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Abstract:
The conventional description and explanation of industrial location in the nineteenth century emphasizes the concentration of production in the city core. In contrast this paper finds that for mid-nineteenth century Montreal a significant number of firms were locating on the urban fringe. In a case study of Saint-Ann ward between 1851 and 1871, it is shown that the Lachine canal was a powerful magnet attracting large-scale, technologically-advance industries. Other factors accounting for the development of this peripheral industrial district were cyclical change, new technologies, large capital investments, inter-industry linkages, and changes in the organizational structure of firms.

Introduction
The primary concern of the historiography of 19th and early 20th-century suburban development has been the movement of the middle class from the city core to the periphery. The suburbanization of the working class and industry are seen as phenomena that followed later. The movement of industry to the fringe has been perceived as a subsidiary event compared to the concentration of production in the city core. For most writers the decentralization of industry only becomes an important feature of the city suburbs after World War I. Recent work, however, suggests that we have neglected the degree to which the formation of industrial suburban areas were important components of the city-building process in this period.

The purpose of this paper is to examine that interpretation of the location of industry. After a brief overview of the two conventional explanations of industrial location in the 19th-century city, I will present a reformulation of the argument for industrial decentralization. Next, a discussion of Montreal’s industrialization within the context of this reformulation is presented. This is followed by a case study of the Lachine canal district in the Saint-Ann ward of Montreal between 1851 and 1871. The Lachine canal district was a major pole of industrial development in the early 1850s and constituted the city’s first industrial suburban area. The growth of industry on the urban periphery, far from being a characteristic of the 20th century, was a product of the restructuring of industry and the rearrangement of the city’s geography at mid century.

Perspectives on Industrial Suburbs
The decentralization of industry from the core to the suburban fringe has generally been viewed within the framework of two models: the transportation and the transactional. According to the transportation position, industrial location in the nineteenth century was determined by the location of transportation nodes and the cost of intrametropolitan transportation. The location of railway terminals and harbours in or adjacent to the central core is seen as decisive in attracting industry to the city centre. This was reinforced by the fact that intrametropolitan freight transportation was expensive and inefficient compared to the transportation of people. Other factors such as the dependence on economies of agglomeration, the small size of the urban market, and the lack of housing compounded the need for industry to seek a central location. It was only with the introduction of transportation innovations at the turn of the century that industry was freed from a central location. These innovations—the trolley and the truck—in conjunction with increasing external diseconomies (rising land values, traffic congestion, and escalating taxes), and new production techniques broke the ties of industry to the central core and allowed industry to move to the periphery.

The second interpretation of the centralization of production has come from Allan Scott. While arriving at the same conclusion as Warner and others regarding the location of production in the 19th-century city, his explanation is more penetrating and provides some valuable leads to a reformulation of the industrial structure of the city. He distinguishes two different types of industry in the 19th century. The first type—small-scale labour-intensive industry—lacked internal economies of scale and involved a fragmented labour process. This resulted in small, specialized units making up a complex of independent and ‘vertically disintegrated’ producers. The resistance of these industries to
mechanization and the ensuing fragmenta-
tion of labour resulted in centrally lo-
cated complexes of economic activity
linked together by external economies of
scale. The second type was large-scale,
materials-intensive manufacturing in
which inputs were heavy and/or
voluminous in comparison to outputs.

These firms sought locations close to rail
and water transportation facilities where
they could minimize the costs of transac-
tions. In Scott’s view both types of in-
dustry located centrally, although for
different reasons.

Although there is little doubt that the city
core was the locus of industry and
employment throughout the 19th century,
recent research by historians and geog-
raphers raise questions concerning the
validity of a core-dominated locational
model. A striking example of this is a
study of Baltimore in 1860. While it had
six major industrial districts, only two of
which were centrally located, four were
“located on the edge of the city’s built-up
area.” Montreal in 1871 was also charac-
terized by the existence of peripheral in-
dustrial districts. 10 What these studies
suggest is that the mid-19th century city
had a more complex structure than is
generally assumed. It also implies that
there are problems in the explanations
provided by the transportation and the
transactional models. I argue that the
19th-century city was characterized by a
fragmented and cellular industrial geog-
raphy. The massive expansion of the
world economy alongside the fundamen-
tal reorganization of manufacturing
generated a powerful set of impulses for
the restructuring of intra-urban manufac-
turing location. One aspect of this altered
landscape was the emergence of
peripheral industrial districts which co-ex-
isted alongside the dominant centrally-lo-
cated district.

