

A textural and chemical study of white mica in the South Mountain Batholith, Nova Scotia: primary versus secondary origin

L. J. Ham et D. J. Kontak

Volume 24, numéro 1, avril 1988

URI : https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/ageo24_1art10

[Aller au sommaire du numéro](#)

Éditeur(s)

Atlantic Geoscience Society

ISSN

0843-5561 (imprimé)

1718-7885 (numérique)

[Découvrir la revue](#)

Citer cet article

Ham, L. J. & Kontak, D. J. (1988). A textural and chemical study of white mica in the South Mountain Batholith, Nova Scotia: primary versus secondary origin. *Atlantic Geology*, 24(1), 111–121.

Résumé de l'article

On a examiné les micas blancs (MB) dans les roches granitiques peralumineuses du Batholite de South Mountain (BSM) et du leucogranite d'East Kemptville (LEK) en Nouvelle-Écosse afin de déterminer si certains paramètres peuvent servir à distinguer les grains primaires des grains secondaires. À l'égard de la texture, une très faible proportion des MB dans le BSM peuvent, sans l'ombre d'un doute, être classés comme primaires alors que la plupart des MB dans le LEK sont compatibles avec une telle origine. Bien que les diagrammes discriminants par chimie des éléments majeurs ne procurent aucune unité précise entre les MB primaires et secondaires, on semble y discerner des tendances chimiques indiquant une influence prépondérante de la composition totale de l'encaissant. Les contenus en éléments volatils (i.e., F) et en traces, y compris les terres rares, en sont la meilleure illustration. Par exemple, la teneur en F, Li, Rb et Cs est systématiquement plus élevée dans les MB provenant des unités plus évoluées, par comparaison, du BSM. L'importance de la composition totale de la roche est aussi attestée par l'enrichissement en éléments lithophiles des MB du LEK (lui-même enrichi en ces éléments).

L'accommodation des impuretés octaédriques dans les MB du BSM se fait par le biais de substitutions biotitiques et phengitiques alors que les MB du LEK sont surtout phengitiques. Une comparaison des MB du BSM aux données de stabilité de la muscovite déterminées expérimentalement révèle que leur ré-equilibrage s'est effectué entre 500 et 600 °C dans les unités les plus primitives et entre 400 et 550 °C dans les unités plus évoluées et les gneiss. À la lumière de données expérimentales récentes, la cristallisation des MB aurait eu lieu à des pressions d'environ 2 kb dans des bains ayant de 2 à 31 en poids d'H₂O.

A TEXTURAL AND CHEMICAL STUDY OF WHITE MICA IN THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN BATHOLITH, NOVA SCOTIA: PRIMARY VERSUS SECONDARY ORIGIN

L.J. Ham and D.J. Kontak
Nova Scotia Department of Mines and Energy
P.O. Box 1087, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 2X1

Date Received October 23, 1987

Date Accepted April 14, 1988

White mica (WM) in peraluminous granitoid rocks of the South Mountain Batholith (SMB) and East Kemptville leucogranite (EKL) of Nova Scotia have been examined to see if parameters can be used to distinguish between primary and secondary grains. Texturally very little of the WM in the SMB can unequivocally be classified as primary, whereas most of the WM in the EKL is consistent with such an origin. Although discriminant diagrams which utilize major element chemistry do not provide unambiguous divisions between primary and secondary WM, there appear to be some chemical trends which indicate that the bulk composition of the host rock is an important control. This is best exemplified by volatile (i.e., F) and trace element contents, including the rare earth elements. For example, F, Li, Rb and Cs are systematically higher in WM from relatively more evolved units of the SMB. The importance of bulk rock composition is also indicated by the enrichment of lithophile elements in WM from the EKL, itself enriched in these same elements.

The octahedral impurities in WM of the SMB are accommodated via biotitic and phengitic substitutions, whereas WM from the EKL is dominantly phengitic. Comparison to experimentally determined stability fields for muscovite indicate that WM from the SMB re-equilibrated to 500–600°C in the more primitive units and 400–550°C in the more evolved units and greisens. Recent experimental data also suggest crystallization of the WM may have occurred at pressures of ca. 2 kb in melts with 2–3 wt.% H₂O.

On a examiné les micas blancs (MB) dans les roches granitoïdes péralumineuses du Batholite de South Mountain (BSM) et du leucogranite d'East Kemptville (LEK) en Nouvelle-Écosse afin de déterminer si certains paramètres peuvent servir à distinguer les grains primaires des grains secondaires. À l'égard de la texture, une très faible proportion des MB dans le BSM peuvent, sans l'ombre d'un doute, être classés comme primaires alors que la plupart des MB dans le LEK sont compatibles avec une telle origine. Bien que les diagrammes discriminant par chimie des éléments majeurs ne procurent aucune limite précise entre les MB primaires et secondaires, on semble y discerner des tendances chimiques indiquant une influence prépondérante de la composition totale de l'encaissant. Les contenus en éléments volatiles (i.e., F) et en traces, y comprises les terres rares, en sont la meilleure illustration. Par exemple, la teneur en F, Li, Rb et Cs est systématiquement plus élevée dans les MB provenant des unités plus évoluées; par comparaison, du BSM. L'importance de la composition totale de la roche est aussi attestée par l'enrichissement en éléments lithophiles des MB du LEK (lui-même enrichi en ces éléments).

L'accommodation des impuretés octaédriques dans les MB du BSM se fait par le biais de substitutions biotitiques et phengitiques alors que les MB du LEK sont surtout phengitiques. Une comparaison des MB du BSM aux domaines de stabilities de la muscovite déterminés expérimentalement révèle que leur ré-équilibrage s'est effectué entre 500 et 600°C dans les unités les plus primitives et entre 400 et 550°C dans les unités plus évoluées et les greisens. À la lumière de données expérimentales récentes, la cristallisation des MB aurait eu lieu à des pressions d'environ 2 kb dans des bains ayant de 2 à 3% en poids d'H₂O.

