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de l’humanisme s’explique en partie par la nature encyclopédique de la VA, mais elle contraste avec les pratiques de son temps, en plus d’avoir pour effet l’exact contraire de ce qu’elle visait : « conférer au texte honni et hérétique un statut et une valeur qui tendent à le rapprocher, malgré l’intention du commentateur, des textes sacrés » (300).

En conclusion, Holtz revient sur plusieurs aspects essentiels de la VA, tels que le mouvement général de sa réception, qui « semble aller du rire de Rabelais à la condamnation des démonologues » (303), le rôle essentiel joué par les médiateurs (dont font aussi partie les lecteurs citant ensuite la VA dans leurs textes), ainsi que sa dimension orientale fabuleuse, qui a sa part dans les critiques dont la VA fait l’objet. Une partie importante de la conclusion porte sur la dimension prophétique, voire divine, qu’on attribue à la figure d’Apollonius, en une sorte d’idolâtrie dont s’accusent mutuellement catholiques et protestants au temps des guerres de religion. Le propos s’ouvre finalement sur les siècles suivants et montre la perte de crédit de la VA en tant qu’histoire, à l’avantage d’une lecture fictionnelle, sans doute en raison, notamment, de la séparation progressive des savoirs mobilisés par cette œuvre syncrétique.

Arrivé au terme de cet ouvrage, on a bien compris pourquoi son titre fait de la VA un miroir pour les humanistes : elle les « questionne […] sur leurs propres pratiques éditoriales, sur leurs critères de classement et de hiérarchisation des Anciens, elle leur renvoie le miroir de leur propre rapport au paganisme antique, fait d’admiration et de méfiance » (307). Et ce n’est pas rien.
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An addition to the Magic in History Sourcebooks series, The Magic of Rogues makes a modest but interesting contribution to historical scholarship. The purpose of the book is to bring together “manuscripts of magic and legal
proceedings against magicians”; the focus therefore falls on “illicit learned magic” (1). But “learned magic,” Klaassen and Wright admit more than once, is a potentially misleading term. The book examines magical practitioners who represent “cunning folk in general […] men with modest but higher than usual levels of learning,” who are “rogues” only insofar as their “activities came to the attention of the authorities, and they were punished for them” (6). As a sourcebook, the volume understandably leaves readers to draw many of their own conclusions, one of which may be that there are, in the final analysis, indeed a few rogues within the cast of characters here presented.

The Introduction helpfully clarifies that necromancy rarely has anything to do with animating corpses but is “largely dedicated to spirit conjuring” (2). As the topic is early Tudor, primarily pre-Reformation English magic, the connection between magical practitioners and a “clerical underworld” whose ruling assumptions reflect “conventional medieval Christian thinking” (2–3) is not surprising. While initially predominantly in Latin, the number of necromantic manuscripts in English significantly increases as the sixteenth century progresses, which demonstrates both “a growing popular interest” and “their introduction into a network of information exchange among laypeople” (5), one of the book’s more interesting historical observations. Another key historical point is that, in spite of the eventual criminalization of magic—Henry VIII’s 1542 legislation that rendered it a capital offense—there does not, even in the face of its increasing popularity, “appear to have been sufficient institutional will to pursue a systematic program of rooting it out” (7). While the editors briefly (and unpersuasively) speculate on the possible humaneness of justices in the face of “the draconian nature of Henry’s legislation” (11), it is undeniable that the perpetrators of the two main episodes of magical practice focused on in the book eventually escaped with surprisingly lenient punishment.