A common failing of the two models is their
ability to make explicit the connection be-
tween the internal structure of cities and ex-
ternal pressures. 11 This is particularly
evident with regard to the changes as-
associated with the long wave (Kondratieff
cycle). 12 An important aspect of the loca-
tional base of urban development is the
manner in which long-term waves of in-
dustrial change flow through the
landscape. In periods of economic growth
the large-scale flow of capital into urban
areas takes the form of large fixed capital
outlays as new technologies are intro-
duced, existing plants expanded, and new
firms created. The result is larger firms
which are more vertically integrated and
less dependent on agglomeration
economies. Alongside these are changes in
the political and social contexts. 13 Espe-
cially important here is the capacity of local
growth alliances to construct a built en-
vironment which is conducive to the
development of industrial growth. This
takes place within a competitive environ-
ment with each city attempting to outdo the
others. 14 Thus, at the local scale, the ability
to tap growth was critical not only to the
viability of the city’s long-term economic
growth but also to the form its spatial struc-
ture would take. For those cities, such as
Montreal, that were able to capture some
of the growth impulses there would be im-
portant changes to both the organizational
and spatial structures of industry.

Associated with major economic expan-
sion is the growth of new industries. Com-
monly, these new industries lead all
others in rates of growth, technological in-
novation, capital investment, segmenta-
tion of the production process and the
labour force, and the introduction of new
strategies to control labour. 15 During any
period, a group of industries are the
dominant ones around which industrial
acceleration takes place. It is from these
that growth pulses to other industries
often originate: the impact of new tech-
nologies in leading industries can spill
over into others. At the same time, each
round of change in technology and the
composition of industrial structure,
produces a modification of the relative im-
portance, and of the place of industries
within the economic structure.

A second problem with the models is
that they assume a degree of organiza-
tional homogeneity which did not exist in
the 19th century. The transportation
model takes for granted that industry was
all of one type and functioning according
to the same rationale. Its neoclassical
economic foundations ensure that those
cases which do not fit into the central-
ized model are seen as marginal. Scott,
on the other hand, reduces organization-
al diversity to two types of industry. Al-
though an advance on earlier work, all
industries which do not fall into one of his
two categories are, by definition, ex-
cluded from analysis. The picture he
paints of industrial organization is one
characterized by mutually exclusive
spheres where large and small firms func-
tion in different worlds.

A fundamental feature of 19th-century in-
dustry, however, was the diversity of or-
ganizational structures between and
within industries. 16 A formative element
of this is that “(d)ifferent products have dif-
ferent production methods with divergent
potentials for standardization, mechaniza-
tion and other forms of rationalization”. 17
Each industry follows a different growth
path with its own choice of technology,
labour process, labour force, and scale.
Throughout the 19th century a number of
factors were responsible for the slow and
uneven application of technology to
production. They included the abundance
of labour, the increasing division of labour,
technical difficulties associated with much of the machinery, inability to mechanize many parts of the production process, and increasing productivity through hand technology. The varied character of industry manifested itself in a wide range of labour process forms. Different products call for different ways of organizing how they were to be made. The result was that industries were characterized by different methods with varying degrees of mechanization and skill. Even within the same firm a number of distinct labour processes could be juxtaposed. In a study of Philadelphia between 1850 and 1880, for example, five different work environments have been described ranging from the small artisan workshop to the large factory. While an industry may be dominated by large firms, many small, specialized ones can operate on its fringes. Another feature of the organizational diversity was that the labour force took on a variety of forms. New industries created the need for both skilled and semi-skilled workers. In the older industries, workers either adapted their labour to the changing situation, moved into newer occupations, or became occupationally redundant.