[Traduit par le journal]

INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of muscovite, or white mica (herein WM), in felsic igneous rocks is generally interpreted to reflect peraluminous bulk compositions (e.g., Clarke, 1981; Speer, 1984) and to indicate minimum pressures (i.e., depths) of crystallization. Although the former interpretation is often supported by the presence of additional peraluminous mineral phases, the latter is often highly controversial because of conflicting estimates derived using independent field evidence versus inferred pressures based on experimental data (e.g., Miller *et al.*, 1981; Anderson and Rowley, 1981). In addition, attempts have been made to chemically characterize primary versus secondary WM in granitic suites (Miller *et al.*, 1981), but few applications of these results have been made to granitoid suites to see if the results are useful or valid. However, the recently published experimental data of Monier and Robert (1986) for muscovite solid solution chemistry provides a basis for interpreting WM chemistry in

terms of absolute temperature and, therefore, potentially time of formation (i.e., primary or secondary).

We have selected the South Mountain Batholith (SMB), Nova Scotia (Fig. 1), to evaluate the relationship between texture, mode of occurrence, and chemistry of WM with respect to its host rock. Different textural varieties of WM are documented and then their major and volatile element chemistry is discussed in relationship to textural variety. A suite of WM mineral separates from granites and greisens of the SMB were also prepared and their major, trace (including rare earth element) and volatile element chemistry determined to monitor the changes that occurred during igneous fractionation and subsequent hydrothermal activity. In order to further document the control of bulk rock composition on the chemistry of WM, a suite of samples from the volatile- (i.e., F) and lithophile-element enriched East Kemptville leucogranite (Kontak, 1987) was also analyzed for major, trace and volatile elements.




Fig. 1. Generalized map of southern Nova Scotia showing the location of the South Mountain Batholith and East Kemptville leucogranite.

GEOLOGY OF THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN BATHOLITH AND EAST KEMPTVILLE LEUCOGRANITE

The SMB is a Late Devonian-Early Carboniferous, post tectonic, peraluminous composite batholith composed of granodiorite, monzogranite, and leucomonzogranite. The units show progressive mineralogical and chemical changes which reflect the evolution of the batholith from relatively mafic to more felsic suites. During differentiation, there is a gradual increase in the modal percentage of muscovite and other peraluminous phases at the expense of biotite. In the most evolved rocks, biotite is absent and muscovite is generally the most abundant peraluminous mineral (+ garnet, andalusite, cordierite). Where greisens and pegmatites are developed, WM is extensively developed. A complete review of the petrology of the SMB is given by Clarke and Muecke (1985 and references therein), and part of the eastern SMB is discussed in this issue by MacDonald and Horne.

The East Kemptville leucogranite (EKL) is an informal name given by Kontak (1987) to the distinctive muscovite-topaz monzogranite which hosts the East Kemptville Sn-base metal deposit (Fig. 1). The EKL is characterized by its medium grained, equigranular texture and the presence of muscovite and topaz of presumed magmatic origin. The EKL contrasts with the rocks of the SMB by the conspicuous absence of biotite and enrichment in lithophile elements, particularly F, Li, Rb, Cs, Sn and Nb (Kontak, 1987).

METHODOLOGY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Over 150 polished thin sections were examined from samples representing a wide variety of localities and all rock units in the eastern half of the SMB. Five rock types are represented, viz., granodiorite, muscovite-biotite monzogranite, medium- to coarse-grained biotite-muscovite leucomonzogranite, fine- to medium-grained leucomonzogranite and leucomonzogranite porphyry. Based on detailed petrographic studies, 17 sections were selected for analysis (110 analyses in total) of the WM phases. For the EKL, a similar number of samples have been studied and 6 were selected for analysis of WM. In addition, muscovite separates from a suite of samples representative of a

complete spectrum of rock types were prepared using conventional mineral separation techniques. Included in this sampling were 12 granites and 5 greisens from the SMB, and 2 granites and 4 greisens from the EKL.

All samples were analyzed for major and volatile (F and Cl) element contents using the JEOL 733 Superprobe at Dalhousie University, Halifax. A combined wavelength and energy dispersive analytical technique was employed with the following operating conditions: accelerating voltage 15 kV; current 5×10^{-9} A; beam diameter 1 μ m; counting time 40 seconds.

Trace elements were analyzed at Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundland, using the ICP-MS technique as summarized by Strong and Longerich (1985). Ferrous iron was determined using a wet chemical digestion and titration technique at Technical University of Nova Scotia facilities, Halifax.

TEXTURAL CLASSIFICATION OF WHITE MICAS

Several textural classifications have been proposed to distinguish between primary and secondary WM; most notable are those of Miller *et al.* (1981) and Saavedra (1978). The eight modes of occurrence of WM recognized in the SMB are summarized in Table 1 and compared to the criteria of the aforementioned authors. Additional occurrences not shown in Table 1 include pegmatitic, greisens and secondary after garnet. As is obvious from the petrographic observations, WM of unambiguous primary origin is not common in the SMB based solely on textural criteria. In particular, we note that many of the isolated grains of ascribed secondary origin may have originally been primary, but because of their somewhat ambiguous texture are relegated to secondary status. Typical occurrences of the different categories of WM in the SMB are illustrated in Figure 2.

Muscovite from the EKL is considered primary based on the first criteria outlined for the SMB in Table 1. That is, the WM is of euhedral to subhedral habit, inclusion free, and is of similar grain size to other magmatic phases. As in the SMB, extensive greisenization also occurs with development of secondary WM of distinctly different habit.

CHEMISTRY OF WHITE MICAS

Average chemical compositions for WM from the SMB representing the different textural types referred to in Table 1 are given in Table 2 and analytical data for the 17 muscovite separates from SMB are given in Table 3. Representative analyses for WM from the EKL are given in Table 4, and trace element data from 6 muscovite separates from EKL are in Table 5.

Major and Volatile Element Chemistry

Observations based on the chemistry of the various textural varieties of WM are summarized as follows:

(1) Ti shows no consistent pattern which can be related to either its paragenesis (i.e., primary or secondary) or the phase it replaces.