These two episodes are divided into two parts, designated “Magic and the Secular Authorities” and “Magic and Ecclesiastical Authorities.” Both of these sections are further divided into a reproduction of the legal documents relevant in each case, followed by the magic texts that inform or encourage the specific practices constituting the (potential) criminal activity. The first section treats William Neville, a minor poet and the second son of a Worcestershire baron, who in 1531 became tempted by prophecies from cunning men regarding his future social ascendency. As some of these prophecies involved a declaration of the premature death of Henry VIII, the political danger is evident; fear motivated
two men, Neville’s associate and his chaplain, to inform the authorities. Many of the legal documents involve communications with Thomas Cromwell, still in his power and glory. The second section involves the story of the Mixindale hoard in York, an almost comic tale of a bumbling fellowship of priestly conjurers and treasure seekers who found no treasure but only trouble in the winter of 1510. The reader may find the transcriptions of legal documents challenging or frustrating; I found at first only minor psychological interest in the Neville documents, and at times wondered if all the details of the depositions listed in the case of the Mixindale hoard were really worth digesting. At any rate, the editors offer very clear summaries of both episodes and helpful tables of the participants in each case, and readers may be tempted to rely mainly on these. However, the reproduction of the magic texts themselves more than justifies, in both parts, the price of admission. This material helpfully corrects readers of later sixteenth-century literature, such as Marlowe’s Doctor Faustus, who may assume something deeply subversive and shockingly ironic about a stage magician’s “appropriation” of religious imagery and doctrine within a necromantic, magical pursuit. These texts strongly suggest that conjuring ability in fact cannot really exist without recourse to Christian doctrine and belief. Yet what is even more shocking is the almost gratuitous, perverse assertion of personal power through a displacement of Christ’s suffering, since Jesus is ultimately equated with the demons in the magician’s bid for control: “O Lord Jesus Christ […] whose will it was to be fixed on the cross with nails by Jews, thus let them [the spirits or demons] be fixed by me, your servant […] with your holy names so that they may be obedient, forbearing, and useful servants in all things, until I have my will fully completed by them” (72). The evacuation of spirits who guard treasure involves a similarly gratuitous, fantastical appropriation of the power of Christ and the Trinity: “Depart, o you spirit […] from this place […] and treasure and that thou […] come not nigh unto it by the space of a hundred miles until we have taken and obtained our wills and pleasures by the virtue of the Holy Ghost” (127).

It is probably unfair to complain that the page-and-a-half Conclusion to this book appears inadequate in its brevity. This sourcebook stands to encourage further reflection and analysis. Klaassen and Wright acknowledge in the Introduction that “necromancy was […] an almost uniformly male pursuit” (2), and the material here certainly indicates the depth of the delusion, and narcissism, that drives masculine ambition in the early modern period. The
book therefore suggests the extent of the psychological work that remains to be
done in succeeding decades (and centuries) to arrive at more viable forms of
personal assertiveness within Christian (and post-Christian) cultures.
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This well-researched book illuminates an excellent topic from the history of
early modern philology and the relationship of literature and grammar-school
education: how classroom teaching and the learning of grammar in the age
of Shakespeare frequently connected language to emotions, and how this
connection was manifested in different forms of conduct presented in drama
and poetry by writers who absorbed the grammar curriculum in school. The
book advances new arguments and original readings of lyric poetry, tragedy, and
comedy. “Emotion” and “affect,” terms that are sometimes used interchangeably
in both historical and theoretical analyses but that disclose nuanced and
different relations to human subjects in a social milieu, are concisely and
persuasively explored using the conceptual tools of philosophy of language,
historical debates about the nature and practices of school teaching, moral
philosophy, affect theory, and cultural and historical writing about emotions
and, broadly, psychology. The result of pulling together these intersecting
conceptual threads is to grasp “the nature of emotion itself” (5)—a challenging
historical and theoretical task—in drama and lyric poetry.

The book contains substantial chapters on Philip Sidney’s sonnets from
Astrophel and Stella, Shakespeare’s comedy (Love’s Labour’s Lost) and tragedy
(Hamlet), and Ben Jonson’s city comedy (Every Man in His Humour and Every
Man out of His Humour). Ludwig Wittgenstein’s philosophy of language,
especially of language games, is the theoretical foundation of all chapters.