What this all suggests is that the location-technical choices that 19th-century industries had available to them were much more diverse than what is accommodated by the transportation and transactional models. Each new burst of economic growth creates new economic space. When this growth occurs alongside radical changes in technologies, the development of new industries, and the reshaping of the organizational basis of industry there will be strong pressures for the development of new industrial spaces and the modification of existing ones. There is no a priori reason for believing that these new spaces have to be centrally located. Indeed, the changes associated with an expanding economy and the restructuring of the social organization of production would have a number of significant effects on the locational possibilities of industries. These include the reformulation of transport costs, the creation of new labour demands, the transformation of linkage networks, and the change of a firm’s space requirements. These changes working in conjunction with the organizational diversity of industry would create powerful forces upon a city’s industrial geography. The cumulative effect of the dissolution, development and modification of industries establishes the possibility for changes to the locational logic of urban industry.

A significant degree of the expanding capital investment and many of the new forms of the social organization of production were concentrated in new industries which were large in scale and incorporated new technologies. The economies of scale that these large-scale, capital-intensive firms could generate made them less reliant on centrally-located agglomeration economies and created the possibility for them to locate in peripheral areas. The outward thrust of these firms would be enhanced by the low land values and large amounts of space available on the city edge as well as the accompanying flow of fixed capital into transportation networks and working-class housing.

Furthermore, the establishment of large-scale firms on the periphery would act as a powerful magnet to firms of all sizes. While the economies of scale that large integrated firms could achieve made them less reliant on inter-firm linkages, they frequently contracted out work to smaller firms, and were dependent upon intimate relations with merchants, financiers, and transport facilities. While large firms tend to have a smaller number of linkages between them and other firms, very few firms are integrated to the degree that they have no need for products from other firms. Indeed, other factors such as industrial type, degree of standardization, the extent of spinoffs and the location of ownership play an important role in the volume of linkages between firms. While large firms may not be heavily dependent upon local linkages, this is not necessarily the case for small ones. The movement of large firms to the periphery could attract a host of smaller ones. This is especially true in the 19th century when the degree of vertical integration was relatively incomplete and linkages between firms were short distance.

In conclusion, discrepancies in empirical work and weaknesses in theory has led us to question the prevailing description and interpretation of industrial location patterns in the 19th century. In particular, the impact of cyclical change, the introduction of new technologies and new industries, the creation of large bodies of fixed capital, the establishment and extension of inter-industry linkages, and a diverse organizational structure can be translated into a varied assortment of locational possibilities. Just how this was achieved in Montreal will be shown through a case-study of Saint-Ann’s ward, with particular attention to the Lachine canal area, between 1851 and 1871. First, however, an overview of Montreal’s development in this period needs to be presented.

Industrialization in Montreal, 1851–71

From the late 1840s to the early 1870s Montreal became an important industrial
centre. Before 1850 Montreal was primarily a commercial city whose major function was to export primary goods and import manufactured goods. There was a small degree of manufacturing taking place in the 1820s and 1830s, but it was not until the late 1840s that industry became an important feature of the city’s economy. A critical factor behind this was the repeal of the corn laws and the navigation act in the 1840s, which forced merchants to seek other profit-making avenues. From the late 1840s to the early 1870s the world economy expanded rapidly. With the dismantling of the colonial system, Canada became increasingly integrated into the wider international economy. From 1860 to 1870 Canada’s population rose at an annual rate of 2.1 per cent and exports increased from $17 to $67 million.

Concomitant with the expanding national economy was the growth in demand for Montreal’s products. At the local scale, the expanding urban population and the declining self-sufficiency of the rural population of the Montreal plain were critical features underlying the growth of the market for both the city’s importers and industrial producers. Montreal’s industry supplied a significant share of the rapidly growing national demand for shoes, flour, clothing, sugar and metal goods. For example, it has been estimated that 75 per cent of the shoes worn in Canada in 1872 were manufactured in Montreal. While international markets in the 1840s were not as important as local and national markets, they became increasingly important over the period. Markets in the United States especially opened to Quebec products. For example, two of the city’s fur hat manufacturers exported more than 75 per cent of their produce while the market for ready-made clothing in the United States greatly expanded with the Civil War.

At the same time as markets were enlarging there was an increased flow of capital into industry, transportation and communications. Foreign investment in many sectors of the Quebec economy accelerated industrial expansion. The tremendous growth of the railway network, centred in Montreal, helped break down local markets and created markets for a wide range of products. The establishment of the Montreal Telegraph Company in 1847 further propelled Montreal’s commercial and industrial sectors into a wider market: by 1856 the company’s range was over 2,000 miles and connected Montreal to points in Canada and the United States. At the same time, the capital accumulated by Montreal’s bourgeoisie in the colonial commerce system was channelled into banking, transportation and industry. The shift of artisans to manufacturers and the arrival of immigrant industrialists further resulted in the accumulation of industrial capital.

