

Developing a Global Perspective in / FOR Science Teacher Education: The Case of Pollination
Développer une perspective globale en / pour la formation des enseignants en sciences : le cas de la pollinisation

Giuliano Reis

Volume 49, Number 2, Spring 2014

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1029431ar>
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7202/1029431ar>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Faculty of Education, McGill University

ISSN

1916-0666 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Article abstract

Science educators at all levels continuously struggle to keep pace with the rapidly developing understanding of the causes and potential solutions to current environmental issues while also trying to enthuse a new generation of passionate and knowledgeable scientists. However, how can future science teachers make science education more attractive and meaningful to their students? The present paper describes (in a narrative style) an instructional practice that has been performed within a secondary science methods course in a teacher preparation program in Canada. More specifically, it draws on ideas presented in Agenda 21 and the United Nation's Millennium Development Goals to study the (often neglected) socio-environmental aspects of pollination. Ultimately, the proposed activity aims at promoting the ability of pre-service high school biology teachers to adopt a global education perspective on the science curriculum by (a) recognizing the unintended negative ecological impact caused by humanity's pursuit of sustainable development and sustainability and (b) reexamining traditional conceptions of scientific and ecological literacies.

Cite this article

Reis, G. (2014). Developing a Global Perspective in / FOR Science Teacher Education: The Case of Pollination. *McGill Journal of Education / Revue des sciences de l'éducation de McGill*, 49(2), 491–500.
<https://doi.org/10.7202/1029431ar>

DEVELOPING A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE IN / FOR SCIENCE TEACHER EDUCATION: THE CASE OF POLLINATION

GIULIANO REIS *University of Ottawa*

ABSTRACT. Science educators at all levels continuously struggle to keep pace with the rapidly developing understanding of the causes and potential solutions to current environmental issues while also trying to enthuse a new generation of passionate and knowledgeable scientists. However, how can future science teachers make science education more attractive and meaningful to their students? The present paper describes (in a narrative style) an instructional practice that has been performed within a secondary science methods course in a teacher preparation program in Canada. More specifically, it draws on ideas presented in Agenda 21 and the United Nation's Millennium Development Goals to study the (often neglected) socio-environmental aspects of pollination. Ultimately, the proposed activity aims at promoting the ability of pre-service high school biology teachers to adopt a global education perspective on the science curriculum by (a) recognizing the unintended negative ecological impact caused by humanity's pursuit of sustainable development and sustainability and (b) reexamining traditional conceptions of scientific and ecological literacies.

DÉVELOPPER UNE PERSPECTIVE GLOBALE EN / POUR LA FORMATION DES ENSEIGNANTS EN SCIENCES : LE CAS DE LA POLLINISATION

RÉSUMÉ. Quel que soit le niveau auquel ils enseignent, les enseignants en sciences peinent à soutenir le rythme. Ils naviguent entre le développement rapide de connaissances liées aux causes et solutions potentielles des problématiques environnementales actuelles, tout en essayant d'inspirer l'enthousiasme auprès d'une nouvelle génération de scientifiques passionnés et informés. Or, comment les futurs enseignants en sciences peuvent-ils rendre la formation en sciences plus intéressante et pertinente pour leurs élèves? Dans un style narratif, cet article décrit les pratiques éducationnelles utilisées au sein d'un cours de méthodologie d'enseignement des sciences au secondaire, dans le cadre d'un programme de formation initiale en enseignement au Canada. De manière plus spécifique, celui-ci s'inspire des idées présentées dans le cadre d'Agenda 21 (ou Action 21) et des Objectifs du Millénaire pour le développement de l'Organisation des Nations Unies pour examiner les dimensions socio environnementales (un aspect souvent négligé) de la pollinisation. En fait, l'activité proposée vise à développer chez les enseignants en biologie au secondaire l'adoption d'une perspective éducationnelle et globale du programme en (a) leur faisant prendre conscience

des conséquences environnementales négatives de la quête des humains en termes de développement durable et de protection de l'environnement et en (b) questionnant les conceptions traditionnelles à la base de l'éducation scientifique et environnementale.

