

Urban History Review Revue d'histoire urbaine

URBAN HISTORY REVIEW
REVUE D'HISTOIRE URBAINE

Joseph W. Esherick, ed. *Remaking the Chinese City: Modernity and National Identity, 1900–1950*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2000. Pp. x, 278. Maps, black and white illustrations, bibliography, index. US\$65.00 (cloth)

Emily M. Hill

Volume 30, Number 1, October 2001

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1015947ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7202/1015947ar>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Urban History Review / Revue d'histoire urbaine

ISSN

0703-0428 (print)

1918-5138 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this review

Hill, E. M. (2001). Review of [Joseph W. Esherick, ed. *Remaking the Chinese City: Modernity and National Identity, 1900–1950*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2000. Pp. x, 278. Maps, black and white illustrations, bibliography, index. US\$65.00 (cloth)]. *Urban History Review / Revue d'histoire urbaine*, 30(1), 70–71. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1015947ar>

raphy of early towns by Palliser, T.R. Slater and E. Patricia Dennison) and in the later Middle Ages (as in John Schofield's and Geoffrey Stell's fascinating chapter on the built environment between 1300 and 1540). As has become standard in urban history, much of the volume focuses on economic questions. Nonetheless, both traditional political questions about the role of towns in lordship and in the governance of the realms (in essays by James Campbell and Barrie Dobson) and contemporary concerns about cultural expression (especially in Gervase Rosser's stimulating essay on late medieval urban culture) are represented. Also successful is the integration of Welsh and Scottish material with the better-known English scholarship. There was, of course, no "Britain" in the period under consideration in this volume, and a number of chapters (especially those by E. Patricia Dennison and Grant G. Simpson on Scotland, Ralph A. Griffiths on Wales, and Jennifer Kermode on the North of England) elucidate real and significant differences in town development among England, Wales, and Scotland, and among England's regions.

If there is an argument or theme running through the contributions, it is that the urban aspect of medieval British life has been underestimated. Contributors emphasize the early growth of urban life in England, especially from the tenth to the late-eleventh centuries; by Domesday perhaps ten percent of the English population lived in some sort of urban settlement (Wales and Scotland being much less urbanized at this period). The subsequent growth of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries is better known, but the extent of urbanization by 1300 now accepted by scholars in the field (fifteen to twenty percent of the population) may surprise some readers. Both historical consensus and matters of continuing debate are acknowledged and outlined: the debate over the nature and extent of conflict within late medieval towns, for instance, is laid out in all its complexity. At the same time as the volume offers the "state of the question" on research on medieval British towns, it provides a clear sense of where the lacunae are: Ph.D. theses for years to come should emanate from the various discussions of issues requiring further research.

There are, inevitably, criticisms that arise in a volume of this size and scope. I will focus here on one in particular—the volume's (and series') start date of 600 and the consequent summary treatment of urban life in Roman Britain. It is notable that the only chapter written by a non-specialist is the introductory "Origins of British Towns," which covers the Roman period and is written by the editor D.M. Palliser (a late medieval and Tudor expert). Although Palliser himself says that "the significance of Roman rule for town life in later Britain should not be underestimated, for it left an ideology and infrastructure which have had lasting influence" (p. 541), nonetheless he chose to begin in the seventh century because "that was when permanent town life, on our definition, began in southern Britain (7)." Even accepting the (still controversial) point that there was a period of 150 to 200 years after the abandonment of Britannia by the Romans when there was no meaningful urban life, this does not convincingly preclude the usefulness of a more serious integration and examination of scholarship on Roman towns in this volume.

If the decision to begin at the year 600 must be placed at Palliser's door, so must also the overall success of the volume, the strengths of which greatly outweigh its weaknesses. Although the prohibitive cost of the volume (U.S. \$140) will prevent many scholars from putting it on their own shelves, it should be purchased by all university and research libraries. Its usefulness as a work of reference and as a collection of stimulating and magisterial essays recalls the classic Cambridge histories of the first half of the twentieth century.

Shannon McSheffrey
Department of History
Concordia University

Joseph W. Esherick, ed. *Remaking the Chinese City: Modernity and National Identity, 1900–1950*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2000. Pp. x, 278. Maps, black and white illustrations, bibliography, index. US\$65.00 (cloth)

With a large format and many intriguing maps and photographs depicting cities in China during the early twentieth century, this book will be attractive to armchair travellers. Its detailed treatments of particular urban settings will also recommend it to leaders of history-conscious visits to the People's Republic of China (PRC). To readers with serious purposes, it presents with well-deserved polish the results of a decade or so of new opportunities for local archival research in the PRC. For urban studies comparativists, this book is certainly the best available source of information in English on the history of Chinese cities.

Remaking the Chinese City is the outcome of a conference on Chinese cities "Beyond Shanghai," hosted by the University of California at San Diego in 1996. Nonetheless, it highlights the cultural dominance of China's most cosmopolitan metropolis. As several of the individual contributions make clear, it has been difficult to move far from Shanghai conceptually, either in cities themselves during renovations of the 1930s that emulated Shanghai's glamour, or in current discussions of what Chinese modernity has meant to those creating and experiencing it. Considering the importance of state-building projects during the period of Shanghai's pre-eminence, it is noteworthy that, unlike seven of the other nine cities treated in the volume, Shanghai has never been a national or dynastic capital.