By the 1850s the basic structure of a capitalist labour market had been created in Canada. Two major groups constituted Montreal’s first proletariat. The arrival of unskilled famine Irish and skilled British made up the first group. The other consisted of the French Canadians who, dislocated from agriculture, streamed into Montreal from the surrounding parishes. Both groups formed the basis of Canada’s first urban-industrial labour market. At the same time, women and children became increasingly integrated into the industrial workforce. In 1871, 33 per cent of the city’s labour force were women while 25 per cent of all boys between the age of 11 and 14 worked.

Central to the rise of Montreal as the primary industrial complex of Canada was its position in the international economy, its capture of expanding markets, the massive flow of capital into a number of sectors, and the rise of an industrial labour force. Fundamental changes were also occurring in the organizational structure of the city’s industry.

An outstanding feature of Montreal’s growth that was associated with the expansion after the late 1840s was the growing scale of firms across a wide range of industries. Although the 1871 census gives a mean of 19 workers and capital investment of $10,100 per firm, averages hide the large scale of some firms. Industries such as sugar refining, flour milling, brewing, engine building, and cotton were characterized by a large number of workers and huge capital investment. The locomotive works of the Grand Trunk Railway employed 790 workers in 1871. Canada Rubber, established in 1853 in the east end, employed 158 workers in five buildings worth more than $55,000 in 1856. By 1871 the number of employees had risen to 370 and it was producing almost 650,000 pairs of rubber boots and shoes annually. Even in some sectors that were small in size, certain firms were large. The fur and hat factory of Green and Son, established in 1832, employed 177 workers in 1856 (mainly women) and had capital of $60,000 invested in its four storey building in the heart of Montreal.

In the wave of economic growth that swept Montreal in the 1850s the primary sectors of growth were the food processing, metal, shoe and transportation equipment sectors. These sectors were transformed through the introduction of new technologies or the restructuring of the social organization of production. Moreover, the ability of Montreal’s industrialists and merchants to tap the
growth of both local and non-local markets added to the general cumulative process.

In terms of value the sugar industry was one of the leading sectors by 1871. Montreal had two plants. The larger was Redpath Sugar, which was established in 1855, and took the lead as the largest factory in the city. In 1867 the two sugar companies together had capital of over $1 million, while in 1871 they employed 339 workers and accounted for 12 per cent of the city's total value of production. The flour industry, likewise stimulated by the rising demands in national and international markets in the late 1840s, was extremely capital-intensive and five firms accounted for over 6 per cent of the city's total value in 1871. The shoe industry which had been undergoing an increasing division of labour for a number of decades, quickly mechanized in the 1850s. By 1871 a small number of firms controlled a large proportion of the industry's production. The metal working industry had a long history in Montreal. Established in conjunction with ship building in the second decade of the 19th century, it experienced dramatic growth after 1851. By 1871, the metal sector was highly diverse, ranging from small specialized machine shops through nail factories, lead pipe firms to large rolling mills. Much of the growth was based on the expansion of the transportation equipment sector which appeared in the early 1850s. The Grand Trunk Railway shops at Point Saint-Charles were Canada's first large-scale, vertically integrated production site. While the period saw a dramatic growth of large and mechanized firms, it cannot be said that the large-scale factory dominated Montreal's industrial landscape. There was a juxtaposition of artisan shop and factory. There was wide variation between industries in terms of capital, number of employees, technology, labour process, and the labour force. Some industries such as clothing, baking and coopering remained relatively unmechanized and small in scale while others such as flour, sugar, rolling stock, and brewing underwent mechanization and standardization and were large in scale. Even within industrial sectors there were enormous differences between firms. In 1871 the largest seventeen firms in the shoe industry (14 per cent of all establishments) accounted for 70 per cent of employees and 82 per cent of the shoes produced. One of the factors behind the divergence among industries was the uneven application of technology. In the flour industry the introduction of the Hungarian and roller processes was instrumental in determining its scale and concentration. The introduction of packing technology from Chicago in the 1850s was a strong incentive in the growth of Canada's meat packing industry. In the rubber industry large-scale manufacturing was made possible by the introduction of vulcanization and other processes. Within an industry and even within a firm, production was carried out by a variety of production systems. In the city's type foundry, for example, a cast type machine formed the basic shape, but boys broke off the 'jets' and girls smoothed the surfaces. The shoe industry displayed an increasing division of labour. The making of shoes was relatively simple: it was the breaking-up of production into an increasing number of steps that underlay the transformation. In the foundry and machine shops, moulders and machinists, because of their indispensable skills and the difficulty of introducing machinery in many aspects of production, "tended to work on their own." The industrial labour force was segmented by gender and ethnicity. In industries such as clothing, shoes, tobacco, textiles, and rubber, women accounted for at least half of the work force. Various studies have shown that Montreal's economy was differentiated along the lines of ethnicity. French-Canadians and the Irish constituted the bulk of workers in the unskilled and semi-skilled sectors of industry while the British and Americans dominated the skilled sectors.