Hey farmer, farmer
Put away that D.D.T. now
Give me spots on my apples
But leave me the birds and the bees, please
("Big Yellow Taxi," Joni Mitchell, 1970)

What types of literacy need to be embraced by pre-service science teachers throughout their careers to bring about a world of sustainability, greater socio-environmental justice, equity, peace, and Human Rights for all? More importantly: how can science teacher education contribute to the process? Science is a well-known and significant aspect of the existing movement for the inclusion of environmental education (EE) into teacher education programs worldwide (e.g. Bodzin, Shiner-Klein & Weaver, 2010; McKeown & Hopkins, 2005; Weiland & Morison, 2013). Indeed, science educators at all levels continuously struggle to keep pace with the rapidly developing understanding of the causes and potential solutions to current environmental issues while attempting to attract and educate a new generation of passionate and knowledgeable young scientists (Lewis, 2006). In general, this EE-oriented approach to science education is a strategy to prompt individuals to reflect on their own behaviours as means to change those personal attitudes and values that are detrimental to "our" — i.e. commonly shared — environments. In the case of (science) pre-service teachers, the fact that their life narratives are strongly woven to their working identities (Connelly & Clandinin, 1999; Kosnick & Beck, 2009) also creates the possibility for any transformation to be assimilated and multiplied by as many students as there are in their future classrooms (McDonald & Dominguez, 2010).

Regardless of the potential criticisms and obstacles to doing an education in / for / about the environment (e.g. Jickling, 1992; Russell, Bell & Fawcett, 2000; Reis, 2009; Reis & Guimaraes-Iosif, 2012; Reis, Guimaraes-Iosif & Reis, 2009), the combination of science and environmental education undoubtedly offers an opportunity for science educators (and their students for extension) to bridge prior experiences with new ones that are lived (acquired) through school towards the development of their scientific and ecological literacies (e.g. Reis, in press; Reis, Ng-A-Fook & Glithero, in press). In this context, the concepts of "sustainability" and "sustainable development" emerge as key elements (Inwood & Jagger, 2014; Mintz & Tal, 2013; Nolet, 2013). Actually, Agenda 21 (United Nations' Sustainable Development, 1992) made

“sustainability” a central component in many of its chapters, which helped the notion of ‘education for sustainable development’ to be taken up as an overall valuable approach to science and environmental education in schools (Sandell, Öhman & Östman, 2008; Walshe, 2008). Furthermore, these ideas are well situated within the field of “global education” (Mannion, Biesta, Priestley & Ross, 2011), which is an educational domain characterized by the generation of pedagogical actions oriented towards giving people a wider appreciation of the diversity around them as well as the urge to overcome worldwide social injustice (Asbrand & Scheunpflug, 2006). However, the possibility of exploring the intimate relationship between these topics in attractive and meaningful ways to students can be easily overlooked by future (science) teachers (Patrick, Macqueen & Reynolds, 2014).

It is therefore from the perspective that the adoption of a global perspective is much desirable for the improvement of the existing teaching and learning processes in science teacher education programs that the present paper introduces an instructional practice that has been performed within a science (biology) teacher preparation course in Canada. Specifically, it uses the phenomenon of “pollination” as grounds to improve pre-service science teachers’ understanding and appreciation for the incorporation of a global education perspective into their teaching repertoire for the expansion of their scientific and ecological literacies.

