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Le site du Patrimoine mondial de la Réserve écologique de Mistaken Point (MPER), sur la côte sud-est de Terre-Neuve, au Canada, est l'une des principales localités fossilières édiacariennes de la planète. Le MPER renferme quelques-uns des plus anciens assemblages connus de macrobiote édiacarien à parties molles, et ses fossiles ont contribué de manière significative à la recherche paléobiologique édiacarienne depuis leur découverte en 1967. La préservation de multiples paléocommunautés benthiques in situ, dont certaines comptant des milliers de spécimens, a permis de faire des recherches en paléécologie, ontogenèse, taphonomie, taxonomie et morphologie de biotes édiacariens, ce qui a permis d'avoir un aperçu de différentes positions phylogénétiques possibles des taxons édiacariens dans l’arborescence biologique. Aussi, grâce à une colonne géologique épaisse et continue, on a pu placer ces fossiles dans un contexte temporel et paléoenvironnemental bien circonscrit qui s'étend sur un intervalle d'au moins 10 millions d’années. Cet article passe en revue l'histoire de la recherche paléontologique au MPER et souligne les découvertes majeures qui ont façonné la réflexion sur le macrobiote édiacarien. 
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SUMMARY
Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve (MPER) World Heritage Site, on the southeastern coast of Newfoundland, Canada, is one of the foremost global Ediacaran fossil localities. MPER contains some of the oldest known assemblages of the soft-bodied Ediacaran macrobiota, and its fossils have contributed significantly to Ediacaran paleobiological research since their initial discovery in 1967. Preservation of multiple in situ benthic paleocommunities, some comprising thousands of specimens, has enabled research into Ediacaran paleoecology, ontogeny, taphonomy, taxonomy and morphology, offering insights into the possible phylogenetic positions of Ediacaran taxa within the tree of life. Meanwhile, a thick and continuous geological record enables the fossils to be placed within a well-resolved temporal and paleoenvironmental context spanning an interval of at least 10 million years. This article reviews the history of paleontological research at MPER, and highlights key discoveries that have shaped global thinking on the Ediacaran macrobiota.

RÉSUMÉ
Le site du Patrimoine mondial de la Réserve écologique de Mistaken Point (MPER), sur la côte sud-est de Terre-Neuve, au Canada, est l'une des principales localités fossilières édiacariennes de la planète. Le MPER renferme quelques-uns des plus anciens assemblages connus de macrobiote édiacarien à parties molles, et ses fossiles ont contribué de manière significative à la recherche paléobiologique édiacarienne depuis leur découverte en 1967. La préservation de multiples paléocommunsautés benthiques in situ, dont certaines comptant des milliers de spécimens, a permis de faire des recherches en paléécologie, ontogenèse, taphonomie, taxonomie et morphologie de biotes édiacariens, ce qui a permis d'avoir un aperçu de différentes positions phylogénétiques possibles des taxons édiacariens dans l’arborescence biologique. Aussi, grâce à une colonne géologique épaisse et continue, on a pu placer ces fossiles dans un contexte temporel et paléoenvironnemental bien circonscrit qui s’étend sur un intervalle d’au moins 10 millions d’années. Cet article passe en revue l’histoire de la recherche paléontologique au MPER et souligne les découvertes majeures qui ont façonné la réflexion sur le macrobiote édiacarien.

INTRODUCTION
The barren southeastern coastline of Newfoundland, Canada, can be a wild and mysterious place. Intense post-tropical cyclones in the fall, and ferocious Atlantic winter storms that bring snow, ice, and howling gales, combine with destructive effect to shape the rugged cliffs. During the summer, the coastline is at times barely visible beneath a dense veil of fog and mist that sits atop the landscape for weeks on end. It is only in the late summer months when the fog lifts that the area’s natural riches can be truly appreciated. A unique ecological biome (the Eastern Hyper-oceanic Barrens; Damman 1983; Meades 1990), coupled with spectacular rocky scenery...
and diverse bird and marine life, would be sufficient cause for the designation of this coastline as a provincial Ecological Reserve. However, even more remarkable, but less easy to see on the weather-worn rock surfaces, are countless impressions of soft-bodied organisms that inhabited the seafloor ~565 million years ago. These fossils, dating from the middle-late Ediacaran period, have proven amongst the most difficult to decipher in the entire geological record, but they are crucial to shaping our understanding of the early evolution of large, morphologically complex organisms (Narbonne 2005; Fedonkin et al. 2007; Attenborough 2010).