Table 1. Textural criteria used by various authors to recognize different types of muscovite

Study and Location	Mode of Occurrence	Characteristics	Rock Type
Miller et al. (1981) North America and Europe	Igneous (Primary)	-grain size in comparison with the other obviously magmatic minerals -subhedral to euhedral grain shape, with sharp terminations -absence of inclusions of accessory minerals, particularly those from which it could have been derived -host rock relatively unaltered, clean and having igneous textures	16 different peraluminous granitoid plutons
Saavedra (1978) Central Spain	Igneous (Primary)	-different twinning from biotite when intergrown and contact between two micas well differentiated -euhedral grains; may be well developed within plagioclase -grain ideally free from inclusions and also not enclosed within minerals which it could have been derived through alteration processes	peraluminous granites
This study South Mountain Batholith	Igneous (Primary)	-euhedral to subhedral shape; inclusion-free grains -sharply terminated grains, comparable in size to other magmatic minerals (generally coarse-grained)	mainly leucomonzogranites; rarely in more mafic and biotite-rich rocks (granodiorite, monzogranite)
	Igneous, intergrown with biotite (Primary)	-different twinning and cleavage planes between the micas -euhedral contacts of muscovite against magmatic-looking biotite -WM growing at oblique angles to biotite	leucomonzogranites
	Secondary	-individual grains that do not 'fit' the primary criteria -subhedral to euhedral shape; same size as other magmatic minerals -both enclosed within other minerals from which it could have formed and within the groundmass	all rock units; more plentiful in leucomonzogranites
	Alteration of biotite (Secondary)	-fine-grained, anhedral to subhedral shape; enclosing or enclosed within biotite; mantling biotite and at edges of grains -WM cleavage traces parallel those of biotite grains; the two micas have similar twinning and show a diffuse contact between them	all rock units
	Alteration of plagioclase and K-feldspar (Secondary)	-commonly occurs in many stages of alteration of plagioclase and K-feldspar -occurs as subhedral masses and well-developed grains -initially forms along cleavage traces, fractures and in calcic-rich cores of plagioclase	all rock units
	Alteration of cordierite (Secondary)	-cordierite alters readily to pinitite -partial alteration occurring along edges, cleavage traces and twin planes -advanced stages of alteration produce complete replacement of cordierite	common in cordierite-bearing leucomonzogranite; rarely in more mafic rocks
	Alteration of andalusite (Secondary)	-andalusite alters readily to sericite and WM -restricted development along grain margins to extensive alteration involving almost complete replacement of aluminosilicate	late-stage pegmatites, monzogranites, leucomonzogranites, leucogranite and aplites




Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of white mica in granitoid rocks of the South Mountain Batholith (bar scale in all figures is 0.5 mm length): (a) primary WM in leucomonzogranite showing subhedral morphology, equant shape and sharp crystal boundaries (cf. Fig. 2c); (b) primary WM intergrown with biotite in a biotite monzogranite; (c) large grain of secondary WM showing anhedral morphology and ragged crystal outlines; (d) WM replacing earlier magmatic biotite; (e) WM replacing plagioclase grain along cleavage traces; (f) WM replacing alkali feldspar; (g) WM replacing cordierite grain; (h) WM replacing subhedral andalusite grains in a fine grained, equigranular leucogranite.

Table 2. Average chemical compositions for different textural types of WM from the SMB

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
SiO ₂	45.67 ±0.70	45.44 ±0.64	45.48 ±0.40	45.06 ±0.51	45.50 ±0.28	44.69 ±0.29	45.96 ±0.85
TiO ₂	0.07 ±0.07	0.38 ±0.11	0.14 ±0.09	0.21 ±0.09	0.08 ±0.07	0.26 ±0.05	0.30 ±0.14
Al ₂ O ₃	35.57 ±0.36	35.86 ±0.66	35.14 ±0.71	34.27 ±1.28	35.37 ±0.48	34.36 ±0.62	34.15 ±1.49
FeO	2.08 ±0.55	1.34 ±0.19	1.78 ±0.46	2.43 ±0.69	2.26 ±0.44	1.82 ±0.35	1.83 ±0.48
NiO	0.15 ±0.12	0.17 ±0.12	0.13 ±0.12	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.03 ±0.08
MnO	0.07 ±0.02	0.01 ±0.01	0.05 ±0.04	0.03 ±0.05	0.09 ±0.04	0.01 ±0.01	0.06 ±0.03
MgO	0.70 ±0.06	0.54 ±0.07	0.67 ±0.07	0.83 ±0.19	0.70 ±0.18	0.69 ±0.16	1.17 ±0.44
CaO	0.01 ±0.00	0.01 ±0.01	0.01 ±0.01	0.00 ±0.00	0.01 ±0.01	0.03 ±0.01	0.01 ±0.01
Na ₂ O	0.81 ±0.11	0.56 ±0.07	0.72 ±0.15	0.70 ±0.16	0.74 ±0.10	0.67 ±0.04	0.37 ±0.10
K ₂ O	10.46 ±0.17	10.65 ±0.38	10.33 ±0.35	10.66 ±0.33	10.59 ±0.26	11.11 ±0.23	11.14 ±0.28
F	0.50 ±0.07	0.41 ±0.14	0.44 ±0.09	0.86 ±0.30	0.57 ±0.17	0.62 ±0.23	0.21 ±0.09
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
SiO ₂	45.74 ±0.32	45.28 ±0.26	44.99 ±0.17	44.76 ±0.64	45.51 ±0.43	46.22 ±0.82	45.52 ±0.28
TiO ₂	0.25 ±0.14	0.16 ±0.20	0.07 ±0.02	0.10 ±0.13	0.64 ±0.25	0.63 ±0.47	0.14 ±0.02
Al ₂ O ₃	34.66 ±2.15	35.72 ±0.23	36.11 ±0.39	36.11 ±0.76	36.07 ±0.25	36.28 ±1.38	35.49 ±0.51
FeO	1.91 ±0.87	2.23 ±0.45	2.26 ±0.08	2.07 ±0.55	1.00 ±0.05	0.93 ±0.18	1.42 ±0.16
NiO	0.13 ±0.12	0.19 ±0.08	0.13 ±0.10	0.14 ±0.10	0.25 ±0.00	0.25 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00
MnO	0.02 ±0.03	0.11 ±0.09	0.08 ±0.04	0.07 ±0.04	0.03 ±0.02	0.03 ±0.02	0.05 ±0.01
MgO	1.15 ±0.58	0.34 ±0.10	0.18 ±0.03	0.26 ±0.13	0.49 ±0.03	0.41 ±0.17	0.75 ±0.12
CaO	0.01 ±0.02	0.00 ±0.00	0.01 ±0.01	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.02 ±0.01	0.03 ±0.03
Na ₂ O	0.42 ±0.15	0.85 ±0.15	0.91 ±0.10	1.22 ±1.34	0.56 ±0.09	0.47 ±0.14	0.59 ±0.03
K ₂ O	10.56 ±0.40	9.98 ±0.18	10.13 ±0.37	10.63 ±0.31	9.95 ±0.22	10.15 ±0.46	10.62 ±0.30
F	0.30 ±0.08	0.58 ±0.17	0.72 ±0.17	0.43 ±0.18	0.27 ±0.03	0.28 ±0.03	0.33 ±0.04
1 porphyry primary			n=5	8 granodiorite alteration of plagioclase			n=8
2 porphyry alteration of plagioclase			n=6	9 equigranular leucomonzogranite secondary			n=10
3 porphyry alteration of K-feldspar			n=8	10 equigranular leucomonzogranite primary			n=6
4 medium- to coarse-grained leucomonzogranite primary			n=8	11 equigranular leucomonzogranite alteration of K-feldspar			n=10
5 medium- to coarse-grained leucomonzogranite alteration of cordierite			n=9	12 equigranular leucomonzogranite alteration of cordierite			n=5
6 medium- to coarse-grained leucomonzogranite alteration of biotite			n=4	13 equigranular leucomonzogranite alteration of andalusite			n=3
7 granodiorite alteration of biotite			n=4	14 biotite monzogranite alteration of K-feldspar			n=4