PEDAGOGICAL CONTEXT

As part of the teacher education program where I am a faculty member, I teach a course on high school senior biology each winter semester. It includes the analysis and application – or rather the attempt – of specific teaching strategies unique to this scientific discipline. Usually on the first day of class, I go over Edward O. Wilson’s (2006) five principles on how to learn and teach science (biology): (i) teach each subject from the general to the specific in order to facilitate learning; (ii) reach outside the field to keep up with the current convergence of disciplines in the generation of new knowledge; (iii) focus on problem solving to reflect real life; (iv) drive deep into a specialty while acquiring a breath of experience in other areas; and (v) commit oneself with passion and dedication. In line with these recommendations, I challenge my students to consider a somewhat unconventional approach to the mandated provincial science curriculum. It is “unconventional” in the sense that it goes against their initial expectation – revealed in the course evaluations – to learn how to “deliver” the official program (i.e., implement, apply or execute it). (Personally, this perspective has always given me the impression that some of my students understand these documents to be like checklists that they are required to complete once they start teaching). As part of the challenge, I insist that my students consider choosing themes for their mock teaching presentations and practicum placements that satisfy not only Wilson’s principles, but also those

that they “gut feel” are important for both themselves and their high school audience. I ask them to select themes that they believe represent “windows of opportunity” to promote student engagement and critical thinking in science — and environmentally oriented topics are always on their list.

One of the underlying reasons for adopting this teaching approach is to demonstrate that science teachers have hunches that can be trusted even though they might not be directly contemplated in any curriculum documents. This, in turn, defies more traditional conceptions of scientific literacy, which are frequently associated with the “ability” to memorize and repeat back factual information. Instead, I aspire them to adopt a more comprehensive (i.e., ecological) literacy model that includes a view of science education that promotes their capacity to recognize and effectively deal with the inherent complexity and controversy of humanity’s pursuit of sustainable development and sustainability (Colucci-Gray, Camino, Barbiero & Gray, 2006). After all, teachers’ conceptions of scientific and ecological literacies often presuppose particular views of knowledge acquisition (Roth & Lee, 2002) that mediate their decision to adopt — or reject — specific teaching pedagogies (Corrigan, Cooper, Keast, & King, 2010; Good & Govender, 2010), including those that are globally focused. Ultimately, a global perspective has the potential to making learning relevant by extending one’s views of the world and connecting school (science) topics with daily life (Çimer, 2012).

In my efforts to provoke students in my class to adopt a global perspective in science education, my preference for human-inclusive themes has proven to be rewarding — for instance, food (in)security, teenager obesity, hunger, poverty, consumerism, social justice, bullying, and prejudice (e.g. Andrzejewski, Baltodano & Symcox, 2009; Floud, Fogel, Harris & Hong, 2011; Martusewicz, Edmundson & Lupinacci, 2011; People of Colour Environmental Leadership Summit, 1991; Unterhalter, 2012). This is mostly because our species occupies an interesting niche in our collective imaginary of the natural history of the planet: although we are a very recent and fragile species, we have managed to misled ourselves into believing that we have full control over the duration of our continuing existence. Thus, the existing belief that science and technology advancements can fix the current environmental problems (e.g. Scott, 2011) or the eternal quest for the “elixir of eternal youth” (e.g. Villeda et al., 2014). Likewise, it goes against the typical anthropocentric tendency of my students to disregard humans as an intrinsic part of their ideals of nature (Reis, Dionne, Valeri & Freiman, 2013). This, in turn, confirms a common tendency that humans have to see themselves as separate from the surrounding environment, and which has important ethical implications (e.g. Kortenkamp & Moore, 2001; Schultz, 2002). The next section outlines in more detail the different elements of a particular instructional practice designed to assist future science teachers in adopting a global perspective in their classrooms.