Ediacaran fossils were first discovered at the prominent headland called Mistaken Point in the summer of 1967. Shiva Balak Misra, a geology M.Sc. student at Memorial University of Newfoundland, was undertaking a mapping project (Misra 1969a) when he and his field assistant, Paul Thompson, recognized unusual and diverse impressions preserved on the bedding surfaces. Amongst the discoveries were spindle-shaped, leaf-shaped, lobate and radiating forms, which were recognized to comprise a new Canadian biota that was demonstrably Precambrian in age. Misra reported the discovery in *Nature* with Michael Anderson of Memorial University (Anderson and Misra 1968), and then documented the fossils in more detail the following year (Misra 1969b). Correspondence with Professor Martin Glaessner in Adelaide confirmed to Misra that the Mistaken Point specimens were similar to those being found in the Ediacara Hills of Australia (Glaessner and Wade 1966), and in Charnwood Forest of the UK (Ford 1958). Together with specimens from Russia and Namibia, these assemblages gave rise to the concept of a globally distributed “Ediacara biota:” an eclectic assortment of largely soft-bodied organisms that inhabited the ocean floor in the ~30 million years immediately preceding the Cambrian period.

The Mistaken Point fossils represent some of the most spectacular occurrences of soft-bodied macro-organisms in the geological record. Thousands of specimens are found on numerous natural exposures of laterally continuous bedding planes through the siliciclastic sedimentary successions of the Conception and St. John’s groups (Fig. 1). These fossils include some of the oldest known records of large and complex macrofossils, metazoan trace fossils, and complex macroscopic ecosystems (Liu and Brasier 2012; Thomas et al. 2015). Ediacaran assemblages from the White Sea in Russia (e.g. Zakrevskaya 2014), and the Flinders Ranges in Australia (Droser and Gehling 2015), can be better preserved and document a wider variety of organisms than those in Newfoundland. However, the Newfoundland assemblages are several million years older than these other sites, and reflect deeper marine depositional environments (Boag et al. 2016). The Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve (MPER) fossil-bearing bedding planes are also unusual in that they occur through a considerable thickness of sediment, documenting a deep marine but broadly shallowing upwards sedimentary environment (Wood et al. 2003). Fossils, preserved as epirelief impressions and ranging from a few millimetres to over one metre in dimension, can reach densities of over 100 individuals per square metre on some surfaces (Clapham et al. 2003). They are often difficult to see due to their low topographic relief, but under low-angle sunlight they provide an unprecedented paleontological spectacle (Fig. 2a).

The MPER succession has contributed to well over 100 scientific publications to date, and has proven to be our most
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Figure 1. Geological map and stratigraphy of Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve (MPER), showing key fossil localities and their relative stratigraphic positions (black stars). Red shading indicates the areal extent of MPER.
informative record of deep marine Ediacaran macrofossil assemblages. The fossils are becoming increasingly appreciated outside of academia, and have featured in artwork, poetry, books, and television documentaries. The scientific importance of the fossils around Mistaken Point was recognized by the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador in 1987, with the establishment of the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve to encompass an 8.5 km stretch of coastline spanning 5.7 km². The Reserve was expanded to its current 17 km extent in 2003 to include a number of newly discovered fossil surfaces. Following almost a decade of preparation, MPER was added to the UNESCO World Heritage List on July 17th 2016, joining Miguasha National Park, Joggins Fossil Cliffs, Dinosaur Provincial Park, and the Canadian Rocky Mountains Park (home to the Burgess Shale) as one of Canada’s five UNESCO World Heritage Sites inscribed at least in part on the basis of their paleontological attributes.