Table 3. Major and trace element chemistry of South Mountain Batholith white mica

	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	10	11	12-1	12-2	13	14	15	16	17	18
SiO ₂	46.43	46.29	46.04	46.43	46.70	46.40	46.14	45.66	45.23	45.73	45.56	45.73	45.81	45.60	45.94	45.35	45.42
TiO ₂	0.08	0.24	0.17	0.05	0.32	0.23	0.5	0.49	0.34	0.25	0.45	0.23	0.35	0.17	0.30	0.49	0.27
Al ₂ O ₃	34.73	34.45	35.70	36.10	37.12	35.58	35.84	34.94	30.41	32.51	30.78	34.87	35.22	31.59	30.95	34.64	32.27
FeO	0.22	0.58	0.73	0.64	0.08	0.93	0.95	0.91	0.27	2.34	6.49	1.08	0.90	1.46	0.48	0.63	0.94
FeO	1.31	1.60	0.87	0.93	1.00	1.43	2.26	2.66	6.16	3.13	0.90	2.07	1.37	2.40	2.60	0.47	1.70
MnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.04
MgO	0.70	0.89	0.64	0.58	0.52	0.77	0.46	0.57	0.44	0.46	0.58	0.49	0.84	0.51	1.45	0.70	0.74
CaO	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
Na ₂ O	1.35	1.23	1.23	1.15	1.31	1.47	0.81	0.68	0.89	0.88	0.75	0.59	0.71	0.95	0.70	1.37	1.25
K ₂ O	9.97	10.08	9.82	9.84	10.01	10.19	9.90	9.79	10.37	10.05	10.13	10.17	10.12	9.90	10.19	9.65	10.05
F	0.18	1.01	0.24	0.35	0.09	0.54	-	-	1.68	1.73	1.99	-	-	1.19	1.47	0.25	1.32
Cl	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	-	-	0.02	0.02	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03
Σ	95.63	96.39	95.49	96.10	97.18	97.58	96.61	95.83	95.96	97.19	97.80	95.29	95.37	93.84	94.21	93.60	94.06
F±O	0.07	0.42	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.22	-	-	0.70	0.73	0.83	-	-	0.50	0.61	0.10	0.55
Σ	95.56	95.97	95.39	95.96	97.15	97.36	96.61	95.83	95.26	96.46	96.97	95.29	95.37	93.34	93.68	93.50	93.51
Li	230	956	230	463	217	706	1251	1596	3756	1754	3299	1093	1050	1426	2109	100	1150
Rb	693	1366	838	-	618	-	2200	2290	5019	3071	4351	2259	-	2100	-	701	1474
Sr	5.3	5.0	10.0	3.7	7.4	10.5	1.4	2.6	3.4	1.8	2.5	1.9	20.2	4.7	5.1	5.1	9.7
Ba	168	125	108	120	895	76	9.2	12.6	27.2	9.6	37.6	10.0	1043	70.3	818	494	594
Y	5.6	2.6	2.6	1.8	4.7	2.1	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.4	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.4	2.1	0.5
Zr	26.0	12.7	12.7	5.3	46.0	18.1	6.4	6.9	17.0	7.7	16.2	5.0	4.5	10.6	33.3	51.3	17.5
Nb	19.5	33.6	14.4	21.2	29.4	62.8	62.5	79	157	105	88	58	39	57	25.9	19.4	26.6
Cs	24.8	83	32.2	57.5	35.6	72	93	155	332	48.2	260	112	101	124	163	41.8	88.5
W	42.3	69	30.1	52.5	52.9	122	60.8	95	86	43.5	58.3	74	81	60	83	74	76.9
Sc	34.0	20.3	34.9	25	55.4	32.2	32.5	35.5	17.8	24.7	7.6	21.6	22.3	13.1	7.8	13.1	8.8
Ta	1.9	11.3	1.3	7.3	3.3	14.9	12.1	14.5	16.7	6.5	10.7	14.6	6.1	14.0	3.5	2.3	3.3
U	2.1	3.6	1.1	3.1	3.4	4.4	2.8	4.9	0.6	3.5	8.4	4.7	0.3	8.0	2.2	1.3	0.5
Th	6.1	2.1	3.5	1.0	4.4	1.7	0.8	0.7	3.2	1.7	6.7	0.3	0.8	2.0	7.1	4.8	3.7

Samples correspond to the following groupings (as discussed in the text and in Figs. 6 and 7): group 1 - 1, 2, 3, 4; group 2 - 5, 7, 8; group 3 - 10, 11, 12-1, 12-2, 13; group 4 - 14, 15, 16, 17, 18.

