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Particular attention is given to Boas’ experiences while staying among the Inuit of southern Baffin Island in the 1880s and how he conveyed his views of Inuit and the Arctic to his children and grandchildren. This section is of particular interest to arctic social scientists. The chapters are organized chronologically focussing in Boas’ personal and academic career, immigration to the USA, personal ordeals, political activism, and legacy. These chapters are based on original archival materials housed with the American Philosophical Society in Philadelphia, PA, but also draw from documents in private collection of Boas family members.

The Epilogue includes the description of the encounter, in the 1980s, between members of the Boas family and the Kwakwa’wakw in Alert Bay, British Columbia, with whom Boas worked between the 1880s and 1930s and in particular with one of their members, George Hunt. This moving story shows the closing of a circle that begun with Boas and ended in mediation and conciliation, when materials entailing knowledge where returned by the Boas family—an extraordinary event.

This timely book adds to the wealth of literature on Franz Boas who has kept many cultural anthropologists occupied to ponder about his work and approach to study the difference in human expressions. Norman F. Boas is to be congratulated for his persistence and energy to complete and publish this biography.
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DALEY, Patrick J. and Beverly A. JAMES

This is a long overdue and much welcome study of indigenous media in Alaska, which places the media firmly in historical, political, and cultural context. In part, it is a political history of efforts by Alaska Native peoples to challenge state and federal policies and activities, making it an excellent teaching resource for programmes in political and social sciences as well as media and cultural studies. It outlines the political machinations and manoeuvrings that have constantly threatened—and continue to threaten—the cultures, lives, and livelihood of Alaska Natives and the overall integrity and survival of Alaskan flora, fauna, lands and waters. Also included are a helpful list of abbreviations and a few well-chosen maps and illustrations.

The very interesting and thoughtful introductory discussion of Alaska Natives’ use of mass media to challenge Euro-American cultural hegemony is followed by a chapter outlining indigenous experiences of missionary interventions and the role of mass
media in helping to clarify and amplify voices of indigenous resistance. Chapters two and three—case studies of the Alaska Fisherman and the Tundra Times—are the strongest, in terms of successfully and carefully linking theory to experience. In a reversal of the order one might expect, the two strong case studies are followed by two more broadly based chapters on cultural politics, Alaska Native radio and television, and a concluding section that does not quite live up to its intention of summarising cultural politics and indigenous public spheres.

Drawing on Mary Louise Pratt’s (1992) theory of transculturation in “the contact zone,” the authors consider the emergence of the Tundra Times as an Alaska Native Brotherhood (ANB) lobbying tool in the conflict between indigenous and commercial fisheries. They see the ANB as an amalgamation of Tlingit cultural persistence with “Presbyterian Americanization,” embodied in its “White” boarding school-educated Tlingit leader, William Paul (p. 62). Skillfully adapting Tlingit Raven narratives to political expediency, Paul linked Raven’s silencing of the people he controlled to the need of indigenous people to control the press, reappropriating “the White man’s journalism” to the needs of indigenous Alaskans (p. 64). This discussion of William Paul’s approach calls to mind the more recent work of Gloria Bird and Joy Harjo (1997), and their characterization of indigenous writers’ English-language poetry and prose as “reinventing the enemy’s language.”

The Tundra Times bolstered Alaska Native resistance efforts by giving voice to a pan-indigenous movement that managed (however imperfectly) to unite Aleut, Yup’ik, Inuit, Athabaskan, Tlingit, Haida and Tsimshian peoples. With the newspaper front and centre, the movement’s best known and farthest-reaching achievement was the signing of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA). The prime mover in that process was the Seattle-educated artist and Inuit leader, Sikvoan Weyahok (Howard Rock). The story of the Tundra Times, which ceased publication in 1997, has striking resonance for today. While they are correct in terms of that newspaper’s specific history, the authors present an incomplete portrait of the paper’s historical and international significance. Relegating its crucial seasons to the 1960s and 70s, they suggest that from then on, it steadily weakened until it finally died. It would be useful to consider the paper’s continuing effects and influences in a changing political and media culture—its media afterlife—in Alaska and elsewhere. In light of the present United States government’s obsession with oil and the continuing assaults on Alaska resources and lands, the need for a Tundra Times is greater than ever.

