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Résumé de l'article
Les résultats obtenus à partir de la modélisation numérique tri-dimensionnelle des calottes glaciaires de l'Amérique du Nord selon les variations de l'insolation des latitudes sont examinés en fonction des conditions à l'origine de la formation et du retrait des inlandsis. L'existence du dernier interglaciaire est nettement le résultat du haut niveau d'insolation et du retrait des inlandsis. L'ampleur et la durée du dernier maximum glaciaire permettent de préciser les paramètres climatiques en cause. Entre ces deux périodes, l'importance de la progression des glaciers et de leur retrait subséquent est grandement influencée par l'albédo de la calotte glaciaire. Les changements temporaires de l'insolation, du climat, de la couverture de glace et de l'enfoncement du substratum sont décalés les uns par rapport aux autres, résultant en un déséquilibre. La répartition des altitudes de la surface terrestre joue un rôle clé dans le processus de formation de la calotte glaciaire, ainsi que dans le retrait de ses parties. Les changements temporaux de l'insolation du climat ont un impact sur l'albédo de la calotte glaciaire et sur le processus de formation de la calotte glaciaire. Les changements de l'altitudinale de la surface terrestre jouent un rôle clé dans le processus de formation de la calotte glaciaire, ainsi que dans l'évolution du climat. Les chercheurs s'attendent à ce que le mode de dispersion des dépôts glaciaires exprime davantage les phases de croissance et de récurrence de la calotte glaciaire que sa configuration maximale. En dernier lieu selon le modèle, les cycles de glaciation au cours des 500 000 dernières années suivent l'apparition de niveaux extrêmes d'insolation le long d'une large bande de latitude, en raison de l'obliquité de l'écliptique et des caractéristiques du périhélie surimposées à l'effet d'hystérésis causé par la couverture de glace.
ABSTRACT Results of three dimensional numerical modelling of the North American ice sheets in response to the Earth's orbital radiation variations are reviewed in relation to the conditions for formation and retreat of the ice sheets. The last interglacial develops as a clear result of the preceding high summer radiation levels and is not very dependent on the climatic parameterization. The magnitude and timing of the last glacial maximum provides a means of fine tuning the climatic parameterization. In between these two periods the extent of ice sheet advances and retreat is strongly sensitive to the magnitude of the ice sheet albedo feedback parameter. The time changes of the radiation, climate, ice sheet cover and bedrock depression are out of phase and as a result equilibrium is not attained. The distribution of land surface elevation plays a key role in the pattern of seeding of the ice sheet growth and the subsequent advances, coalescence and retreat. The dispersal pattern of bedrock in till can be expected to reflect the growth and advance phases of the ice sheet development rather than the maximum configuration. Finally, the cycles of ice ages over the last 500,000 years from the modelling follows the occurrence of extreme summer radiation levels over a wide latitude band of 40-80°N due to coincidence of obliquity and perihelion features superimposed on the hysteresis effects of the ice cover.
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RÉSUMÉ Conditions à l'origine de la croissance et du retrait de la calotte glaciaire laurentienne. Les résultats obtenus à partir de la modélisation numérique tri-dimensionnelle des calottes glaciaires de l'Amérique du Nord selon les variations de l'insolation des latitudes sont examinés en fonction des conditions à l'origine de la formation et du retrait des inlandsis. L'existence du dernier interglaciaire est nettement le résultat des hauts niveaux antérieurs d'insolation estivale et très peu celui des paramètres climatiques. L'amplitude et la durée du dernier maximum glaciaire permettent de préciser les paramètres climatiques en cause. Entre ces deux périodes, l'importance de la progression des glaciers et de leur retrait subséquent est grandement influencée par l'albédo de la calotte glaciaire. Les changements temporels de l'insolation, du climat, de la couverture de glace et de l'enfoncement du substratum sont décalés les uns par rapport aux autres, résultant en un déséquilibre. La répartition des altitudes de la surface terrestre joue un rôle clé dans le processus de formation de la calotte glaciaire, ainsi que des récurrences, de la coalescence et du retrait subséquents. On peut s'attendre à ce que le mode de dispersion des dépôts glaciaires exprime davantage les phases de croissance et de récurrence de la calotte glaciaire que sa configuration maximale. En dernier lieu selon le modèle, les cycles de glaciation au cours des 500 000 dernières années suivent l'apparition de niveaux extrêmes d'insolation le long d'une large bande de 40° à 80° de latitude, en raison de l'obliquité de l'écliptique et des caractéristiques du périhélie surimposées à l'effet d'hystérésis causé par la couverture de glace.