POLLINATION: AN INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE

The class starts with two questions: What it means to be scientifically literate? Why is this concept any important for the teaching of the science curriculum? Then, I play a clip with a series of short interviews with seemingly scientifically ignorant Harvard graduates — only to the amazement and amusement of my students. In the video, the interviewees incorrectly elaborate on the concept of photosynthesis in much the same way that junior elementary students do (Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 1997). Next, students are paired up and given about 20 minutes to complete a forty-odd multiple-choice test on various science topics (there are many versions available online), which makes the answering rate approximately to be about 30 seconds per question. I make it a small group activity so that students avoid feeling bad for not getting all the answers right — that is, there is always someone else to “share” the blame with. Similarly, the short timeframe is meant to prevent students from looking up the answers on their phones and laptops. Once the time is over, each group immediately marks their own tests as I call out the answers. At this point, students get loud in the classroom talking to their partners about their performance on the activity. Contrary to the initial expectations that my students might have had of performing better than the Harvard graduates, their disappointing results on the “pop quiz” serves as a nice segue into a discussion about what it means to adopt a “deficit approach” to scientific literacy (Laugksch, 2000). Indeed, the possibility of being considered scientifically illiterate troubles them — especially since they all have a science background of a sort. At this very point of class, our conversation highlights the inadequacy of a definition of scientific literacy that is strictly based on the cumulative retention and regurgitation of factual information.

We move on to jointly creating a list of global issues, partly assisted by the United Nation’s Millennium Development Goals¹, which range from eradicating extreme hunger to providing universal primary education. Here, the objective is to identify suitable references for the possible re-signification of scientific literacy, one that is geared towards a more global perspective on the science curriculum. For example: poverty, green house gases, women’s rights, malaria, etc. (Figure 1). The conversation is followed by a 2-minute long snippet of Louie Schwartzberg’s talk on “the hidden beauty of pollination,”² which includes stunning images that he captured on the migration of butterflies. The renowned filmmaker also asserts that although pollination is vital to life on the planet it is largely unnoticed by the human eye. That gives me a chance to deliberately add pollination to the list of global issues and also to ask students about the possible reasons for doing so. It is an exercise that forces them to expand their thinking and create connections between science (biology) and various aspects of their lives, from food consumption to water distribution. I bring into the debate the “honey bee colony collapse disorder,” the huge losses of hives it caused in some parts of the U.S. (United States Department of Agriculture,

2010) and the fact that our species has likely contributed to it through the use of pesticides and the contamination of water (Girling, Lusebrink, Farthing, Newman, & Poppy, 2013; Suryanarayanan, 2013; Suryanarayanan & Kleinman, 2013). Similarly, I allude to the effect of the killing – not to say “iatrogenic”³ (a new word to many) – effect of barotrauma, or the dramatic drop in pressure that leads to severe hemorrhaging of lungs, that is inflicted by wind turbines to thousands of bats every year (Baerwald, D’Amours, Klug & Barclay, 2008). These fatalities come with a “price tag” as there is an astronomical economic value attached to the ecosystem services that we benefit from, like pollination (Constanza et al., 1997). I ask students to sum up all the lessons learned and stress the relevance of adopting a more globally and ecologically oriented perspective in their teaching careers. I also ask them once more to consider the importance of achieving scientific literacy. Finally, I conclude the class by talking about different ways the same ideas could be reproduced in different classroom environments – like the production of public announcement radio podcasts, development of fundraising activities, fieldtrips to the outdoors, and the creation of environmental ad campaigns.




FIGURE 1: “Anchor chart” based on the UN’s Millennium Development Goals. Notably, *pollination* is not initially listed

CONCLUSION

In part due to our “plant blindness” (Manetas, 2012; Wandersee & Schussler, 1999), pollination goes unnoticed even though it is complexly beautiful (Pollan, 2001; Stewman et al., 2010). Consequently, the “regulating services” provided

by pollinators (i.e., pest and disease control) and the “supporting services” delivered through the occurrence of pollination itself (i.e., crop pollination) (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005) can be simply too easily neglected in science (teacher) education. Not only that, but the consequences of any pollination “malfunctioning” – whether natural or anthropogenic – become invisible to our senses and therefore unlikely to be contemplated as a global issue by teachers and students. Ultimately, this “imperceptibility” can also prove to be an obstacle for those attempting to advance a global perspective – and sustainable education for extension – agenda in teacher training programs.