Table 4. Representative chemical analyses of white mica, East Kemptville leucogranite

	EK-86-105		EK-86-23C		EK-86-69		TM-86-1	
SiO ₂	47.98	48.82	46.50	48.29	47.29	47.38	48.10	47.30
TiO ₂	0.00	0.20	0.21	0.11	0.34	0.23	0.36	0.38
Al ₂ O ₃	31.68	28.87	24.53	24.63	25.00	25.85	26.21	28.35
FeO	4.00	6.48	9.60	8.18	8.76	8.12	9.32	7.80
MnO	0.22	0.30	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.00
MgO	0.15	0.26	-0.27	0.34	0.27	0.30	0.33	0.31
CaO	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03
Na ₂ O	0.27	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.15	0.32
K ₂ O	11.04	10.40	10.55	10.26	10.96	10.98	10.63	10.55
F	1.58	2.01	3.52	3.44	3.38	4.24	--	--
Cl	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	--	--
F=0	96.93	97.56	95.50	95.47	96.28	97.36	95.10	95.53
	0.66	0.84	1.48	1.44	1.41	1.78	--	--
	96.27	96.72	94.02	94.03	94.87	95.58	95.10	95.53

Table 5. Trace element chemistry of East Kemptville white micas

	13A	23C	26	116	117	118
Li	5543	7386	940	2689	2801	2795
Rb	5499	6142	2491	4467	4400	4275
Sr	35.0	50.1	65.8	4.1	4.8	4.1
Ba	38.5	7.3	172	62.1	55.1	40.5
Y	2.2	7.9	2.0	0.9	0.5	0.2
Zr	32.3	37.2	27.1	15.2	11.2	10.8
Nb	129	153	42.7	93.9	34.1	25.5
Cs	388	354	74.9	237	227	191
W	83.7	82.3	58.0	72	38.4	51.9
Sc	16.1	10.0	3.0	1.5	2.0	1.1
Ta	31.9	45.3	8.5	7.1	8.2	4.6
U	9.5	36.9	29.8	9.4	8.9	7.8
Th	8.2	9.1	4.1	2.4	1.7	1.5

Samples are from granites (13A, 23C), green sericitic greisen (26) and white sericitic greisens (116, 117, 118).

(2) Al₂O₃ varies from about 34 to 36.5 wt.%, but again no systematic relationship to textural variety is noted. However, granodiorite WM is systematically depleted in Al, and WM replacing aluminous phases (e.g., cordierite, andalusite) is generally enriched in Al.

(3) Na₂O is consistently 0.5-1.0 wt.%, with no preference for any particular variety of WM.

(4) Mn and Ca are systematically very low in all WM (<<0.1 wt.%).

(5) MgO is systematically higher in WM from granodiorite (~1.15 wt.%) than other granites (<0.2-0.8 wt.%).

(6) F shows a general enrichment in WM from granodiorites to the more evolved units (Fig. 3), but there is no apparent enrichment in primary versus secondary WM.

Comparison of the chemistry of the WM to the fields defined by Miller *et al.* (1981) for primary and secondary muscovite indicates no consistent correlation of any textural variety of WM analyzed in this study. However, the data do cluster near the muscovite end member in Monier and Robert's (1986) M²⁺-Al-Si plot (Fig. 4, top left). In terms of the substitutions discussed by these authors, the SMB data indicate that both biotitic and




Fig. 3. Fluorine contents of muscovites from the South Mountain Batholith and East Kemptville leucogranite and associated greisens. The circles denote analyses of texturally primary muscovite.




Fig. 4. Muscovite compositions plotted in the ternary Al-Si-M²⁺ diagram of Monier and Robert (1986), showing the extent of biotitic and celadonitic substitutions at different temperatures. Abbreviations are as follows: Bt=biotite, Ms=muscovite, Ph=phengite, Cel=celadonite. Groups 1-4 as specified in Table 3.

phengitic substitutions are important. In addition, the data conform to their field for WM of inferred primary origin plotting between the 500-

650°C isotherms in this diagram (Fig. 4).

The composition of WM in the EKL contrasts markedly with that in the SMB by its relative enrichment in total Fe and Si (Fig. 5) and depletion of Al (compare Tables 2 and 4). These chemical features are reflected in Figure 4, (top right) which shows that the WM from the EKL is phengitic in the plot of Monier and Robert (1986). Abundances of the other cations (Mg, Ca, Mn, Ti, Na) in the WM are low and comparable to values for WM of the SMB. However, the F contents for these WM are enriched compared to values for the SMB (i.e., 2-4 wt.% versus 0.2-1.2 wt.%; Fig. 3). Because of the extensive development of greisen in the EKL and potential for development of secondary WM, the composition of greisen WM is compared to that of WM of magmatic origin for F, Fe, and Si in Figures 3 and 5. As the data indicate, there is a distinct separation of the two types of WM with respect to these chemical parameters.

The major element chemistry of the WM separates (Table 3) are grouped according to their occurrence, viz., group 1 = muscovite-biotite monzogranite, group 2 = muscovite-biotite leucomonzogranite, group 3 = muscovite-biotite leucogranite, and group 4 = muscovite-rich greisens. The chemistry of the WM generally reflects the groupings in terms of granite evolution, with groups 1 and 2 relatively enriched in Si, Al, Mg and Na, whereas group 3 has higher contents of Fe and F. For group 4 WM, the trends are erratic, indicating that the protolith controls to a large extent the chemistry of secondary micas, a conclusion similar to that reached by Strong and Chatterjee (1985) in their study of phyllosilicate chemistry. In terms of the ternary components M^{2+} -Al-Si, the WM mineral separates generally plot on the muscovite-celadonite join with some biotitic




Fig. 5. Chemical data (Si and Fe) for muscovite from the East Kemptville leucogranite and associated greisens.

substitution (Fig. 4, lower left). However, WM of groups 3 and 4 show considerably more celadonitic substitution. These chemical features are consistent with the probable lower temperatures of formation (ca. 400-550°C) for the latter WM as compared to groups 1 and 2 (ca. 500-600°C).

Trace Element Chemistry

Trace element data for WM separates from the SMB (Table 3) show the following features:

(1) General enrichment from group 1 through to group 3 for Li, Rb, Nb, Cs, W, Ta and depletion of Sr, Ba, Y, Sc. Some elements (i.e., Zr, Th, U) show irregular patterns with respect to indices of differentiation.

(2) The greisen samples (group 4) are characterized by large variations for particular elements, although certain trends are maintained as indicated (for example) in plots of Li versus Cs and F (Fig. 6).

(3) Greisen samples show a reverse differentiation trend in terms of Ba versus Sr (Fig. 6) compared to the other data.

(4) Covariation plots for all the data show excellent interelement trends as illustrated, for example, with Cs versus Li and Rb (Fig. 6). A strong positive correlation is also noted for F and Li (Fig. 6), but only to ca. 1.5 wt.% F and 2000 ppm Li; above these concentrations, Li increases at similar F contents.

Trace element data for WM from the EKL (Table 5) show greater enrichment of Rb, Li, Nb, Cs, U, Th, Ta compared to the SMB data. Surprisingly also is the higher concentration of Sr (35-50 versus <10 ppm) in these samples compared to WM from the SMB, a feature also shown by the whole rock data for the EKL (Kontak, 1987). Comparison of WM chemistry from greisen versus granite at East Kemptville (Table 5) demonstrates marked differences, with WM in granites being enriched in most of the analyzed elements.