The Ediacaran Enigma
The Ediacaran macrobiota, ~570–541 Ma, comprises the first diverse populations of large and complex organisms in the fossil record (Fedonkin et al. 2007). Determining what sort of organisms were present among the biota is important for understanding the causes and consequences of both the rise of...
macroscopic multicellularity, and potentially the origin and diversification of animals (Budd and Jensen 2017; Cunningham et al. 2017). Indeed, resolving the biological affinities of the biota and their relationship to extant eukaryotic groups such as animals and algae is a major outstanding goal in the field of paleobiology.

Considerable biotic diversity had already been achieved prior to the appearance of the Ediacaran macrobiota. Bacteria and acritarchs are abundant among Proterozoic microfossils (Vidal and Moczylowska-Vidal 1997), while evidence for testate amoebae (Bosak et al. 2011a), ciliates (Bosak et al. 2011b), foraminifera (Bosak et al. 2012), and largely sub-centimetric algae (Butterfield 2009; Zhu et al. 2016), fungi (Butterfield 2005) and rare putative animals (Yin et al. 2015) all predate the Ediacaran period.

The precise temporal and spatial distribution of the Ediacaran macrobiota remains to be fully constrained, and is likely to have been influenced by paleogeography, facies variation, and incompleteness of the fossil record (Waggoner 2003; Gratzhankin 2004, 2014; Droser et al. 2006; Gehling and Droser 2013; Boag et al. 2016). The earliest known Ediacaran macroscopic fossils are probably the Lantian biota of China, which includes possible animals (Wan et al. 2016) alongside several macroscopic algal taxa (Yuan et al. 2011). These are followed by specimens from Newfoundland and the U.K., together referred to as the Avalon assemblage (Waggoner 2003), which were ~570–560 Ma. More diverse assemblages from the White Sea coastline of Russia, and South Australia, follow at around ~560 Ma (summarized in Fedonkin et al. 2007; Dunn and Liu 2017). After reaching their zenith ~555 million years ago (Boag et al. 2016), the Ediacaran macroorganisms appear to diminish in diversity and number, with the depauperate Nama assemblage of Namibia (Darroch et al. 2015), barring a few rare potential Cambrian survivors (e.g. Conway Morris 1993; Jensen et al. 1998), long thought to represent the last of the soft-bodied Ediacaran macrobiota. Recent research suggests that a globally abundant latest Ediacaran assemblage of fossils with tubular morphologies, some of which were capable of organic or calcium carbonate biomineralization, span the latest Ediacaran–Cambrian transition (e.g. Warren et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2016). The relationships between late Ediacaran organisms and those of the Phanerozoic remain unclear, although there is a growing belief that the Ediacaran macrobiota reflects a diverse, polyphyletic assemblage of organisms allied to a variety of eukaryotic clades (Xiao and Laflamme 2009; Laflamme et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015).

From a geological perspective, the initial appearance and subsequent apparent decline of the Ediacaran macrobiota and associated organisms raise questions about the interplay between life and the biosphere. A variety of major geological and environmental events are documented during the late Neoproterozoic, including at least two geographically widespread and long-lived glacial phases (the Sturtian, ~717–660 Ma, and the Marinoan, ending ~635 Ma; Rooney et al. 2015); the breakup of the supercontinents of Rodinia and Pannotia from ~700 Ma (Li et al. 2008; Scotese 2009); significant global perturbations in carbon, sulphur and oxygen stable isotope records (Halverson et al. 2005; Bristow and Kennedy 2008; Le Guerroué 2010); a rise in atmospheric and deep marine oxygen concentrations (Fike et al. 2006; Shields-Zhou and Och 2011; Lyons et al. 2014); and increasing stability in oxic conditions from latest Ediacaran into earliest Cambrian shelf settings (Johnston et al. 2012). Each of these changes has been postulated to have potential links to the evolution of the Ediacaran macrobiota (e.g. Cloud Jr. 1968; Dalziel 1997; Narbonne and Gehling 2003; Catling et al. 2005; Canfield et al. 2007). Further questions include why the soft-bodied Ediacaran macrobiota appeared ~570 million years ago, and essentially disappear from the record at the basalmost Cambrian boundary? How did the inception of metazoan burrowing and biomineralization in the latest Ediacaran period (Buatois and Mángano 2011; Wood 2011), or the demise of benthic microbial communities (Seilacher and Pfluger 1994; Bottjer et al. 2000), influence global nutrient cycling (Boyle et al. 2014), or affect the preservation potential of soft tissues (Callow and Brasier 2009)? Efforts are ongoing to refine age models in order to correlate cause and effect more precisely across this interval (e.g. Pu et al. 2016).