Many other topics (much like the ones listed in this article) can be used to support science teachers in their efforts to adopt a non-prescriptive approach to mandated science curricula and re-define scientific and ecological literacies – as opposed to teach their students for “science competency” (Mueller, Tippins & Bryan, 2012). Even other practitioners can adapt these pedagogical activities for use in their courses on similar issues if they are encouraged to consider the potential that their disciplines have to improve students’ capacity to negotiate multiple forms of evidence and reasoning to make informed decisions. In any way, new teachers have the potential to become gateways to developing critical thinking, problem solving skills and active enquiry in everyday life (e.g., van Eijck & Roth, 2010). Only then, the meanings constructed from “texts” (nature and environment included, Stables, 1996) will likely serve to keep people healthy, safe, peaceful and civically active beyond their abilities to read and write science.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to recognize the invaluable contribution of Mrs. Ann Collins in the design and delivery of the instructional practice described here.

NOTES

1. <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals>
2. http://www.ted.com/talks/louie_schwartzberg_the_hidden_beauty_of_pollination.html
3. Although the word “iatrogenic” is usually taken to refer to an undesired consequence on a person of a medical treatment given by a medical professional – e.g., an illness caused by the action or treatment of a doctor – here it is intentionally used as a metaphor to the fact that the use of windmills as a solution (i.e. “treatment”) to the energy problem (i.e. “illness”) has undesired effects. Thus, the negative ecological impact of our pursuit of sustainable development mentioned earlier.

REFERENCES

- Andrzejewski, J., Baltodano, M., & Symcox, L. (Eds) (2009). *Social justice, peace and environmental education: Transformative standards*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Asbrand, B., & Scheunflug, A. (2006). Global education and education for sustainability. *Environmental Education Research*, 12(1), 31-46.

- Baerwald, E.F., D'Amours, G.H., Klug, B.J., & Barclay, R.M.R. (2008). Barotrauma is a significant cause of bat fatalities at wind turbines. *Current Biology*, 18(16), R695-696.
- Bodzin, A., Shiner-Klein, B., & Weaver, S. (Eds.) (2010). *The inclusion of environmental education in science teacher education*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Çimer, A. (2012). What makes biology learning difficult and effective: Students' views. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 7(3), 61-71.
- Colucci-Gray, L., Camino, E., Barbiero, G., & Gray, D. (2006). From scientific literacy to sustainability literacy: An ecological framework for education. *Science Education*, 90, 227-252.
- Connelly, F.M., & Clandinin, D. J. (1999). *Shaping a professional identity: Stories of educational practice*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Corrigan, D., Cooper, R., Keast, S., & King, D. (2010). Expert teachers notions of scientific literacy. In P. Hudson, V. Chandra, D. King & K.-T. Lee (Eds.), *Proceedings of the STEM in Education Conference 2010* (p. 26). Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane Old: Australia.
- Constanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R., Sutton, P., & van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(15), 253-260.
- Floud R., Fogel, R.W., Harris, B., & Hong, S.C. (2011). *The changing body: Health, nutrition, and human development in the western world since 1700*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Girling, R., Lusebrink, I., Farthing, E., Newman, T., & Poppy, G. (2013). Diesel exhaust rapidly degrades floral odours used by honeybees. *Scientific Reports*, 3. Retrieved from <http://www.nature.com/srep/2013/131003/srep02779/full/srep02779.html>
- Good, M., & Govender, N. (2010). Preservice teachers' conceptions of scientific literacy. In V. Mudaly (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Conference of the Southern African Association for research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. University of Kwazulu-Natal, Durban: South Africa.
- Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. (1997). *Minds of our own* [DVD]. Washington, DC: Annenberg Learner.
- Inwood, H., & Jagger, S. (2014). *Deepening environmental education in pre-service education resource*. Retrieved from <http://www.oise.utoronto.ca/ese/UserFiles/File/DEEPER%20Guide%202014.pdf>
- Jickling, B. (1992). Why I don't want my children to be educated for sustainable development. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 23(4), 5-8.
- Kortenkamp, K. & Moore, C. (2011). Ecocentrism and anthropocentrism: Moral reasoning about ecological commons dilemmas. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 21(3), 261-272.
- Kosnik, C., & Beck, C. (2009). *Priorities in teacher education: The 7 key elements of pre-service preparation*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Laugsch, R. C. (2000). Scientific literacy: A conceptual overview. *Science Education*, 84, 71-94.
- Lewis, J. (2006). Bringing the real world into the biology curriculum. *Journal of Biological Education*, 40(3), 101-106.
- Manetas, Y. (2012). *Alice in the land of plants: biology of plants and their importance for planet earth*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Mannion, G., Biesta, G., Priestley, M., & Ross, H. (2011). The global dimension in education and education for global citizenship: Genealogy and critique. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 9(3-4), 443-456.
- Martusewicz, R.A., Edmundson, J., & Lupinacci, J. (2011). *Ecojustice education: Toward diverse, democratic, and sustainable communities*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- McDonald, J.T., & Dominguez, L.A. (2010). Professional preparation for science teachers in environmental education. In: A. M. Bodzin, B. Shiner-Klein, & S. Weaver (Eds.), *The inclusion of environmental education in science teacher education* (pp.17-30). New York, NY: Springer.