Fig. 6. Bivariant plots of trace element data for mineral separates from the South Mountain Batholith. The arrows indicate the inferred direction of differentiation. F in wt.% and trace elements in ppm.

Rare Earth Element Chemistry

REE data for WM are given in Table 6 and chondrite-normalized patterns are shown in Figure 7. In general, WM from the SMB show broadly similar REE patterns with the LREE enriched over the HREE and negative Eu anomalies. Where there is an overall depletion of REE for WM within a group the pattern remains relatively constant (e.g., group 1 in Fig. 7). However, aside from these generalities, there are important systematic trends observed for the REE data which deserve particular emphasis:

(1) The patterns are similar to those of metaluminous to peraluminous igneous rocks in general (e.g., Hanson, 1980), although absolute REE contents are less. In fact, the trend of diminished fractionation (i.e., $(La/Yb)_N$ approaching 1) with decreasing REE abundance, as illustrated well for group 2 WM, is identical to that observed for whole rock data within the SMB (e.g., Muecke and Clarke, 1981; Kontak *et al.*, this volume).

(2) There is a general depletion of total REE from group 1 to 3 ($1 = 25 \pm 14$, $2 = 17 \pm 17$, $3 = 7 \pm 5$), Eu_N values decrease, and patterns become less fractionated. However, the data for greisen WM does not continue this trend and, as observed with the other trace element data, there is a large variation in abundance and in fractionation.

REE data for WM from the EKL contrasts with that for the SMB data in the following ways: (1) they have less fractionated LREE profiles (i.e., $(La/Sm)_N$ values are smaller), (2) they are overall less fractionated in terms of $(La/Yb)_N$ except for the more evolved samples in group 2 and 3 (e.g., samples 8, 10, 11, 12-1), (3) the patterns have a distinct inflection at Tb, and (4) they have much larger Eu^*/Eu values (ca. 80-100 versus <10-20), excluding some of the greisen samples. As with the

SMB data, the WM patterns mimic the REE plots for the EKL (Kontak, unpublished data).

REE data for the greisen WM at East Kemptville (Fig. 7) show an overall depletion compared to that which characterizes the primary WM, although the patterns are very similar. The Tb inflection noted above is also present in the greisen WM, albeit more in some than others. Compared to the greisen WM in the SMB, those from East Kemptville are markedly depleted in the REE, particularly the LREE, and are less fractionated.

DISCUSSION

The data presented herein indicate that textural and chemical signatures of WM can be highly variable even within a single composite intrusion

Table 6. Rare earth element analyses of white mica

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	13	14	15
La	7.99	2.81	5.64	1.52	7.19	1.63	0.28	0.61	0.92	1.20	0.85
Ce	20.94	6.76	14.97	3.69	17.18	4.24	0.81	1.64	1.20	2.36	2.32
Pr	2.29	0.84	1.58	0.45	2.09	0.53	0.10	0.20	0.22	0.26	0.28
Nd	8.35	3.14	5.94	1.75	7.92	1.95	0.35	0.76	0.89	0.98	1.08
Sm	2.16	0.84	1.42	0.56	2.15	0.53	0.12	0.22	0.18	0.25	0.25
Eu	0.079	0.045	0.113	0.039	0.111	0.033	0.009	0.014	0.027	..	0.027
Gd	1.76	0.77	1.08	0.51	1.93	0.42	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.45	0.24
Tb	0.27	0.12	0.14	0.09	0.25	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
Dy	1.37	0.62	0.67	0.46	1.13	0.39	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.19
Ho	0.20	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.17	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
Er	0.47	0.22	0.24	0.11	0.40	0.20	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.08
Tm	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.15	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Yb	0.41	0.15	0.20	0.09	0.30	0.27	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08
Lu	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	46.39	16.44	32.15	9.33	40.91	10.51	2.18	4.18	3.87	5.85	5.47

	18	12-1	12-2	11	17	13A	23C	26	116	117	118
La	2.47	0.50	2.84	0.95	4.46	1.77	2.40	0.87	5.95	0.35	0.57
Ce	6.27	1.50	8.00	2.50	10.99	4.59	7.23	2.26	13.15	1.07	0.54
Pr	0.75	0.19	1.03	0.31	1.33	0.57	0.93	0.28	1.41	0.13	0.06
Nd	2.97	0.74	3.71	1.07	4.95	2.05	3.31	1.0	5.16	0.43	0.23
Sm	0.66	0.23	0.96	0.32	1.07	0.79	1.43	0.42	0.95	0.17	0.09
Eu	..	0.010	0.000	0.000	..	..	0.010	0.140	..	..	..
Gd	0.58	0.21	0.68	0.29	0.58	0.54	1.48	0.34	0.79	0.17	0.08
Tb	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.37	0.10	0.11	0.03	0.01
Dy	0.15	0.22	0.39	0.21	0.42	0.57	1.96	0.55	0.64	0.15	0.07
Ho	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.10	0.01	0.01
Er	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.24	0.14	0.50	0.13	0.34	0.04	0.02
Tm	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00
Yb	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.24	0.13	0.39	0.12	0.40	0.03	0.02
Lu	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
	13.99	3.85	17.96	5.86	24.49	11.37	20.36	6.27	29.06	2.58	1.70




Fig. 7. Chondrite-normalized REE plots (muscovite/chondritic values) for muscovite separates from the South Mountain Batholith and East Kemptville leucogranite and associated greisens.

(e.g., the SMB). The data also illustrate that a simple interpretation of WM history can rarely be unequivocal and, instead, several potential explanations may be required to account for the mineralogical features of WM within a single granitoid unit. Thus, the distinction between primary versus secondary WM in granitoid rocks will invariably be subjective and, hence, controversial. In the following discussion we briefly summarize the salient aspects of this study in relation to granitoid petrology, viz., the nature of the WM in the SMB and the implications of its origin in terms of petrogenesis.

Primary Versus Secondary Nature of WM: Textural and Chemical Evidence

The occurrence of primary WM in felsic igneous rocks is well established from theoretical (Abbott, 1985), experimental (e.g., Huang and Wyllie, 1981; London, 1987; Weidner and Martin, 1987) and natural (i.e., occurrences in volcanic rocks: Pichavant *et al.*, in press; Schleicher and Lippolt, 1981) studies. However, because of inevitable late stage textural modification of granitoid rocks, original grain boundaries of WM are rarely preserved. In addition, the generation of secondary WM is a common subsolidus phenomenon which creates problems in discriminating between the different generations of this phase.