**History of Research into the Ediacaran Fossils of Newfoundland**

The type sections that document the very end of the Ediacaran System, namely the Global Stratotype Section and Point for the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary (Brasier et al. 1994), are located on the Burin Peninsula of Newfoundland. Those sections contain a small number of latest Ediacaran body fossils (e.g. *Palaeopascichnus* and vendotaenids) and trace fossils, but the majority of Newfoundland’s Ediacaran macrofossils are around 20–30 million years older, and are found on the Avalon and Bonavista peninsulas.

Research into the Ediacaran fossils of Newfoundland began in 1872 with the description of the discoidal fossil *Aspidella terranovica* from Precambrian rocks in downtown St. John’s (Billings 1872). The biological nature of that material was questioned for many years (summarized in Boyce and Reynolds 2008), but has been confirmed by Gehling et al. (2000), who interpreted the impressions as probable holdfasts of frondose taxa. Interestingly, it is almost certain that such discoidal fossils were observed, even if their biological interest was not recognized, by some of the first European settlers in Newfoundland, since *Aspidella* fossils can be found in the flagstones of house foundations dating to the 1600s in the town of Ferryland on the east coast of the island.

Misra’s discoveries at Mistaken Point in the late 1960s precipitated considerable exploration of the region, aided in part by the mapping exploits of Williams and King (1979). Much of this exploration was led by Michael Anderson of Memorial University, who reviewed the different fossils in the region, and largely concluded that they were either of uncertain biological origin, or colonial cnidarians (Anderson 1978; Anderson and Conway Morris 1982). Meanwhile, sedimentological and stratigraphic studies (Williams and King 1979; Gardiner and Hiscott 1988; Landing et al. 1988) developed a geological and paleo-
geographical framework within which to interpret the fossil assemblages (summarized by Conway Morris 1989).

Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, the Newfoundland fossils were often marshalled as evidence in discussions regarding the phylogenetic position of the Ediacaran macrobiota (Conway Morris 1985). Dolf Seilacher, in particular, worked on several of the fossil assemblages at MPER, and the Vendobionta and Vendobionta concepts he and his colleagues developed drew heavily on his examination of those specimens (Seilacher 1989, 1992; Buss and Seilacher 1994). Seilacher’s research has also proven highly influential in shaping how study of not only the Newfoundland taxa, but the Ediacaran macrobiota as a whole, has been approached by future generations of researchers.

Research efforts in Newfoundland increased from the late 1990s onwards, as Guy Narbonne and his group from Queen’s University, Ontario, investigated the sites around Mistaken Point (Thomas et al. 2015). They discovered a host of new fossil-bearing surfaces, and set about completing formal nomenclature for the fossils (e.g. Clapham et al. 2004; Laflamme et al. 2004; Gehling and Narbonne 2007; Laflamme et al. 2007; Bamforth and Narbonne 2009; Mason and Narbonne 2016), assessing aspects of their paleoecology (Clapham and Narbonne 2002; Clapham et al. 2003; Laflamme et al. 2012b), and interpreting the paleoenvironments represented within the stratigraphic succession (Wood et al. 2003). Members of that group continue to explore paleoecological questions relating to the fossil assemblages in Newfoundland, increasingly utilizing quantitative statistical and computational techniques to assess data collected from the MPER bedding planes (Clapham 2011; Darroch et al. 2013; Ghisalberti et al. 2014).

