. It is unknown how individuals got these names. For example, 區庭照 became Tong Lee, 區德照 was Pa Chew, 區連齊 identified as Tie Lee, and 區換照 took on Jim Lee. These anglicized names omit family names like “Au or Ou,” perhaps because these were barely used in the immigrant’s public lives in St. John's. For example, the local media would assume that a name such as Kim Lee, without the surname Au, was the full Chinese name. Therefore, Kim Lee was called Mr. Kim Lee or Mr. Lee instead of Mr. Au (Trade Review, March 20, 1919). The Encyclopedia of Newfoundland and Labrador also listed his name as Lee, Kim (Fitzgerald, Hong, Ping et al. 1991: 270).

Comparing the burial records held in the cemetery archive with the inscriptions, mistakes also arise in the names. For instance, Hong should be the family name in the name of Hong King (marker 11), but the burial record indicates that King is the surname. A similar mistake happened to Hong Yuen (marker 19). Jim Lee’s burial record (marker 24) incorrectly lists him as Lee, Jim. Additionally, the person documenting the burial information simply indicated “Jack Chinese” in the record; this is likely Jack Chong on marker 1.

Thus, due to cultural differences Chinese names in terms of both the order and pronunciation often confused non-Chinese speakers. Anglicized names, developed as a result of different strategies, were used by Chinese in St. John's mainly for the benefit of members of their host community. As Fred Blake summarizes from his research on Chinese markers in Valhalla Cemetery in St. Louis, Missouri, “we can see a complex process in which the symbolic resources of two literate civilizations are inscribed together on the same slabs of stone” (1993: 79). Although these “second” names often had nothing to do with the immigrants’ original names, Chinese immigrants buried in St. John’s nevertheless accepted them and they are now permanently engraved on their headstones. The anglicized names suggest a willingness on the part of the early Chinese to integrate themselves into the local community and to gain acceptance. As Chung, Frampton and Murphy argue, immigrants “made accommodations to their new environment and circumstances from the outset” (2005: 138). This process of adaptation and accommodation is especially reflected in material ways, as grave markers convincingly demonstrate how St. John's Chinese immigrants “progressively relinquished” Chinese cultural identity and instead adopted westernized identities (Abraham and Wegars, 2005: 114).

Conclusion

Sue Fawn Chung and Reiko Neizman discovered that the gravestones in a Chinese cemetery in Hawaii “reinforce the connection between the living and the dead as a Chinese group.” (2005: 190). However, “modifications in the performance of ... burial practices have been made to incorporate themselves into a larger group where they live” (2005: 190). The same can be argued for the General Protestant Cemetery in St. John’s. Headstones marking Chinese graves in the cemetery hold insights into the formation of a transnational identity among Chinese immigrants in early 20th-century St. John’s. Important clues to the complex process by which early Chinese immigrants acquired hybrid identities are revealed in the material record. Through location, design, decoration, and inscriptions, the headstones of Chinese immigrants attest to both their persistence in keeping a Chinese identity and their willingness to adapt to the new, host society.
This research report developed from a project conducted by The Heritage Foundation of Newfoundland and Labrador. An earlier article by Terra Barrett, Heather Elliot, Dale Jarvis, Michael Philpott, and Li Xingpei was published in vol. 30, no. 4 of the Newfoundland Ancestor (2016) and the newsletter of The Heritage Foundation of Newfoundland and Labrador. I would like to thank my Heritage Foundation colleagues for their contributions to the research on which this report is based. I also would like to express my gratitude to the peer reviewer from this journal and my classmates from the graduate material culture course at the Department of Folklore, Memorial University of Newfoundland. I would like to especially thank Dr. Diane Tye, the course instructor, who spent a considerable amount of time on my paper to improve it vastly.

1. I do not use the term Chinese-Canadians because Newfoundland was not part of Canada until 1949.
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**Table 2**

