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Colleen WOLSTENHOLME, Iconophobia

Virginia EICHORN

To be born woman is to know—
—Although they do not talk of it at school—
—that we must labour to be beautiful.
— W. B. YEATS, Adam’s Curse

Repression of feelings. Suppression of emotions. These have been with us for generations. In particular, women have been subjected to societal dictates of what is "acceptable" or appropriate behaviour. As children, as girls, we have been told how "nice" girls behave. One cannot be loud, or angry or boisterous. To be any of those things is somehow to repudiate or reject femininity and thus be left open for criticism or public censure.

In her seminal work, Iconophobia, Colleen Wolstenholme looks at how pharmaceuticals have been used to create "acceptable" behaviours and demeanours in women. Her latest body of work, Iconophobia, continues with her pharmaceutical metaphors but also extends itself to include a greater and more pervasive social critique—something that curator Ivan Jurakic described as a "metaphorical resistance against authoritative power structures..." and as a "...critique of ideological coercion..."

It is no accident either that Wolstenholme incorporates identifiable logos and trademark names in her work. By doing so she investigates the dynamics and relationship dispensed in Canada went from just under 9 million in 1999 to over 15.5 million by 2003. In her report, entitled The Marketization of Depression: Prescribing SSRIs Antidepressants to women, Currie questions the science behind the drugs and their real-world effectiveness. She draws attention to the serious harms that these drugs can do and cause, as well as to their addictive properties.

Currie also points out that two-thirds of SSRIs users are women. "The clinical trial results for SSRIs raise many questions about their effectiveness, and yet hundreds of thousands of Canadian women are being exposed to these potent brain chemicals, sometimes for many, many years despite their many risks and side effects," says Currie. Currie suggests a number of reasons for the increasingly widespread use of these drugs, one of which is an increased acceptance of the view that depression is a biologically based phenomenon. Hand-in-hand with this view has come an era of aggressive marketing tactics by pharmaceutical companies. "One has to ask—is there a reason why depression rates have soared so dramatically in the last 15 to 20 years at exactly the same time as SSRIs came onto the market and have been aggressively promoted by drug companies?" she says. (http://www.cwhn.ca/network-reseau/8-34/8-36p3.html)

BusPar and Spilli use pill-shaped sculptural forms as their means of addressing these concerns. BusPar is a 244 cm tall bronze sculpture which is an exact replica of BusPar medications. Interestingly, on the company website amongst other information we are told that "BusPar attenuates punishment suppressed behavior in animals and exerts a calming effect". Wolstenholme's dramatic presentation of this piece gives it an almost heraldic or totemic effect. It appears solemn and grandiose—something that is immovable and that will persevere through the generations. It is presented as an object worthy of veneration. In this work she dramatically shows the authority with which pharmaceutical companies present their wares—however we know that these things often come with disclaimers and contraindicators. But that information is often "hidden" in small print or glossed over in consultations.

Wolstenholme reminds us to challenge these authorities and to, above all, question how something is presented.

Likewise Spill is an installation of pills, each of which is a perfectly rendered enlargement of BusPar and Amitriptyline. These large plaster sculptures are overwhelming and oppressive in scale. As one negotiates through the gallery space, one cannot get away from them. The artist effectively reminds us of how pervasive the prescribing of medications like this is within our current society.

Wolstenholme posits that this (over)medication is a means of keeping those who do not conform in society and those who are most economically vulnerable (children, women, seniors) in a "managed" and manageable state. Triad reinforces that concept. Rather than using pharmaceuticals in this instance Wolstenholme created three burqa-clad women who are slightly smaller than life-size. The figures are placed in a circular arrangement but are facing away from one another. There is a disconnect between them and their reluctance to look toward each other for support, creating a strong atmosphere of isolation. We cannot help but wonder at the source of isolation. Is it shame? Is it reluctance to admit the need for another's help? Is it fear of breaking out of imposed boundaries and expectations? The figures in Triad are vulnerable and helpless, imprisoned within the plaster folds of their burqas, unable to progress or move. They are incapacitated.

Wolstenholme's work is strong. It is strong in technique and in intention. She takes the "power" of brand names and cultural icons and subverts them, taking from them the meaning that the corporations would like us to associate with them and giving us instead the opportunity to decide for ourselves.