In 2002, Martin Brasier of the University of Oxford, in collaboration with former student Duncan McIlroy at Memorial University of Newfoundland, began to study the Newfoundland Ediacaran sites in order to compare the specimens to similar fossils in the U.K. (Antcliffe and Brasier 2007). The work of Brasier and his colleagues initially focused on determining evolutionary relationships (Brasier and Antcliffe 2009) and taphonomic processes (e.g. Callow and Brasier 2009), and constraining the influence of time-averaging on fossil assemblage composition (Liu et al. 2011). It later expanded to incorporate taxonomy (Brasier et al. 2012), ichnology (Liu et al. 2010), paleoecology (Liu et al. 2012), and most recently geochronology, stratigraphy, and sedimentology.

The past decade has witnessed a period of unparalleled growth in research into the Ediacaran biota, both globally and within Newfoundland, as the enigmatic nature of the organisms has attracted public attention. Additional major Ediacaran fossil localities have been described from the Catalina Dome of the Bonavista Peninsula (O’Brien and King 2004; Hofmann et al. 2008), and Spaniard’s Bay (Narbonne 2004; Narbonne et al. 2009), significantly embellishing Newfoundland’s Ediacaran paleobiological riches. These new sites have revealed several additional taxa (Hofmann et al. 2008; Narbonne et al. 2009), and include probable identifiable metazoan body fossils (Liu et al. 2014a). However, the majority of studies into Ediacaran paleocommunities have continued to focus on material from Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. These include statistical studies into reproduction and paleoecology (Mitchell et al. 2015); study of lateral heterogeneity within paleocommunities on individual bedding planes (Matthews et al. 2017); study of growth within rangeomorph taxa (e.g. Hoyal Cuthill and Conway Morris 2014); discussion of sedimentary environments (Retallack 2013) and taphonomy (Liu 2016); and preliminary attempts to resolve the phylogenetic relationships between frondose taxa (Dececchi et al. 2017).

**MISTAKEN POINT ECOLOGICAL RESERVE**

The following sections review some of the major contributions that MPER has made to scientific understanding of the late Ediacaran interval.

**Paleobiology**

Fossils are known from over 80 bedding planes within the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve, but the vast majority of studies have focused on just a handful of bedding surfaces. Principal among these are the Pigeon Cove surfaces in the Drook Formation (Fig. 3b–e), and the ‘D’ and ‘E’ surfaces at Mistaken Point itself (Landing et al. 1988; Figs. 2, 4a). The ‘D’ and ‘E’ surfaces were the first fossil-bearing horizons to be discovered by Misra, and each possesses over 1000 individual specimens (Clapham et al. 2003). The daunting task of taxonomic description of the various taxa has largely been completed by the Queen’s University group. This work has identified forms described from other global sites amongst the Mistaken Point assemblages (e.g. *Charnia*, *Charniodiscus* and *Bradgatia*, Laflamme et al. 2004, 2007; Flude and Narbonne 2008), but has also introduced several new taxa (e.g. *Thetis* Clapham et al. 2004; *Fractofusus* Gehling and Narbonne 2007; *Peculiarfrons* Bamforth et al. 2008; *Trepassea* Narbonne et al. 2009; *Hapsidophyllas* and *Frondophyllas* Bamforth and Narbonne 2009; *Calymnios* Laflamme et al. 2012b; *Plurinoporpriscum* and *Broccoliforma* Mason and Narbonne 2016), many of which appear to be endemic to Newfoundland. Supplemented by forms documented by other researchers (e.g. *Primoapandelarium* Hofmann et al. 2008; *Beothukis* Brasier and Antcliffe 2009; *Vindlandia* Brasier et al. 2012), the vast majority of macrofossils found within MPER have now been formally described.