By the 1870s Montreal had become the preeminent industrial centre of Canada. A central feature of this growth was the ability of Montreal's commercial and industrial elite to link the city's economic structures to the expansion of the world economy. At the same time, the organization of industry underwent massive changes. This revision took the form of increasing firm scale, the introduction of new industries or the fundamental restructuring of old ones, and the introduction of a wide range of technologies, skill opportunities and production systems. The industrial changes were associated with the reshaping of the city's industrial geography. The new economic configuration established new locational possibilities. An outstanding example of this was Saint-Ann ward, especially the Lachine canal area, which very swiftly became the focus for much of this new growth.

Saint-Ann Ward and the Lachine Canal, 1851-71

The 20 years following the depression of the 1840s were extremely important ones in the industrial history of Montreal. A central element of the city's rapid in-
Industrial growth was the development of manufacturing alongside the Lachine canal in Saint-Ann's ward (See. Figure 1). Very quickly the ward's industrial and spatial character was transformed. A technologically-advanced, hydraulically-based, energy-intensive form of production was superimposed upon small-scale artisanal production. Concomitant with this industrial transformation was a reorganization of its geography, as the Lachine canal on the fringe of the built-up area became the locus of the new form of production. As early as 1831, a writer in the Montreal Gazette stated that Griffintown, the original core of the ward, "has more machinery in operation within its limits than any other portion of Montreal." The Eagle Foundry, for example, had an assortment of turning lathes, grind.
stones, and trip hammers, all powered by an eight horsepower engine. Other firms employing both machinery and steam power included another foundry, a nail factory, a soap and candle works, a tannery, four flour mills, and a smut mill. Nonetheless, the small artisan shop, employing a journeyman or two was the principal form of production.

From these early beginnings, Saint-Ann was to experience tremendous changes in the scale of industry. As Table 1 shows, in 20 years the number of firms in the ward almost tripled from 64 to 176. More important than the number of firms was the increasing scale. The median annual rent rose from $60 in 1851 to $144 in 1871, while the aggregate increased more than sevenfold. A more detailed description is shown in Table 2. In 1851, 86 per cent of all firms had rents lower than $300 while 20 years later this had declined to 62 per cent. In Figure 2, the 15 largest firms in 1851 and 1871 are shown. Over the 20 years the importance of Griffintown declined as the Lachine canal became the focus of many of the largest firms. It was enterprises such as Bartley and Dunbar’s St. Lawrence Engine Works located at the Canal basin that were responsible for this dramatic growth. Their 160 men and apprentices manufactured boilers, engines, iron and brass castings, and millwork in a large factory composed of a smith’s shop, a boiler shop, a foundry, a pattern shop, and a finishing shop. The centre piece of Redpath’s sugar refinery, another large canal plant, was the “main” building, a seven storey stone and brick edifice that could produce 6,000 barrels of refined sugar monthly.

One historian estimates that between 1847 and 1854, a sum of $2 million was invested in the ward’s industry, 2000 jobs were created; and about 30 factories estab-

---

**TABLE 1**

Saint-Ann’s Industry by Rent, 1851–71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Median rent ($)</th>
<th>Mean rent ($)</th>
<th>Total rent ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>11,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>35,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>51,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>67,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>85,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ville de Montréal, rôle d'évaluation.