- McKeown, R., & Hopkins, C. (2005). *Guidelines and recommendations for reorienting teacher education to address sustainability*. Paris, FR: UNESCO. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001433/143370E.pdf>
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Mintz, K., & Tal, T. (2013). Education for sustainability in higher education: A multiple-case study of three courses. *Journal of Biological Education*, 47(3), 140-149.
- Mueller, M.P., Tippins, D., & Bryan, L.A. (2012). The future of citizen science. *Democracy and Education*, 20(1). Retrieved from <http://democracyeducationjournal.org/home/vol20/iss1/2/>.
- Nolet, V. (2013). Teacher education and ESD in the United States: The vision, challenges, and implementation. In R. McKeown & V. Nolet (Eds.), *Schooling for sustainable development in Canada and the United States* (pp.53-67). Dordrecht, NL: Springer.
- Patrick, K., Macqueen, S., & Reynolds, R. (2014). Pre-service teacher perspectives on the importance of global education: World and classroom views. *Teachers and teaching: Theory and practice*.
- People of Colour Environmental Leadership Summit. (1991). *Principles of environmental justice*. Retrieved from <http://www.ejnet.org/ej/principles.pdf>
- Pollan, M. (2001). *The botany of desire: A plant's-eye view of the world*. Toronto, ON: Random House.
- Reis, G. (2009). Committing treason to oneself: Neglecting the effectiveness of translated activities in science and environmental education *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 4(1), 133-140.
- Reis, G. (in press). A socio-culturally sensitive science curriculum: What does it have to do with our bodies? In C. Milne, K. Tobin & D. Degenero (Eds.), *Sociocultural studies and implications for science education: The experiential and the virtual*. New York: Springer.
- Reis, G., Dionne, L., Valeri, A., & Freiman, M. (2013, June). *Pre-service science teachers' conceptions of nature and the environment: An exploratory study*. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Canadian Society for the Study of Education (CSSE), Victoria, British Colombia.
- Reis, G., & Guimaraes-Iosif, R. (2012). The death and life of a school-based environmental education and communication program in Brazil: Rethinking educational leadership and ecological learning. *Applied Environmental Education and Communication*, 11(3-4), 123-132.
- Reis, G., Guimaraes-Iosif, R., & Reis, J. (2009). Media and environmental literacy: making school relevant. *Revista txt: Leituras transdisciplinares de telas e textos*. Retrieved from http://www.revistatxt.teiadetextos.com.br/09/artigo_canada.html
- Reis, G., Ng-A-Fook, N., & Glithero, L. (in press). Provoking ecojustice: taking citizen science and youth activism beyond the school curriculum. In M. Mueller & D. Tippings (Eds.), *Ecojustice, citizen science and youth activism*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Roth, W.-M., & Lee, S. (2002). Scientific literacy as collective praxis. *Public Understanding of Science*, 11, 33-56.
- Russell, C.L., Bell, A.C., & Fawcett, L. (2000). Navigating the waters of Canadian environmental education. In T. Goldstein and D. Selby (Eds.), *Weaving connections: Educating for peace, social, and environmental justice* (pp. 197-217). Toronto, ON: Sumach Press.
- Sandell, K., Öhman, J., & Östman, L. (2005). *Education for sustainable development: nature, school and democracy*. Lund, SE: Studentlitteratur.
- Schultz, P.W. (2002). Inclusion with nature: The psychology of human-nature relations. In P. Schmuck and W.P. Schultz (Eds.), *Psychology of sustainable development* (pp. 61-78). Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Scott, D. (2011). The technological fix criticisms and the agricultural biotechnology debate. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 24(3), 207-226.
- Stables, A. (1996). Reading the environment as text: Literacy theory and environmental education. *Environmental Education Research*, 2(2), 189-206.