BACKGROUND TO ICE SHEET AND CLIMATE MODELLING RELEVANT TO THE LAURENTIDE ICE SHEET

This work focuses on the results of three dimensional dynamic ice sheet numerical modelling of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in response to the climatic changes being initially driven by the Earth's orbital radiation changes but with the ice sheet area changes themselves feeding back as a larger component of the total climatic change. Implications of the modelling results of BUDD and SMITH (1981, 1985) are examined and extended.

There have been many static or equilibrium reconstructions of the North American ice sheet, e.g. PATERSON (1972); SUGDEN (1977); SHILTS (1980); DENTON and HUGHES (1981); ANDREWS (1982); DYKE et al. (1982); BOULTON et al. (1985), FISHER et al. (1985).

The dynamic modelling of BUDD and SMITH (1981) indicated that an ice sheet occupying central, northern and eastern North America could never be expected to be in equilibrium because of the continually varying radiation forcing and the feedback with the climate. The delayed isostatic response of the bedrock accentuated the departures from equilibrium. It is therefore important to consider dynamic, non-equilibrium time dependent changes of both climate and the ice sheets.

A number of two-dimensional dynamic ice sheet models have been used to study the ice age climates and the ice sheet responses. The first study of this type, which was by WEERTMAN (1976), also used an equilibrium type model that varied with time but without ice sheet feedback on the climate system. Subsequently, both climate feedback and bedrock response have been included in these two-dimensional ice sheet models as well as dynamic flow depending on the stress and flow properties of ice, e.g. POLLARD (1978, 1982a and b, 1983, 1984); BIRCHFIELD and WEERTMAN (1978); BIRCHFIELD et al. (1981, 1982); BIRCHFIELD and GRUMBINE (1985); OERLEMANS (1980a and b, 1983); OERLEMANS and van der VEEN (1984).

Over this period there has also been considerable development in the modelling of the time dependent bedrock response to changing ice sheet and ocean loads, e.g. PELTIER (1982, 1986); PELTIER and HYDE (1984); YUEN et al. (1986). The two-dimensional types of ice sheet models usually make use of zonally averaged energy balance climate models to determine the interaction between the climate and the ice sheet including effects of the orbital radiation forcing.

Considerable progress has been made in the energy balance modelling as well as the ice sheet interaction, e.g. HELD and SUAREZ (1974, 1978); SUAREZ and HELD (1976, 1979); SCHNEIDER and THOMPSON (1979); POLLARD (1982a); LE TREUT and GHIL (1983); ROBOCK (1983); OERLEMANS (1980a); NORTH and COAKLEY (1979); POLLARD et al. (1980); NORTH et al. (1981); SELLERS (1984); FLANNERY (1984); BOWMAN (1982, 1985). The energy balance models can be tuned to the present day climate, but, for the climates of other periods with ice sheets or different radiation regimes, they need to be checked against paleo-data and with global climate General Circulation Models (GCMs).

There have now been a large number of GCM simulations of ice ages and other past climates which provide useful calibrations for the climate ice sheet interactions, e.g. GATES (1976a and b); MANABE and HAHN (1977); MASON (1979); MANABE and BROCCOLI (1984, 1985a and b); ROYER and PESTIAUX (1984), ROYER et al. (1983, 1984), KUTZBACH and GUETTER (1984a and b).

These GCM studies use static ice sheets as constant boundary conditions. Because of the different time scales relevant to the flow of the atmosphere and the ice sheets, it has not been practical so far to run completely coupled ice sheet-atmosphere-ocean systems evolving continuously through varying ice age periods. Therefore the time varying ice sheet modelling has so far been carried out using a more parameterised climate specification than could be provided from a fully coupled atmosphere-ocean-ice GCM. Nevertheless the GCM results combined with the parameterised energy balance models have provided a valuable basis for checking and controlling the parameterisation of the interactive climate changes which drive the ice sheet changes. The paleo-evidence for the conditions of the ice sheet and climate over time then provide a check on both the climate and ice sheet modelling.

For the Laurentide Ice Sheet the distribution of surface topography forms a crucial element for the formation, growth and coalescence of the large ice sheets as well as the pattern of retreat and dispersal of the residual ice. The present topography and distribution of glacial ice provides an important starting point and control for modelling the ice age changes. An initial study with three-dimensional ice sheet modelling from existing ice on Baffin Island was carried out by ANDREWS and MAHAFFY (1976). This type of modelling has been extended by BUDD and SMITH (1981) to cover the period from the last interglaciation (about 120 ka BP) to the present using the orbital radiation changes as the primary driving force for the climate changes. The work was extended to cover the period from 500 ka BP to the present by BUDD and SMITH (1985).

Because of the importance of the non-steady state for both ice sheet and bedrock, and the actual pattern of the bedrock topography in the North American region for ice sheet formation, this paper will concentrate on results from time dependant three-dimensional ice sheet and climate modelling.