Restricting ourselves solely to textural criteria, there is evidence that primary WM exists in the SMB. This is easier to document in chemically more primitive units than in the relatively volatile-rich evolved units. Thus, although textural criteria provide good evidence for the occurrence of some primary WM in the SMB, this reasoning alone does not signify that it constitutes a large percentage of the modal mineralogy.

Chemical analyses of WM have been used by some workers to successfully discriminate textural varieties of WM (e.g., Miller *et al.*, 1981; Anderson and Rowley, 1981; Monier *et al.*, 1984; Monier and Robert, 1986). Application of a variety of discriminant diagrams using major element chemistry for WM of the SMB (e.g., Fig. 3 of Clarke, 1981, and Fig. 2 of Miller *et al.*, 1981) proved inconclusive in distinguishing texturally primary versus secondary grains in this study.

However, a suite of muscovite separates indicates general differences in major element chemistry which broadly correspond to bulk rock composition of the host. This was further illustrated with trace element data which indicated consistent enrichment/depletion trends for a variety of elements (e.g., Rb, Sr, Ba, Li, Cs). The volatile chemistry (i.e., F, Li) of WM in the SMB also shows consistent enrichment towards the more evolved suites and, along with some of the trace elements (Rb, Cs), reflects crystal-chemical controls (Fig. 6). Hence, the use of trace element chemistry appears to provide a means of determining whether the composition of the WM is consistent with the bulk composition of the host rock. For example, the progressive increase of Rb, Li, Cs and F with a concomitant depletion of Ba and Sr in the WM of the SMB is commensurate with the chemical evolution of the host rocks and argues for their primary origin. If the WM formed as a result of local replacement of adjacent minerals (biotite, feldspars,

cordierite), the consistent trace element patterns documented herein would not be expected. Instead, we would expect irregular trends as are amply demonstrated by the chemistry of WM from greisen samples in Figures 6 and 7.

The major element composition of WM in a felsic igneous rock is controlled by the physio-chemical conditions of the melt (e.g., Monier and Robert, 1986), although the trace elements are more dependent on crystal-chemical controls. Thus, the vastly different compositions of WM from the EKL compared to that of the SMB are attributed to both the aforementioned parameters. Obviously, major element chemical criteria established for primary WM in the SMB are not going to be applicable to the WM in the EKL, and vice versa. Similarly, the trace element (including REE) contents of the WM in the two suites differ markedly. We again emphasize that WM in the EKL is chemically quite distinct from the WM of clearly secondary origin (i.e., greisens) at East Kemptville, presumably as a result of varying physio-chemical conditions during mineral growth.

Implications of White Mica on the Petrology of the South Mountain Batholith

Results of our textural and chemical study of WM in the SMB indicate that some of this mineralogy is of primary magmatic nature. Because it is inferred to occur as a primary phase in even the most primitive unit (i.e., granodiorite), the peraluminous nature of the SMB is an original feature (e.g., Zen, 1986). In fact, the consistent chemistry of WM within different units of the SMB suggest little change in bulk chemistry for the samples studied, except for the greisens.

The chemistry of WM in the ternary diagram of Monier and Robert (1986, Fig. 4) indicates different temperatures of equilibration for the micas from a variety of host rocks. Although the temperatures (i.e., 400–600°C) are obviously not magmatic, they indicate the extent of subsolidus, thermal re-equilibration. As would be expected, the fluid-rock interaction in the more evolved phases extended to lower temperatures. The deviation of the East Kemptville WM from the higher temperature isotherms in Figure 4 is related to the fact that the experiments of Monier and Robert (1986) involved biotite-muscovite equilibria, whereas biotite is absent in the EKL.

Since WM is inferred to have been a stable magmatic phase during the evolution of the SMB, appropriate pressure conditions required to stabilize muscovite must have prevailed. As pointed out earlier by Miller *et al.* (1981) and Anderson and Rowley (1981), the multitude of influencing variables makes pressure estimates difficult. The most important of these factors are the non-ideal chemistry of the WM and the work of Velde (1965, 1967) which indicated a strong temperature-pressure dependence for phengite stability. Previous concerns that octahedral impurities in WM implied low temperature, and hence high pressure of formation, have been contested by Monier and Robert (1986). They showed that a biotitic substitution mechanism can accommodate impurities and thus allow lower pressures of formation. The recent experimental work of Weidner and Martin (1987), on a rock of different bulk

composition than the SMB but not unlike the EKL, indicates that muscovite can be a stable liquidus phase up to 680°C at 1 kb and 700°C at 4 kb. Although this experimental work was on a F-rich (ca. 1.5 wt.% F) leucogranite, the presence of 0.2-1.0 wt.% F in the muscovites of this study indicates that its stability is probably somewhat higher than that inferred for end member OH-muscovite (Kerrick, 1972; Chatterjee and Johannes, 1974). A stability limit somewhere between these two extremes is probable for the WM in the SMB which would permit emplacement to a level equivalent to ca. 2 kb pressure (i.e., 6-8 km) based on the phase equilibria established by Weidner and Martin (1987). An estimate of the water content of the melt can also be made by examining the isobaric phase relations established by Huang and Wyllie (1981) for a S-type granite, in combination with the textural observations of WM in the SMB. The late appearance of this phase is consistent with <2-3 wt.% H₂O in the melt.