The biological affinities of the Mistaken Point macrofossils have, as with the wider Ediacaran soft-bodied macrobiota, been much debated. Most early work on the Mistaken Point organisms considered them to belong to cnidarian clades (e.g. Misra 1969b; Anderson 1978; Anderson and Conway Morris 1982), while similar forms elsewhere in the Avalon region were considered as possible algae (Fuld 1958). The subsequent suggestion that the Ediacaran macrobiota may form a discrete clade belonging to an entirely extinct kingdom or phylum, the ‘Vendobionta’ (Seilacher 1989, 1992, 1999, 2007), provoked consideration of a host of metazoan and non-metazoan biological affinities for members of the Mistaken Point assemblages (summarized in Narbonne 2005). Current thinking supports the view that the biota likely includes members of several diverse phyla and kingdoms, and the biology of each taxon should be assessed on a case-by-case basis (Xiao and
Figure 3. Notable fossils from the Drook Formation of the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. a) The rangeomorph *Tripania wardae* from the Drook Formation, one of the oldest macrofossil taxa observed in the region. b) Iveshea-diomorph fossils in the Drook Formation at Pigeon Cove. c) Small fronds (white arrows) and filamentous impressions (black arrows) on the Pigeon Cove surface. d–e) Small (rangeomorph?) fronds at Pigeon Cove. Scale bars = 10 mm, except d–e) = 5 mm.
Figure 4. Geology of Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. a) View of the ‘D’ (black arrow) and ‘E’ (white arrow) surfaces at Mistaken Point, and additional Ediacaran bedding planes that extend along the coast. b) Tectonic ripples and fractures on the ‘D’ Surface. c) Prominent tuff bands (arrowed) on fossil-bearing surfaces in the Drook Formation at Pigeon Cove. Zircon crystals from such tuff layers can be used for U–Pb ID-TIMS geochronology, enabling precise dating of the associated fossil-bearing surfaces.

Rangeomorphs (Figs. 2, 3, 5; Narbonne 2004) are numerically the most common group of organisms within the Mistaken Point biota, and have received the most attention from researchers. The phylogenetic position of these frondose forms, with their characteristic branching units that repeat at finer scales in a self-similar manner, has proven difficult to constrain, and they have variously been allied to organisms of fungal grade (Peterson et al. 2003), algae (Ford 1958), stem- or crown-group animals (Narbonne 2005), or a ‘failed experiment’ towards the base of animal evolution (Narbonne 2004; Narbonne et al. 2007). Since morphology alone has so far been unable to confidently place the rangeomorphs within the tree of life, researchers have turned to alternative means in attempting to determine their phylogenetic position. Assuming the organisms are preserved in life position, the extensive MPER fossil assemblages can be interpreted as faithful reflections of census populations of benthic paleocommunities (e.g. Clapham et al. 2003; though see Liu et al. 2015). MPER is therefore an almost unique natural laboratory for paleoecological research, and its fossils have contributed enormously to global understanding of Ediacaran community structure and ecology. Modern ecological techniques have therefore been applied to the assemblages to investigate aspects of paleoecology such as community structure and reproductive strategies (Clapham et al. 2003; Darroch et al. 2013; Mitchell et al. 2015).

Assessment of the population structure of several rangeomorph taxa on individual bedding planes revealed that they

Figure 5. Additional notable fossils from the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. a) *Hapsidophyllas* from the ‘B’ Surface at Mistaken Point (cf. Landing et al. 1988). b) Horizontal surface trace fossils in the Mistaken Point Formation. c) *Pectinifrons abyssalis* (centre), *Charnia* (white arrow), and an Ivesheadiomorph (black arrow), at Mistaken Point North. Scale bars = 10 mm, except c) = 50 mm.
occur as single cohorts with wide variance in the size of individuals, which was interpreted as evidence of a continuous reproductive strategy (Darroch et al. 2013). Meanwhile, the clustered spatial distribution of the rangeomorph Fractofusus (Fig. 2b) may suggest a complex reproductive strategy involving both stolon-like reproduction and the release of waterborne propagules for that taxon (Mitchell et al. 2015). Other paleoecological studies have suggested that rangeomorph ecosystems were structured in a similar way to those of modern benthic animals (Clapham et al. 2003; though see Liu et al. 2015). Efforts to determine the preservational history of specimens, and the impact of microbial activity on the macrobenthos, have also helped to distinguish true biological characters from taphonomic artefacts (Liu et al. 2011, 2015; Laflamme et al. 2012a; Antcliffe et al. 2015; Liu 2016).