INTRODUCTION TO THREE DIMENSIONAL ICE SHEET CLIMATE MODELLING RESULTS

One feature which is now reasonably clear from the BUDD and SMITH (1981, 1985) results for the numerical modelling of ice sheets in response to the Earth's orbital radiation changes is that these radiation changes are the key triggering cause of the growth and retreat of the ice age ice sheets. Further results including the role of the Antarctic Ice Sheet in the global ice and climate system have been given by BUDD (1981), BUDD AND SMITH (1982), and Smith (unpublished). It is also apparent that the magnitude of the radiation changes
alone are too small in themselves to directly cause the large changes in climate and the ice cover without a number of strong feedbacks between the climate and other features, such as the area of the snow and ice cover, which amplify the magnitude of the climatic changes. Other factors which are also involved in the feedback interactions between the ice sheets and climate include: bedrock depression, sea level changes, surface albedo changes, atmospheric carbon dioxide changes, and the modification of precipitation patterns by the ice sheets.

The complexities of the feedbacks make it difficult to obtain clear results for some periods of the past history even though the periods of extreme conditions are well defined. For example, the period of the most recent major advance (30 to 18 ka BP) and retreat (18-8 ka BP) of the Laurentide Ice Sheet is now becoming well controlled by radiocarbon dating (BRYSON et al., 1969; PREST 1969, 1984; DENTON and HUGHES, 1981). This period was therefore used by BUDD and SMITH (1981) as a primary source of comparison with the results of the three-dimensional ice sheet numerical modelling which was found to give quite a close representation of the proxy paleo-record.

A second feature which has shown up as a clear and robust result from the longer time period modelling (from 500 ka BP) of BUDD and SMITH (1985) is the distinct low ice volume interglacial period about 120 ka BP. The modelling so far gives a clear simulation of the last interglaciation and the most recent period of advance and retreat to the present low ice cover situation. In between these two periods the precise extent of the ice and the size of the climatic temperature deviations from the present are particularly sensitive to the ice sheet albedo feedback and other parameterisations used in the model.

A concentrated study is therefore required to compare field data and modelling results to determine more clearly the historical changes of the ice sheet and climate from the last interglaciation to the present. This paper therefore attempts to highlight those aspects of the modelling results which could be checked by and evaluated from further field data, e.g. as given by ANDREWS et al. (1983). In addition it may be possible to help distinguish between certain field data interpretations by further more specific future modelling studies.

RADIATION AND TEMPERATURE REGIMES

The Earth's orbital radiation changes provide a precise time scale of reference for the past history of other changes over the period. Figure 1 shows the summer and annual deviations of radiation levels as a function of latitude from the tables of VERNEKAR (1972). There are several points worth noting. Firstly the high values in the northern summer occur over a wide latitude range from about 130 ka BP. The modelling results indicate that these high values were responsible for the previous ice sheets disappearing thus leading to the last interglaciation. Note that a similar synchronicity of high radiation over the northern latitudes did not reoccur until about 15 ka BP. Again these high radiation values were necessary to cause the last large ice sheet to disappear. The average summer radiation levels, particularly south of 60°N in the northern hemisphere, have been generally higher than for the present, whereas the temperatures have been lower. This has been found to be due to the additional temperature lowering caused by the ice sheet albedo feedback. This is illustrated by the ice sheet response from radiation and feedback on temperature given by BUDD and SMITH (1981) and BUDD (1981) as shown in Figure 2. Note that the temperature curve is a result of both the direct radiation effect and the ice sheet albedo feedback which is related to the ice sheet area (approximately in phase with ice volume) as described in the section on albedo feedback below. The main influence of the ice sheet albedo feedback is to reduce the temperature further below present day values whereas the radiation deviates similarly above and below present levels.

The relation between the summer radiation deviations (\(\Delta R\)) and the summer temperature changes (\(\Delta \theta_s\)) found by BUDD and SMITH (1981) for close matching of the model with the proxy evidence was

\[
\Delta \theta_s = \beta_1 \Delta R
\]

where \(\beta_1 = 0.4^{\circ}C/Wm^{-2}\)

\[
= 0.2^{\circ}C/ly day^{-1}
\]

\[1Wm^{-2} = 2.07 ly day^{-1}\]

This relation is similar to that which applies for the variation of summer temperatures with latitude and summer radiation over the range 30° to 80°N at present viz.

\[-40^{\circ}C/100Wm^{-2} = 0.4^{\circ}C/Wm^{-2}\] (cf. Fig. 8 of BUDD and SMITH, 1981)

The ice sheet response to the radiation levels occurs primarily through changes in ablation rates resulting from changes in temperature. The direct radiation changes alone cannot account for large changes in ablation rates since an increase of 20 Wm\(^{-2}\) (\(= 40 ly day^{-1}\) over half a year if converted totally into latent heat for melting would only amount to \(-1 m\) of ice.