Daley and James understand the emergence of Tundra Times as a conflict between subsistence and technocracy, a history quite different from that of indigenous media in Canada. Unlike the situation in the Canadian North, with its array of technologically sophisticated broadcast and telecommunication services, such services in Alaska have been erratic at best and more often, non-existent. It is unfortunate that, like so much U.S. scholarship, this U.S.-centric view ignores the peoples, policies and precedents of Alaska’s Canadian next-door neighbours. Even though the book’s central analysis is framed in Gail Guthrie Valaskakis’ idea of “resistance as cultural persistence,” Valaskakis is never identified as an indigenous scholar whose theory is rooted in Canadian communications and policies, and in the experiences of indigenous peoples in
Canada. It is a serious omission, especially in the case of precedent-setting satellite communications, which involved indigenous people from the start, and evolved into the international programming and Canada-wide distribution of the Aboriginal People's Television Network (APTN).

In particular, the discussion of the Yup'ik broadcast outlet, KYUK, would benefit from comparison with developments in Canada. More broadly, the examination of Alaska Native broadcasting would be clarified and enriched by considering the influence of Canadian indigenous media, media technologies and media networks on indigenous media in Alaska and internationally (e.g., Alia 1999; Roth with Valaskakis 1989; Roth 2005). Certainly, Alaska Eskimos have done this, as active participants in the international Inuit Circumpolar Conference (ICC).

The conclusion sketches a perspective on cultural politics and media and returns to Valaskakis’ idea of resistance as cultural persistence. It briefly considers some developments in Alaska Native broadcasting and more briefly, the Alaska Native sovereignty movement in international context. Rather than bringing the prior analysis more sharply into focus, the conclusion scatters seeds from recent and current political and media developments and leaves them for the reader to gather and carry forward. It would seem relevant to address some of the possible ways forward, in which to strengthen, restore, and further the dissemination of indigenous media voices and create and maintain indigenous media outlets. Finally, the reader is called upon to learn—from the two case studies and the broader cultural and historical documentation—to listen to Alaska Native voices. The implicit assumption that readers will not themselves be Alaska Natives seems a contradiction of the spirit and substance of the book.
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DAMAS, David

In this book, David Damas explores the changes that took place in the Inuit settlement in the central Arctic during the 1950s and 1960s. To do so, the author analyzes the social and political factors that led to the Inuit's settlement. He makes two observations. First, the settlement is a double movement that involves governmental action (relocation or establishment of social policies) and the desire of local populations to live in the newly created communities (migration). Second, government policies towards the Inuit have changed between the beginning and the end of the 1950s. From a policy of dispersion that encouraged the Inuit to occupy the territory, the government changed its approach in the mid-1950s and implemented social policies that encouraged them to settle.

Damas backs his discussion with data from the anthropological and historical corpus of the central Arctic as well as from various archives of the National Archives of Canada, the Prince of Wales Heritage Centre in Yellowknife and the Hudson’s Bay Company archives in Winnipeg. The book consists of eight chapters accompanied by 54 pages of notes, a bibliography and an exhaustive index.

In the first pages of the book, the author describes the concept of territorial settlement structure (patterns of settlement). This structure is composed of four elements: the location of the camps, the duration of stay, the size of the group and the type of grouping. Several factors affect the settlement structure, but it is the economic factor that has the greatest influence. In order to survive economically, the group must occupy the territory in order to obtain the resources necessary for their survival. However, symbolic factors (festivals, ceremonies, prohibitions) or family factors also have an influence on the aspects of the settlement structure.