Rangeomorph feeding strategies have been investigated from morphological, modelling, and theoretical standpoints, and have led to competing suggestions that rangeomorphs may have been filter feeders (Narbonne 2005) or osmotrophs (Laflamme et al. 2009; Ghisalberti et al. 2014). Another promising avenue of research is into the growth and development of rangeomorphs (and indeed Ediacaran organisms in general). The only previous detailed study of rangeomorph growth suggested that the genus Charnia possesses a growth polarity that is incompatible with that of extant pennatulacean cnidarians (to which frondose taxa had previously been compared, cf. Jenkins and Gehling 1978), thereby refuting a potential relationship to those organisms (Antcliffe and Brasier 2007). Expansion of such developmental reasoning to consider other taxa potentially offers a powerful way to distinguish between competing phylogenetic positions. Discoveries of small specimens in MPER, interpreted as ‘juvenile’ growth stages (Fig. 3c–e; Liu et al. 2012), ensure that the site will remain integral to research in this area as the surfaces are studied at finer and finer scales.

**Stratigraphy and Paleoenvironmental Interpretation**

Ediacaran fossils in Newfoundland occur largely in turbiditic facies of the ~2000 m-thick Conception and St. John’s groups. These units are considered to have been deposited in axial basin and slope depositional settings, close to a volcanic island arc complex (Wood et al. 2003). Fossils are found mostly in the MPER succession have also been found in marine turbidites (e.g. Matthews et al. 2017). Furthermore, several of the taxa found in the MPER succession have also been found in marine sandstone (e.g. Gehling and Droser 2013) and carbonate rocks (e.g. Grazhdankin et al. 2008) elsewhere in the world. It should be noted that demonstrably shallow marine, fluval and subaerial Ediacaran deposits higher in the section elsewhere in Newfoundland (the St. John’s and Signal Hill groups) are not known to contain macrofossils.

**Geochronology and Geochemistry**

Radiometric dating of zircon crystals within the volcanic tuffs that overlie many fossil-bearing bedding planes (e.g. Fig. 4c) indicates that the oldest macro-organisms in the MPER
appeared ~570 Ma (Pu et al. 2016). This puts them just a few million years after the end of the Gaskiers glaciation event (Narbonne and Gehling 2003), a short and possibly regional glaciation that occurred around 581–580 Ma (Pu et al. 2016). The sheer size of some of the earliest Mistaken Point taxa – *Trepasia* specimens can be over a metre in length (Fig. 3a) – strongly suggests a greater, as yet undocumented antiquity to some Ediacaran macrofossil lineages. Ediacaran macrofossils persist until ~560 Ma in Newfoundland, giving them an older stratigraphic range than most other global Ediacaran localities (such as the Australian Flinders Ranges, or the White Sea region in Russia, both of which are considered to have been deposited ~560–550 Ma; Martin et al. 2000), but one that is broadly equivalent to sites in Charnwood Forest, U.K. (Noble et al. 2015). Zircon grains from directly above the ‘E’ Surface have been dated by U–Pb TIMS as 565 Ma (Benus 1988; Pu et al. 2016). Additional fossil-bearing surfaces in the MPER are in the process of being dated in order to better constrain rates of sedimentation and evolution.

Only a handful of geochemical studies have been published from the Reserve. Bulk sampling through MPER and nearby sections on the Avalon Peninsula permitted investigation of late Ediacaran redox conditions (via iron speciation techniques), and suggested that the fossil-bearing strata of the Drook to Trepassey formations were deposited in an oxygenated water column, with low total organic carbon (TOC) and sulphide concentrations (Canfield et al. 2007). Elemental data from some of the tuffs within the Reserve confirm a predominantly dacitic composition for the volcanioclastic deposits (Retallack 2014). The siliciclastic sedimentary rocks of the Conception and St. John’s groups are not amenable to measuring certain proxies such as stable carbon isotopes, and the application of more recently developed geochemical proxies has largely not yet been attempted.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

