### Relations industrielles Industrial Relations



## Union Security and Collective Labour Agreements

Fabienne Tousignant

Volume 8, Number 1, December 1952

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1022987ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/1022987ar

See table of contents

#### Publisher(s)

Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval

ISSN

0034-379X (print) 1703-8138 (digital)

Explore this journal

#### Cite this document

érudit

Tousignant, F. (1952). Union Security and Collective Labour Agreements. *Relations industrielles / Industrial Relations, 8*(1), 158–159. https://doi.org/10.7202/1022987ar

Tous droits réservés © Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval, 1952

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

#### This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/

# STATISTICS

#### UNION SECURITY AND COLLECTIVE LABOUR AGREEMENTS

Union security often constitutes the object of disputes before arbitration and conciliation councils. It is therefore of interest to know what provisions for union security appear in the collective agreements now in force in the Province of Quebec and in what forms, such as closed shop, union shop, maintenance of membership, preferential shop, check-off of unions dues, Rand formula.

In this study, we are limiting ourselves to the first two forms of union security: the closed shop, "agreement in which the employer hires and maintains in his employ only members in good standing of the contracting union".<sup>1</sup> and the union shop, including the perfect union shop "agreement in which the employer undertakes to maintain in his employ for the duration of the agreement, only members in good standing of the contracting union".<sup>2</sup> — including the imperfect union shop "agreement in this case, the employer may engage workers anywhere, even outside the ranks of the union".<sup>2</sup> — including the imperfect union shop "agreement in which the employer undertakes to dismiss present or future members of the contracting union as soon as they cease to be members and to oblige, under penalty of dismissal, all new employees to join the duration of the agreement. This union shop is imperfect, because, in this case, the employees already working who are not members of the union when the agreement is signed cannot be forced to join the union under threat of dismissal".<sup>3</sup> We shall group the others under the heading of "other forms of union security" and we shall analyse them in more detail in a coming issue.

The following statistics are taken from the collective labour agreements covering 1,147 establishments of the Province of Quebec. The analysis reveals that in 86.75% of the cases i.e. 995 agreements in 1,147 contain one form or another of union security. Therefore 13.25% or 152 agreements have no form whatever of union security. The closed shop provision is to be found in 4.18% or in 48 agreements, whereas the union shop (either perfect or imperfect) is found in 22.84% or 262 cases. The very high total for the other forms of union security may be explained by the fact that the same agreement, in many cases, has at the same time several forms of union security. The following table shows the results of the analysis of collective labour agreements in the establishments grouped according to their respective branch of activity.

FABIENNE TOUSIGNANT

- (1) GÉRARD DION, Sécurité Syndicale et Convention Collective, Cahiers du Service Extérieur d'Education sociale, Faculté des sciences sociales, Université Laval, Québec, page 13.
- (2) Ibidem, page 14.
- (3) Ibidem, page 16.

158

THE	
Z	
FORCE	
Z	
UNION SECURITY CLAUSES CONTAINED IN COLLECTIVE LABOUR AGREEMENTS IN FORCE IN THE	1952
LABOUR	ECENIBER
VE	D
CTI	Z
COLLE	UEBEC.
N	0
ED	OF
CONTAIN	PROVINCE OF QUEBEC, IN DECEMBER 1952
CLAUSES	H
SECURITY	
UNION	

Branch of Activity	Number of Establishments concern <del>e</del> d	Closed Shop	Union Shop	Oother forms of Union Security	No form of Union Security
Mining	34	6	1	17	1
Manufacturing: 1) Vegetable products 2) Animal products 3) Textile products	73 56 120	1 22 22	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	61 61 152	12 8 12 8
<ul> <li>4) Wood and paper products (including printing)</li> <li>5) Non-ferrous metal products</li> <li>6) Non-ferrous metal products</li> <li>7) Non-metallic mineral products</li> <li>8) Chemical products</li> <li>9) Miscellaneous products</li> </ul>	223 375 34 34	x 01	80 31 4 10 10	202 169 38 37 16	00 181-400
Electricity, Cas and Water production and supply	L	1	I	9	4
Construction	19	I	3	17	I
Transportation and Communication	19	1	11	45	10
Trade: 1) Retail 2) Wholesale	41 21	1	64	52 52 23	40
Finance and Insurance	33	1		55	1
Services: 1) Professional 2) Public 3) Personal	58 19 19	-   -	20 16 4	55 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	6 14 5
Тотаг	1,147	48	262	1,142	156

#### STATISTICS

159