---

**TABLE 2**

Firm Size in Saint-Ann, 1851–71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1851</th>
<th></th>
<th>1856</th>
<th></th>
<th>1861</th>
<th></th>
<th>1866</th>
<th></th>
<th>1871</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–299</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–799</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800–1599</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total | 64   | 99.9  | 102  | 100.0 | 126  | 99.9  | 143  | 100.1 | 176  | 100.1 |

Source: As for Table 1.
Impetus for this was the large capital investment made by outside entrepreneurs and loans extended by Canadian banks. Skilled labour from Britain and the United States, and unskilled labour from Ireland and the countryside surrounding Montreal also stimulated development.

Take the case of the cotton cloth factory of F.W. Harris located at St. Gabriel locks. In the manufacture of "seamless bags and denims", he employed 70 workers, "nearly all women and children". On the other hand, the moulders employed in Saint-Ann's foundries whose work "require(d) great skill" were essential to the manufacture of castings. The state was also important as it not only offered industrialists what Tulchinsky has termed "bénéficient encouragement", but it also heavily subsidized the reconstruction of the Lachine canal whose hydraulic power provided a growth pole for large-scale industry. The ability of Saint-Ann's manufacturers to capture a growing share of national and international markets played a large role too. For example, William Allen's chair factory produced "chiefly for home consumption" and his chairs were "fast superseding those of American manufacture." The threshing machine manufacturer, B.P. Paige, stated that "there is increased demand both for home consumption and export, and the business is steadily increasing." Despite the increasing size of firms, Saint-Ann's industrial structure was characterized by a diversity of scale, industry, and technological organization. Even when large capital investments were flowing into Redpath's sugar refinery or Gould's flour mill, a large number of small and medium-size firms dotted the ward's landscape. The variety of scale is shown in Table 2. In 1871, for example, almost 40 per cent of the ward's firms had rents less than $100, almost 48 per

Figure 2: Largest 15 Firms in Saint-Ann, 1851 and 1871
TABLE 3: 
The Number and Rent of Saint-Ann's by Sector, 1851–71 (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>1851 No</th>
<th>1851 Rent</th>
<th>1861 No</th>
<th>1861 Rent</th>
<th>1871 No</th>
<th>1871 Rent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As for Table 1.

In this period the water and steam powered mills replaced "the various artisan boutiques and manually-powered shops as the more technically advanced form of enterprise." Despite this, the form of industrial production taking place was characterized by the coexistence of different inputs of machinery. The metal industry in 1861 is a good example of this. The 42 firms that made up the industry had a median rent of $160. At one end of the spectrum, foundries, nail factories, rolling mills, lead pipe makers, and threshing machine manufacturers were large in scale and employed newer technology and different forms of labour. In 1871 the five nail factories along the canal were producing over 90 per cent of Canada's output. Technological advances and vertical integration are greatly responsible for this. Two of the nail manufacturers in the late 1850s, in order to manufacture nail plate, built rolling mills and introduced puddling furnaces in the early 1860s. The skilled employees in Robert Scott's edge tool works in 1856 worked with a vast assortment of machines: trip hammers, polishing frames, auger lathes, grind stones, mers, a friction wheel, an oval lathe, a circular saw, a wood polishing machine, and a lip strapping machine. At the other extreme, 19 blacksmiths as well as an assortment of spike makers, machinists, platers, lock makers, scale makers, and file cutters were small in scale and either specialized in particular market niches or operated on the fringes of larger firms. Unlike the foundries and nail factories, these establishments did not employ much, if any, motive power or machinery. Hand work still was the predominant form of production. The well-developed character of technology in other industries is also evident among the firms established in Saint-Ann. In the wood-processing industry, it has been alleged that Canada was more technologically advanced than that of the United States. James Shearer's sash and door factory located close to Redpath's refinery produced doors, sashes, blinds, mouldings, and architraves with "ingenious machinery" which "do very much of the work." The manufacture of putty and paints in the chemical factory of Lyman, Clare and Company was "performed by powerful machinery." The Commissioners of Public Works report of 1856 indicates that the firms along the Lachine, without exception, employed a wide variety of modern machinery. By 1871 Saint-Ann can be described as a complex of linked, diverse, and horizontally disintegrated firms. Linkages extended within industries and between...
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industries and firms of different sizes. John McDougall's foundry manufactured railway car wheels that must have found their way to the Grand Trunk Railway shops in Point Saint-Charles. Coopers provided barrels and kegs for all trades, and blacksmiths applied their skills in carriagemaking. In 1876, George Drummond told a government committee that the Redpath sugar refinery indirectly employed at least 75 workers in such trades as coopering and carting besides the 300 employees in the refinery proper. Shearer's sash and door factory built its mouldings and much of its other woodwork for steamship builders. George Brush's Eagle Foundry manufactured nearly all the steam engines used in the vicinity's steamers. Saint-Ann's firms were not only connected by direct linkages: the existence of a diverse labour force also played a major role. In the 20 years following 1851, the ward's population more than doubled. The 7,455 people living there in 1850 had climbed to nearly 19,000 in 1871. The vast number were employed in the surrounding factories and workshops. In 1861 more than 90 per cent of all household heads were manual workers. The ward had concentrations of workers in skilled occupations such as machinists, moulders and coopers. A large number of these skilled workers were from Britain and the United States. There was also a large number of unskilled workers: labourers accounted for more than one-third of the ward's household heads. To the north of Saint-Ann, in the southern part of Saint-Antoine, there were also substantial numbers of workers. A large number were French Canadians. With this large and varied labour pool to draw on, an essential component of production was met.