The rocks and fossils of MPER have played a key role in shaping scientific understanding of the early development of Ediacaran macrofossil assemblages, but they continue to offer enormous potential for future research. This is evidenced by newly discovered surfaces such as the ‘Brasier Surface’ in the Briscall Formation (Fig. 6), which exhibits exceptional fossil abundance and preserved morphological detail. In addition to permitting the identification of new taxa, such preservation quality enables recognition of interactions between individual specimens, and assessment of spatial relationships between taxa. The application of new techniques and approaches to Ediacaran fossils, including spatial statistical analyses (Mitchell et al. 2015), study of growth and development, ancestral state reconstruction (Gold et al. 2015) and fluid modelling (Rahman et al. 2015), will undoubtedly continue to shed light on their original biology and ecology. Microfossil studies have not been systematically undertaken within MPER since the 1970s (and even then most samples from within the Reserve yielded no microfossils, Hofmann et al. 1979), but modern techniques may offer hope of obtaining a more detailed microfossil record. Biomarker studies have never been conducted, although the low organic carbon content of the sections (Canfield et al. 2007) suggests that obtaining biomarkers may not be possible.

Non-paleobiological disciplines also have considerable potential for further research within the Reserve. Although paleomagnetic data were collected as early as the 1970s, MPER-specific readings that could assist in constraining the paleogeographic position of the region have not yet been published (Thomas et al. 2015). Previous bulk geochemical analyses examined iron speciation, sulphur isotopes and carbon content (Canfield et al. 2007), but studies of trace elements or clay mineralogy are yet to be undertaken. The Reserve contains spectacular examples of faulting, folding, and diverse cleavage patterns (e.g. Fig. 4b), and yet the structural geology has only been studied at a coarse regional scale (Williams and King 1979). The volcanic tuffites that commonly cover the fossil surfaces also represent prime targets for future work, not only in terms of their geochemistry (Retallack 2014), but for their potential to yield high-precision radiometric dates for fossil surfaces to further constrain rates of evolution and sedimentation. The wider regional stratigraphic context also requires constraint, for example to investigate the relationship between the Conception Group and the contemporaneously deposited but non-fossil-bearing Musgravetown and Connecting Point groups situated ~100–200 km to the west (Pu et al. 2016). All of the above suggested studies would enrich our understanding of the MPER biota, and permit tighter integration into global studies of Ediacaran evolution.

In the 50 years since the discovery of Ediacaran macrofossils at Mistaken Point, MPER has revealed many often unexpected facets to Ediacaran paleobiology, and has been integral in shaping our understanding of the Ediacaran macrobiota. A literal reading of the existing data would suggest that the earliest Ediacaran macro-organisms were largely sessile communities of predominantly frondose organisms, and that they were quite different in their composition to the more diverse, motile, shallow-water assemblages of the later Ediacaran. However, rare early motile animals, evidenced by horizontal surface traces found at Mistaken Point (Liu et al. 2010), appear to represent the vanguard of a significant late Ediacaran increase in behavioural and ecological complexity that culminated in the diversification of animal life in the Cambrian. Whether the Ediacaran macrobiota really did first evolve in deep-marine habitats, and whether its component species were animals or entirely unrelated taxa, remain tantalising but currently unanswered questions. As MPER enters a new chapter in its history as a World Heritage Site, with all the challenges and opportunities that status brings, we can be confident that this truly remarkable locality will continue to yield new information, and that it will shape our thinking on Ediacaran paleobiology for many years to come.
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Figure 6. Ediacaran macrofossils on the recently discovered ‘Brasier Surface,’ Briscal Formation, Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. This surface is remarkable for its very high fossil densities (>100 individuals/m²), and their particularly fine preservation. a) Fractofusus andersoni (centre left) and Bostokus plumosa (centre right). b) Charniodiscus sp. surrounded by red and orange iron oxides, which are interpreted as the modern oxidative weathering products of an originally pyritic surface veneer (Liu 2016). c) Primocandela labrum sp. Scale bars = 10 mm.
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