Inscriptions from the Chinese gravestones in the General Protestant Cemetery. Compiled by Terra Barrett and Xingpei Li.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map #</th>
<th>Inscribed Name</th>
<th>Chinese Name</th>
<th>Home Town</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Inscription/Translation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Jack Chong</td>
<td>鄭長業</td>
<td>廣東台山海晏佑村</td>
<td>12 October 1942</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>JACK CHONG / 鄭長業坟, 廣東台山海晏佑村，终于民国卅一年十月十二日巳时, 享寿四十六歲 [The grave of Jack Chong, who was from Haiyanyou, Taishan, Guangdong and died in the morning of 12 Oct. 1942, at the age of 46.]</td>
<td>His name is Jack, but “Chinese J.” in the burial record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Hong Wah Chong</td>
<td>熊華章</td>
<td>廣東台山縣聯安市</td>
<td>31 December 1940</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>HONG WAH CHONG AGED 24 YEARS DIED DEC. 31 1940/ 熊華章君墓，生于廣東台山縣聯安市，终于一年四零年十二月卅一日[The grave of Hong Wah Chong, who was born in Lianan, Taishan, Guangdong and died in 31 Dec.1940.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map #</td>
<td>Inscribed Name</td>
<td>Chinese Name</td>
<td>Home Town</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Inscription/Translation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>KUNG YUEN SHING</td>
<td>龔遠勝</td>
<td>廣東恩平縣</td>
<td>31 December 1940</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>KUNG YUEN SHING AGED 19 YEARS DIED DEC. 31 1940/龔公遠勝墓，廣東恩平縣人，享壽拾九歲，终于民國廿九年十二月卅一日[The grave of Kung Yuen Shing, who was from Enping, Guangdong and died at the age of 19, in 31 Dec.1940.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Tong Lee</td>
<td>區庭照</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖里</td>
<td>16 July 1940</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>TONG LEE DIED JULY 16th 1940 AGED 67 YEARS/區公庭照之墓，廣東開平東湖里人，终于民國二十九年七月十六日，享壽六十七歲[The grave of Tong Lee, who was from Donghuli, Kaiping, Guangdong and died in 16 July 1940, at the age of 67.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Wong Yee Fung</td>
<td>黃義逢</td>
<td>廣東開平縣</td>
<td>21 November 1939</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>WONG YEE FUNG AGED 45 YEARS DIED NOV. 21 - 1939/ 黃公義逢墓, 廣東開平縣人，享壽四十歲，终于民廿八年十一月廿一日[The grave of Wong Yee Fung, who was from Kaiping, Guangdong and died at the age of 49 in 21 Nov. 1939.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>ENG WING KIT</td>
<td>吳榮傑</td>
<td>廣東開平縣第六區大廈洞</td>
<td>3 July 1938</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>ENG WING KIT DIED JULY 3 1938 AGED 35 YEARS/ 吳君榮傑之墓，廣東開平縣第六區大廈洞[The grave of Eng Wing Kit, who was from Da?dong, 6th district, Kaiping, Guangdong.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Pa Chew</td>
<td>區德照</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖</td>
<td>4 February 1937</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>PA CHEW DIED FEB. 4th 1937 AGED 39 YEARS/ 區德照君墓，廣東開平東湖人，终于一九三七年二月四日，享壽三十九歲[The grave of Pa Chew, who was from Donghu, Kaiping, Guangdong and died in 4 Feb. 1937 at the age of 39.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