The radical changes taking place in the industrial character of Saint-Ann went hand in hand with the reorganization of the location of industry. Although Griffintown had been the traditional manufacturing core, in the mid-1840s a new set of firms—larger and more capital-intensive—would locate on the rural fringe of both the ward and the city. At the time of the redevelopment of the canal in the mid-1840s the land of the Saint-Sulpician seminary bordering the Lachine canal was still being used for "the leasing of pasture, the sale of farm produce, and the use of farm workers and horses to haul firewood". According to the Royal Commission of 1887 looking into the leasing of water power at the Lachine canal, "at the date (1851) of the lease of the power at . . . (Saint-Gabriel) lock, it was at the outskirts of the city". In the first years of the recovery from the depression of the 1840s the industrial face of Saint-Ann was transformed. The establishment of large-scale mills, first at the canal basin and later at Saint-Gabriel locks, created an industrial district that mirrored the break with the pre-industrial past. It was during the early years of the 1850s when the shape of the new geography was created. The ward's 64 firms of 1851 were concentrated in Griffintown. As Table 4 indicates, Griffintown's 47 firms (73 per cent) accounted for more than half of the ward's total rent. They were generally small in scale, although some larger establishments such as the gas company ($1,000) and George Brush's Eagle Foundry ($800) existed. Along Notre Dame street, the major commercial artery, were a dozen small manufacturing firms catering largely to a retail trade: two bakeries, two saddlers, and four cabinet makers. The western part of the ward along the canal was yet little developed. In the west end lay Cantin's ship yard, while a flour mill, a foundry, and two nail factories were located on Mill street. What is of interest, as it points to future developments, is that these firms were larger and more technologically advanced than other firms in the city.

The Lachine area over the next five years underwent a massive infusion of capital investment. From five firms with an aggregate rent of $3,000 in 1851, the area had 29 firms with an aggregate rent of $21,960. Its share of the ward's total rent rose from 25 per cent to 62 per cent. Firms such as Montreal Rubber ($800), Ostell's saw mill ($1,040), Redmond's foundry ($600), and Redpath's sugar refinery ($4,000) appeared, and existing firms expanded: rent on Gilbert and Bartley's foundry rose from $280 to $1,000, on Ira Gould's flour mill from $1,200 to $3,000, and on Peck's nail factory from $320 to $960. As a result, the median rent for establishments along the canal rose to $500, more than four times the city median. The Lachine area, however, was not homogeneous: large flour mills, foundries and nail factories dominated the landscape along Mill street, while at the Saint-Gabriel locks firms were smaller and more diversified. Nonetheless, the establishments along the Lachine canal can be distinguished from those of Griffintown and Notre Dame by their scale and organization.