CONCLUSIONS

A textural and chemical study of WM in granitoid rocks of the SMB and EKL indicates that a primary magmatic origin for this phase is probable. While textural criteria alone only suffice to indicate that a small modal percentage of the WM is primary, the chemistry of the mineral provides evidence that a large proportion of the WM formed as an integral part of the magmatic (i.e., crystal-melt equilibria) evolution of the suites studied. The best evidence is provided by the systematic increase in F and trace element abundances in the WM which mimic trends established for whole rock chemistry by previous studies; hence, this suggests that the WM formed as part of the primary magmatic history of the granitoids. In contrast, WM from greisens show irregular chemical trends which are attributed to the highly variable chemistry of the protoliths. Use of major element discriminant diagrams (e.g., Miller *et al.*, 1981) to distinguish primary versus secondary WM are not conclusive. This reflects the importance of bulk rock composition, and the radically different compositions of WM from the SMB versus EKL illustrates this point well. This study indicates that the trace and volatile element chemistry of WM is perhaps the most viable and reliable means of distinguishing between different generations of WM in igneous rocks.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The funding for the mapping projects from which these samples were collected and analyzed is a joint Canada-Nova Scotia Mineral Development Agreement. The authors gratefully acknowledge M.A. MacDonald, G.A. O'Reilly, A.K. Chatterjee, M.C. Corey, and R.J. Horne at the Nova Scotia Department of Mines and Energy for their assistance and critical discussion. Dr. S. Jackson (Memorial University), Mr. B. McKay (Dalhousie University) and Mr. C. Cole (Technical University of Nova Scotia) are thanked for assistance with obtaining the chemical data presented herein. Critical reviews of an earlier version of the paper were provided by Drs. D.B. Clarke and C. Miller and are gratefully acknowledged.

- ABBOTT, R.N., Jr. 1985. Muscovite-bearing granites in the AFM liquidus projection. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 23, pp. 553-561.
- ANDERSON, J.L., and ROWLEY, M.C. 1981. Synkinematic intrusion of peraluminous and associated metaluminous granitic magmas, Whipple Mountains, California. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 19, pp. 83-101.
- CHATTERJEE, N.D., and JOHANNES, W.S. 1974. Thermal stability and standard thermodynamic properties of synthetic 2M₁-muscovite. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 48, pp. 89-114.
- CLARKE, D.B. 1981. The mineralogy of peraluminous granites: a review. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 19, pp. 3-17.
- CLARKE, D.B., and MUECKE, G.K. 1985. Review of the petrochemistry and origin of the South Mountain Batholith and associated plutons, Nova Scotia, Canada. In *High Heat Production (HHP) Granites, Hydrothermal Circulation and Ore Genesis*. Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, London, England, pp. 41-54.
- HANSON, G.N. 1980. Rare earth elements in petrogenetic studies of igneous systems. *Annual Review Earth and Planetary Science*, 8, pp. 371-406.
- HUANG, W.L., and WYLLIE, P.J. 1981. Phase relationships of S-type granite with H₂O to 35 kb: muscovite granite from Harney Peak, South Dakota. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 86, pp. 10515-10529.
- KERRICK, D.M. 1972. Experimental determination of muscovite + quartz stability with PH₂O < Ptotal. *American Journal of Science*, 272, pp. 946-958.
- KONTAK, D.J. 1987. East Kemptville leucogranite: a possible mid-Carboniferous topaz granite. In *Mines and Minerals Branch, Report of Activities 1986*. Edited by J.L. Bates and D.R. MacDonald. Nova Scotia Department of Mines and Energy, Report 87-1, pp. 81-94.
- KONTAK, D.J., STRONG, D.F., and KERRICK, R. 1988. Crystal-melt+fluid phase equilibria versus late-stage fluid-rock interaction in granitoid rocks of the South Mountain Batholith, Nova Scotia: whole rock geochemistry and oxygen isotope evidence. *Maritime Sediments and Atlantic Geology*, 24, pp. 97-110.
- LONDON, D. 1987. Internal differentiation of rare-element pegmatites: effects of boron, phosphorus and fluorine. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 51, pp. 403-420.
- MACDONALD, M.A., and HORNE, R.J. 1988. The geology and geochemistry of the zoned, peraluminous Halifax Pluton, south-central Nova Scotia. *Maritime Sediments and Atlantic Geology*, 24, pp. 33-45.
- MILLER, C.F., STODDARD, E.F., BRADFISH, L.J., and DOLLASE, W.A. 1981. Composition of plutonic muscovite: genetic implications. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 19, pp. 25-34.
- MONIER, G., MERGOIL-DANIEL, J., and LABERNARIELIERE, H. 1984. Generations successives de muscovites et feldspaths potassiques dans les leucogranites du massif de Millevaches (Massif Central Français). *Bulletin de Mineralogie*, 107, pp. 55-68.
- MONIER, G., and ROBERT, J.L. 1986. Muscovite solid solutions in the system K₂O-MgO-FeO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O: an experimental study at 2 kbar PH₂O and comparison with natural Li-free white micas. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 50, pp. 257-266.
- MUECKE, G.K., and CLARKE, D.B. 1981. Geochemical evolution of the South Mountain Batholith, Nova Scotia: rare-earth-element evidence. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 19, pp. 133-145.
- PICHIVANT, M., KONTAK, D.J., VALENCIA HERRERA, J., and CLARK, A.H. *In press*. The Miocene-Pliocene Macusani volcanics, SE Peru: I. Mineralogy and magmatic evolution of a felsic peraluminous magma. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*.
- SAAVEDRA, J. 1978. Geochemical and petrological characteristics of mineralised granites of the west centre of Spain. In *Metallization Associated with Acid Magmatism*. Edited by M. Stempok, L. Burnol and G. Tischendorf. Geological Survey of Czechoslovakia, 3, pp. 279-291.
- SCHLEICHER, H., and LIPPOLT, H.J. 1981. Magmatic muscovite in felsic parts of rhyolites from southwest Germany. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 28, pp. 220-224.
- SPEER, J.A. 1984. Micas in igneous rocks. In *Micas*. Edited by S.W. Bailey. Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy, 13, pp. 299-356.
- STRONG, D.F., and CHATTERJEE, A.K. 1985. Review of some chemical and mineralogical characteristics of granitoid rocks hosting Sn, W, U, Mo deposits in Newfoundland and Nova Scotia. In *High Heat Production (HHP) Granites, Hydrothermal Circulation and Ore Genesis*. Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, London, England, pp. 489-516.
- STRONG, D.F., and LONGERICH, H.P. 1985. The inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometer (ICP/MS). *Geoscience Canada*, 12, pp. 72-75.
- VELDE, B. 1965. Phengitic micas: synthesis, stability and natural occurrences. *American Journal of Science*, 263, pp.

- 886-913.
VELDE, B. 1967. Si^{+4} content of natural phengites. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 14, pp. 250-258.
WEIDNER, J.F., and MARTIN, R.F. 1987. Phase equilibria of a fluorine-rich leucogranite from the St. Austell pluton, Cornwall. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 51, pp. 1591-1597.
ZEN, E-AN. 1986. Aluminum enrichment in silicate melts by fractional crystallization: some mineralogic and petrographic constraints. Journal of Petrology, 27, pp. 1095-1117.