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This book, a chronological narrative, is “as an invitation to examine more closely the factors that have influenced the purpose and practice of education in the Eastern Arctic” (McGregor 2010: ix). In chapter one, “History of the Eastern Arctic: Foundations and themes,” the author provides an overview of the environmental, historical, and socio-political context into which the schools were introduced. In chapter two, “Living and learning on the land: Inuit education in the traditional period,” she addresses the Inuit’s customary socialisation practices.

The next three chapters cover the administration of formal schooling from the mid-1940s to 1999: they summarise the philosophies, reports, and policies that fostered the evolution of the school system. Starting with chapter three, “Qallunaat schooling: Assimilation in the colonial period,” the author outlines how formal schooling was introduced to Inuit as part of the federal government’s plan to colonise the Arctic. McGregor considers that this period—extending from the government’s first interventions until the early 1970s—was characterised by three trends: first, the collapse of the Inuit’s traditional economic base; second, the subjection of Inuit to the paternalistic and culturally disrespectful attitudes of many Qallunaat; and third, the transformation of the Arctic into a welfare state. In chapter four, “Educational change: New possibilities in the territorial period,” the author documents how the Department of Education of the Government of the Northwest Territories (NWT) took charge of formal schooling. Although the government intended to include more Inuit content and personnel in the schools, it lacked the practical components to realise many of its objectives.

The fifth chapter, “Reclaiming the schools: Inuit involvement in the local period,” illustrates how the territorial government delegated responsibility for schooling to elected school boards, local education authorities, and elected community education councils. The author concentrates on the Baffin Divisional Board of Education, the first divisional board to be incorporated. Of most significance, Inuit brought their culture into the schools through curriculum content, spoken and written Inuktitut, and Inuit classroom assistants. Many of these assistants eventually became accredited teachers through the NWT Teacher Education Program. In 1999, with the creation of Nunavut, a new educational regime was introduced. While schooling remained under Inuit control, the government centralised the management of education, thereby diminishing recently gained local input and influence. In concluding, McGregor addresses the policies of the new government. She believes the school system has suffered because the government has failed to prioritise education.

The book’s strength lies in these last chapters. The tone and fluency of chapter five in particular reveal the author’s assuredness with respect to the ongoing process of
administering the school system during these years. She was fortunate to have access to
documents and tapes that recorded this period.¹

The encounter between Inuit and the Westernised school system is not an easy
story to relate. McGregor approaches her subject from a vast historical canvas and pulls
the multiple strands of her account together conscientiously. Throughout the text she
brings in many of the voices that speak in this ongoing narrative by citing quotations
from secondary sources; her bibliography attests to her extensive search. The quotes
serve as stepping-stones that support the author’s way through the text. Some of them
are excellent and to the point, such as Pudlo Pudlat’s account of developing self-
reliance: “[…] we just learned by example, by watching and trying’ (cited in Bennett

But McGregor falls short in her reading of some sources. In summarising a citation
from Wenzel (2000: 183) about the threat to animal resources caused by human
population densities, she inaccurately concludes, “The structure of kinship and social
relations […] became irrelevant to the daily lives of Inuit in the communities”
(McGregor 2010: 59). Not only is this conclusion untrue, it belies Wenzel’s findings,
which illustrate how hunting relationships reinforce kinship bonds.

Moreover, in choosing to cut a wide swath, the author has fallen prey to some
over-simplifications and repetitions. For example, she adopts the practice of referring to
the Inuit’s customary method of educating their children as “informal,” and to
Westernised schooling as “formal” (McGregor 2010: 6, *passim*). These well-worn
labels misrepresent the distinction between two entirely different types of society, each
with its respective purpose for and methods of socialisation. In addition, her consistent
use of the concepts “knowing,” “doing,” and “being” to encompass the totality of Inuit
culture flattens and diminishes the culture’s full richness (2010: 44, *passim*). While the
author elaborates on the terms’ implications, they remain limiting and school-centric.

In the introduction to their edited collection of essays, Fisher and Coates (1988: 2)
point out that the body of work on government policy and Native education tends to be
written from the perspective of administrators rather than from that of the people
themselves. While McGregor does give Inuit a voice, her account remains very much
an administrator’s book—this is her area of expertise. Nonetheless, one begins to
recognise that a deeper story lies behind the many quotes from Inuit; they are the tips of
icebergs, arising out of lives that are profoundly changing. We would like to know
more.
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If people today are at all aware of New Zealand-born Diamond Jenness (1886-
1969), it is likely for The People of the Twilight, his moving account of a 1914-1916 
stay among the Copper Inuit. A few will also have heard of his Dawn in Arctic Alaska 
or the five-volume Eskimo Administration composed late in life. To make the 
ethnologist’s “many accomplishments” (p. ix) better known in Canada, his adopted 
country, Barnett Richling, University of Winnipeg senior scholar in anthropology, has 
written In Twilight and Dawn, a cradle-to-grave academic biography.

A half-dozen chapters concern mainly Jenness himself: South Seas youth; studies 
at Oxford; World War I soldiering for Canada; over 20 years of work in Ottawa for the 
federal government’s Anthropology Division (including the National Museum of Man) 
with two stints as director; de facto transfer at the onset of WWII to other functions 
until retirement in 1948; and the period to his death. Admixed are expansions on 
Jenness’s stays among various Indigenous peoples: the Papuan of the D’Entrecasteaux 
Islands (1911-1912); Alaska’s Inupiat and Canada’s Copper Inuit, both while with the 
Stefansson-led Canadian Arctic Expedition (1913-1916); Alberta’s Sarcee (1921); 
British Columbia’s Wet’suwet’en, Sekani, and Carrier groups (1923-1924); Ontario’s 
Ojibway (1929); and the West Coast Saanich and Katzie (1935-1936). Throughout, 
Richling covers local history, prior studies by others, and theoretical issues. In addition, 
50 pages present the early archaeology of pre-contact Inuit cultures, Jenness’s search 
for buried artifacts on the Diomede Islands (1926) and in Newfoundland (1927), his 
review of thousands of Arctic items dug up by others, and his identification thereby of 
the Dorset people.