This dramatic burst of industrialization was not to be repeated. In the 15 years following 1856 there was a steady growth in the number of firms and volume of rent, but it was never to equal the development in the previous five years (See Table 1). The Lachine area, however, remained the locational core of the city's large-scale and technologically-
TABLE 4:
Saint-Ann's Firms by Area, 1851–71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lachine</th>
<th>Griffintown</th>
<th>Notre Dame</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% = per cent of the total rent in each district.
Med = the median rent of the firms in each district

Source: As for Table 1.

advanced firms. Although its share of the ward’s total rent declined to half by 1871, the number of firms located there increased, and their scale of operations remained large relative to Saint-Ann and the rest of the city. There was remarkable continuity in the Lachine area during the period. Nearly all the 1856 firms were still there in 1871. While some remained as they had in 1856, others changed hands. The initial development had centered on a few industries, mainly flour milling, metal and woodworking, but by 1871 had diversified: large-scale chemical, textile, leather, and clothing mills clustered along the banks of the canal.

Underpinning the large-scale industrial growth in the Lachine area was the construction of a built environment amenable to the new economic order. Central to this was the leasing of hydraulic sites along the canal by the government and the Saint-Sulpician seminary. In 1844 the government commissioned a plan to lay out hydraulic lots at the Canal Basin close to where the canal emptied into the Saint-Lawrence River. By 1856, 20 sites had been leased, mainly to metal and milling concerns. In 1851 the five lots at Saint-Gabriel locks, further down the canal, were leased as a whole to John Young, a grain and wholesale merchant, and Ira Gould, a miller. They were shortly joined as partners by John Ostell and Jacob DeWitt. Gould, Ostell and DeWitt were all active in manufacturing along the canal. They subdivided the original five lots into 20 and subleased them to other manufacturers. By 1856 most of the new, large-scale firms of the previous ten years were built at Canal Bank and Saint-Gabriel locks.

At the same time as the government was leasing lots along the canal, the seminary, forced by the Ordinance of 1840, was selling off its Saint-Gabriel domain. The seminary’s first strategy was to sell subdivisions to manufacturers. Although this was generally unsuccessful, and they eventually turned to housing subdivisions, the sale of seminary land to the Young clique in the early 1850s resulted in the expansion of the hydraulic locks at Saint-Gabriel locks. The industrial development that occurred along the Lachine Canal did not trigger immediate full-scale residential development. The opening-up of the Saint-Gabriel Domain by the Seminary of Montreal for residential construction after 1854 added some housing south of the canal. Between 1854 and 1874, 439 individuals bought lots. Most of them were Irish who worked in the surrounding industrial establishments.

Griffintown and the neighbouring western section north of the canal remained, however, the core of residence for most of the ward’s population. In 1861 only 13 per cent (341 households) lived south of the canal. Although this had increased to 1443 households by 1881, most of the population increase occurred during the housing boom of the 1870s.

Conclusion

This paper provides evidence that urban peripheral industrial districts were in existence from as early as the mid-
nineteenth century. This fact suggests that the explanation for the growth of industry on the periphery needs to extend past the arguments advocated by the transportation and transactional perspectives. The answer lies, it has been argued, in the manner in which a number of features interlocked. These include cyclical change, new technology and new industries, flows of fixed capital, linkages, and organizational structure. The broad range of possibilities and constraints under which industries operated created a diverse array of locational choices. The concentration of production in the city core is but one case. It has been argued here that a configuration of forces in the mid-19th century also created the possibility for the development of another: the industrial suburb.

One such industrial suburb was Saint-Ann. The growth of industry along the Lachine canal during the boom years following the depression of the 1840s was a major break with the past. Firms were large in scale, energy-intensive, incorporating new organizational structures and labour processes, and utilizing modern machinery. They also located in a new part of town. The development along the canal represented a major change from the concentration of industry in the core area. A decisive element in the formation of a new industrial district was the availability of the Lachine canal’s hydraulic power after the mid-1840s. The role of the state and the activity of land developers and manufacturers were instrumental in making the industrialization of the canal possible. At the same time, rising land prices and the scale of operations of the new firms must have played a role in the manufacturers’ decisions. We must not, however, view these decisions outside of the processes at work at the international and national levels. The growing internationalization of the world economy, changes wrought in technologies, the scale of capital investments, and the strategies of Montreal’s merchants to overcome the crisis associated with the dismantling of the colonial economy were important factors in the creation of industry along the Lachine canal. Throughout the rest of the century Saint-Ann would remain an important industrial area of Montreal. It would, however, decline in relative importance and other peripheral areas such as Saint-Henri and Maisonneuve would become important suburban industrial districts.
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