- Stewman, S.F., Jones-Rhoades, M., Bhimalapuram, P., Tchernookov, M., Preuss, D., & Dinner A.R. (2010). Mechanistic insights from a quantitative analysis of pollen tube guidance. *BMC Plant Biology*, 10, 32-51.
- Suryanarayanan, S. (2013). Balancing control and complexity in field studies of neonicotinoids and honey bee health. *Insects*, 4, 153-167.
- Suryanarayanan, S., & Kleinman, D.L. (2013). B(e)coming experts: The controversy over insecticides in the honey bee Colony Collapse Disorder. *Social Studies of Science*, 43(2), 215-240.
- United Nations' Sustainable Development. (1992). Agenda 21. Retrieved from <http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/Agenda21.pdf>
- United States Department of Agriculture (2010). *Colony collapse disorder progress report*. Retrieved from <http://www.ars.usda.gov/is/br/ccd/ccdprogressreport2010.pdf>
- Unterhalter, E. (2012). Poverty, education, gender and the Millennium Development Goals: Reflections on boundaries and intersectionality. *Theory and Research in Education*, 10(3) 253-274.
- van Eijck, M., & W.-M. Roth. (2010). Theorizing scientific literacy in the wild. *Educational Research Review*, 5(2), 184-194.
- Villeda, S., Plambeck, K., Middeldorp, J., Castellano, J., Mosher, K., Luo, J., ... Wyss-Coray, T. (2014). Young blood reverses age-related impairments in cognitive function and synaptic plasticity in mice. *Nature Medicine*, 20, 659-663.
- Walshe, N. (2008). Understanding students' conceptions of sustainability. *Environmental Education Research*, 14(5), 537-558.
- Wandersee, J., & Schussler, E. (1999). Preventing plant blindness. *The American Biology Teacher*, 61(2), 82-86.
- Weiland, I., & Morrison, J. (2013). The integration of environmental education into two elementary preservice science methods courses: a content-based and a method-based approach. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 24(6), 1023-1047.
- Wilson, E.O. (2006). *The creation: an appeal to save life on Earth*. New York, NY: Norton.

GIULIANO REIS is the executive director of the research unit Ecoscience 21 and has a wide experience in science teacher education. His main research interest is the study of discourse in/for the teaching and learning of science and environmental education in various learning contexts. Giuliano can be contacted at greis@uottawa.ca

GIULIANO REIS est le directeur exécutif de l'unité de recherche ÉCOSCIENCE 21 et dispose d'une large expérience dans la formation des enseignants de sciences. Son intérêt principal de recherche est sur l'étude de le discours dans les divers contextes d'enseignement et apprentissage des sciences et éducation environnementale. Giuliano peut être contacté à greis@uottawa.ca