In ten contributions visiting nine cities "beyond Shanghai" (Tianjin is the city receiving extra attention), a group of United States-based academics whose research has been based in particular localities in the PRC present samples of their findings. Each researcher has acquired a thorough familiarity with the history of a particular city, and has made use of this understanding for his or her own intellectual purposes. In his contribution in Part One, "The Modernist City," Michael Tsin focuses on Guangzhou (Canton) to discuss the connections between urban renewal and official claims both to represent and to impose order on citizens, while in the next chapter Ruth Rogaski explores early twentieth-century associations between civilization and sanitation in a chapter entitled "Hygienic Modernity in Tianjin." Brett Sheehan sees, in the next chapter, Tianjin's bankers as creators of im-

ages of modern living and as participants in national financial networks, and in the following contribution, David D. Buck uncovers an uncelebrated legacy of Japanese “high modernist” urban development in the northeastern city of Changchun, formerly the capital of Manchukuo. Next, Kristin Stapleton focuses on a year (beginning in 1924) in the life of Chengdu, capital of Sichuan, during which a controversial militarist leader, enamoured of everything “new,” promoted ambitious urban reforms and achieved mixed results. Though each of the contributions is wonderfully illustrative, serious attention to the theme of modernism is fitful in Part One.

In Part Two, “Tradition and Modernity,” three contributions fit together cohesively to reveal how conscious constructions of modern urbanity could be in various locations. In Hangzhou, as Liping Wang makes clear, a lakeside “garden-city” was promoted as a tourist attraction for visitors, mainly from Shanghai. Tourists were encouraged to believe that appreciation of the West Lake was a well-established practice of Hangzhou’s local elite, despite the fact that a massive wall long separating city from lake had just recently been demolished. Madeleine Yue Dong next recounts how in Beijing—the name for Beijing during the period when it was not the national capital—China’s dynastic heritage was recycled in forms, such as public parks in sites where Emperors had performed ritual ceremonies that were consumable by visitors for their pleasure and as instruction for citizens. Meanwhile in Nanjing, as Charles Musgrove shows, planners aimed to strengthen the nation by building an impressively “international” modern capital during the 1927–1937 decade. Despite progressive planning of the new capital, however, old habits were difficult to shake off, as when protective walls and gates were added to enclose new government buildings that had been designed to unite state and society spatially through rows of large windows.

Two temporary national capitals are treated in Part Three, “City and Nation.” Stephen MacKinnon is succinctly authoritative on the sprawling tri-city of Wuhan, focussing on the moment in 1938 when the city, it seemed, housed the vanguard of left-leaning nationalism and showcased how effectively such talent could defend China against the Japanese invasion. In her treatment of the more isolated western city of Chongqing, wartime capital from 1938 to 1945, Lee McIsaac captures the atmosphere of an interior centre suddenly flooded with refugees and bustling with government functionaries. The purpose of her discussion is to argue that an opposition between civilized sojourners from “downriver” (especially Shanghai) and the uncouth natives of the western hinterland was constructed and became embedded as a permanent part of Chinese national ideology.

Like the remarkably self-sufficient locations in China to which they refer, the ten contributions mentioned above are strong enough to stand alone, requiring little attention to the broad sweep of Chinese or urban history. However, three additional contributors enhance the volume, integrating the treatments of individual cities by relating them to the title themes of nationalism and modernity. In his introductory chapter, Joseph Esherick outlines the significance of these themes and offers a typology distinguishing seven types of city—namely treaty port, capital,

interior, tourist, railway, industrial, and frontier. In Chapter 12, Jeffrey Wasserstrom discusses at length how to fit Shanghai, both anomalous and representative, into analysis of Chinese history and modernity, stressing that it is difficult to avoid the city’s importance in scholarship, literature, and film, as a “represented object.” Finally, a concluding commentary by David Strand closes the book with its most intriguing point about how urban modernism has shaped Chinese history: China’s communist leaders, known for their “rural” revolutionary origins, were inspired by a vision of urban modernity that could soon be realized in every village in the nation.

With this excellent volume for guidance, there are two directions for researchers to choose in exploring Chinese urban history. For some, the next step might be to recognize that each city has its own peculiar history, so choosing immersion in locally specific sources without assuming a heavy burden of national-level history. Others might choose to develop further the questions about Chinese urban modernity raised in *Remaking the Chinese City* by paying closer attention to the lives and thoughts of individual citizens.

Emily M. Hill
Department of History
Queen’s University

Annabelle Sabloff. *Reordering the Natural World: Humans and Animals in the City*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2001. Pp. xiv, 252. Photographs. \$55.00 hard, \$22.95 paper.

This erudite study hunts for metaphors that will help realign human thinking and behaviour toward nature so as to overcome our hubris as the dominant ones in the great chain of being. Her pursuit is not altogether successful—the metaphors are still “missing”—though Edward O. Wilson’s term “biophilia” comes close. But Sabloff believes there is hope to overcome the “Great Divide” through social and physical studies, especially anthropology—owing to past work on the significance of totems—if we were to take the step of recognizing that human beings are only one of the species, that we are inside nature. That, I think, is what her work is about.

We should be concerned to align ourselves with nature because of “... the threat of collapse of some the planet’s major ecosystems through human activity”. Our western urban culture has given rise to a “poverty of discourse” on relating to nature. Therefore we “seem unable to arrive at a world-view, not to mention a practice, that can encompass both human desire and the earth’s needs” (p. 9, 11). The task is urgent.

We deal with animals in three different fashions: as pets, as food and clothing, and as objects to be saved, or put more eloquently, “companion animals like coddled children, livestock farming operations structured like assembly lines [and] animal rights promoted as a struggle for the rights of citizenship” (p. 13). The first two clearly suggest human dominance over nature, the latter perhaps an indication of more positive attitude. Many human beings enjoy pets with whom they converse. Dogs are