His name is Lee, T Hong on his burial record.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map #</th>
<th>Inscribed English Name</th>
<th>Chinese Name</th>
<th>Home Town</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Inscription /Translation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Charlie Yee</td>
<td>余發和</td>
<td>廣東台山</td>
<td>29 October 1935</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>IN MEMORY OF CHARLIE YEE DIED OCT 29th 1935 AGED 40 YEARS/余發和君坟墓，廣東台山人，终于一九三五年十月二十九，享寿四十</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[The grave of Charlie Yee, who was from Taishan, Guangdong and died in 29 Oct. 1935 at the age of 40.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tie Lee</td>
<td>區連齊？</td>
<td>廣東開平東湖</td>
<td>21 October 1935</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>TIE LEE DIED OCT 21ST 1935 AGED 37 YEARS/區連齊？君墓，廣東開平東湖人，终于一九三五年十月廿一日，享寿三十七歳</td>
<td>Not positive if it is 齊 in his name.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[The grave of Tie Lee, who was from Donghu, Kaiping, Guangdong and died in 21 Oct. 1935 at the age of 37.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hong King</td>
<td>熊奕渠</td>
<td>廣東台山大光里</td>
<td>28 February 1935</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>IN MEMORY OF HONG KING DIED FEB 28TH 1935 AGED 30 YEARS/熊奕渠之墓，廣東台山大光里，终于民国廿四年二月廿五日，享寿三十歳（同鄉會立）</td>
<td>The date of death is different in Chinese (25 February) than English (28 February). In the burial record, the date of death is 25 February, so the English inscription probably is wrong. His name is shown as King, Hong in the burial record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[The grave of Hong King, who was from Daguangli, Taishan and died in 25 Feb. 1935 at the age of 30. (Erected by the Association of Townsmen)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hong Dean Shing</td>
<td>熊進盛</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 October 1935</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>HONG DEAN SHING DIED OCT 27TH 1935/進盛熊公墓，享寿四十歳，终于民国二十四年十二月廿七日[The grave of Hong Dean Shing, who died at the age of 40 in 27 Oct. 1935.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>County of Hoi Ping</td>
<td>開平县</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BORN IN COUNTY OF HOI PING CHINA</td>
<td>Broken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map #</td>
<td>Inscribed English Name</td>
<td>Chinese Name</td>
<td>Home Town</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Inscription /Translation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hong Kim</td>
<td>County of Sin Ing</td>
<td>May 3 1922</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>IN LOVING MEMORY HONG KIM DIED MAY 3 1922 AGED 36 YEARS BORN IN COUNTY OF SIN ING CHINA</td>
<td>The Chinese inscription is illegible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hong Leon</td>
<td>County of Sin Ing</td>
<td>May 3 1922</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>IN LOVING MEMORY OF HONG LEON DIED MAY 3, 1922 AGED 34 YEARS BORN IN COUNTY OF SIN ING CHINA</td>
<td>The Chinese inscription is broken and illegible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lee Lournd</td>
<td>李柒 廣東台山</td>
<td>15 May 1922</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>LEE LOURND DIED MAY 15TH 1922 AGED 34 YEARS/李柒君之墓，终于民国十一](The grave of Lee Lournd, who died in 15 May 1922 at the age of?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Oue Hick Chew</td>
<td>麗鶴壽 廣東開邑東湖里</td>
<td>20 July 1922</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>IN LOVING MEMORY OF OUE HICK CHEW DIED JULY 20TH 1922 AGED 19 YEARS/廣東省開邑僑民名鶴壽區公坟，终于民国十一年七月二十，享寿十九歳，東湖里人 [The grave of Oue Hick Chew, an immigrant from Donghuli, Kaiyi, Guangdong province, who died in 20 July 1922 at the age of 19.]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Broken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hong Yuen</td>
<td>熊寅? 廣東台山</td>
<td>27 November 1924</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>IN MEMORY OF HONG YUEN DIED NOV. 27 1924 AGED 45 YEARS/廣東台山僑民?名寅熊公墓，享寿四十五歳，终于？年十一月廿七 [The grave of Hong Yuen, an immigrant from Taishan, Guangdong, who died at the age of 45 in 27 Nov. 1927.]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Broken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lin Sun</td>
<td>區? 廣東開平</td>
<td>16 May 1925</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>IN MEMORY OF LIN SUN DIED MAY 16 1925 AGED 47 YEARS/廣東開平僑民?區公墓，终于？年五月十六?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Revue de la culture matérielle 84 (automne 2016)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map #</th>
<th>Inscribed English Name</th>
<th>Chinese Name</th>
<th>Home Town</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Inscription /Translation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Cong Lim</td>
<td>區淮霖</td>
<td>廣東開平</td>
<td>10 July 1929</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>CONG LIM DIED JULY 10 1929 AGED 22 YEARS CANTON CHINA/ 廣東開平淮霖區公坟，享寿二十二歲，终于民國十八年七月十日 [The grave of Cong Lim, who was from Kaiping, Guangdong and died at the age of 22 in 10 July 1929.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 24    | Jim Lee                | 區換照       | 廣東開平東湖里 | 1 June 1933   | 51  | JIM LEE DIED JUNE 1ST 1939 AGED 51 YEARS/區公?照之墓，廣東開平東湖里人，终于民國廿二年六月一日，享寿五十一歲 [The grave of Jim Lee, who was from Donghuli, Kaiping, Guangdong and died in 1 June 1939 at the age of 51.]
Not positive if it is 换 in his name. |
| 26    | Lee Sop                | 廣東省台山 |           | 8 April 1922  | 8   | IN LOVING MEMORY OF LEE SOP DIED APRIL 8TH 1922 AGED/廣東省台山僑民?公坟? [The grave of ?, who is from Taishan, Guangdong.]
| 27    | Lee Dep               | 李洽        | 廣東省開平第六區龍頭 | 30 July 1937 | 33  | IN MEMORY OF LEE DEP DIED JULY 30 1930 AGED 33 YEARS/廣東開平李洽先生 第六區龍頭 [The grave of Lee Dep, who is from 6th District, Kaiping, Guangdong.] |       |
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