The main effect of the radiation is in changing the temperature and thereby the elevation of the 0°C isotherm and consequently the time available for melting at the various elevations. The ablation rate curves for existing glaciers given by BUDD and SMITH (1981) show a very strong dependence on elevation (and mean summer temperature) especially at the higher ablation rates. For example, as described below an elevation change of 1 km at the levels with high ablation rates can result in a change in ablation in excess of 10 m a\(^{-1}\); cf. BUDD and SMITH (1981), and BUDD and ALLISON (1975). From the temperature radiation relation given above (equation 1) 20 Wm\(^{-2}\) with \(\beta_1 = 0.4^{\circ}C/Wm^{-2}\) gives \(-8^{\circ}C\). Thus with a temperature elevation lapse rate of \(-6.5^{\circ}C/km\) this corresponds to over 1200 m of effective elevation change for the atmospheric isotherms which could then result in more than a 10 m a\(^{-1}\) change in ablation rate for the low elevations with high ablation rates. A similar result applies to the variation in snowline with elevation and latitude. At present the summer temperatures vary with elevation and latitude such that a 1 km change in elevation corresponds to \(-10^{\circ}C\) lat. change (cf. Fig. 8 of BUDD and SMITH, 1981). The ablation rates follow
a similar pattern of variation with latitude and elevation as shown by Figure 3.

ABLATION RATES

The ablation rate variation with elevation and latitude compiled by BUDD and ALLISON (1975) was parameterised by BUDD and SMITH (1981) to give a reasonable match to observed ablation rates on existing glaciers for the present climate.

For a particular latitude (\(\phi\)) where \(E_0(\phi)\) is the elevation of the 1 m\(^{-1}\) ablation rate, the ablation rate \(A\) (in m\(^{-1}\)) at elevation \(E\) is given by

\[
\log_{10} A = (1/m) (E_0 - E)
\]

where \(m = 1200\) m.

Using the lapse rate of \(-6.5\)°C/km this ablation elevation relation gives an ablation summer temperature relation similar to that given by KRENKE and KHODAKOV (1966) and KHODAKOV (1975) which is approximately represented by

\[
A = a_1 (\theta_s + 10)^3
\]

where \(\theta_s\) is the mean July-August surface air temperature (°C) and \(a_1 = 1\) mm ice/°C\(^3\).

Table I shows the values of \(E_0(\phi)\) which were used by BUDD and SMITH (1985) to obtain a close fit to the Laurentide advance and retreat based on the paleo-evidence of BRYSON et al. (1969) and PREST (1969).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude (\phi)^N</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation (m)</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This ablation-elevation-latitude relation can be converted to an ablation-summer temperature relation by use of an atmospheric temperature elevation lapse rate. The surface temperature can then be regarded as the primary response to changes in the radiation regime. The temperature-elevation regime and the ablation-elevation regime then change accordingly.
A secondary response is then the extent of the snow and ice cover or the surface albedo which can feedback in determining the surface temperature.

**ALBEDO FEEDBACK**

The ice sheet albedo feedback effect has been parameterised by assuming firstly that the mean summer temperatures in the region near the ice sheet edge vary with the extent of global ice extent and secondly, that this extent can be considered to be proportional to the size of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. Information on the numerical values from the parameterisation can be obtained from the results of the GCM ice age simulations (e.g. GATES, 1976a and MANABE and HAHN, 1977) and also from paleo proxy data as derived, for example, by CLIMAP (1976, 1981).

The albedo feedback between the snow and ice cover and the surface temperature was considered by BUDD and SMITH (1981) in two parts. Firstly, the perennial land surface snow and sea ice were included in the direct radiation-surface temperature relation

\[ \Delta \theta_s = \beta_1 \Delta R (\phi, t) \]  

where \( \Delta \theta_s \) is the summer temperature anomaly resulting from the summer radiation change \( \Delta R \) as a function of latitude \( \phi \)

and time \( t \). This is because the perennial annual cycle of snow and sea ice keeps in phase with the long term radiation anomalies.

Secondly, the albedo feedback of the ice sheet was considered separately because it is significantly out of phase with and virtually independent of the synchronous radiation regime.

This was parameterised by a similar relation of the form

\[ \Delta \theta_a = \beta_2 \frac{S}{S_m} \]  

where \( S \) is the ice sheet area for a temperature anomaly \( \Delta \theta \) and \( S_m \) is the maximum area of ice sheet cover of the last ice age maximum.

The parameter \( \beta_2 \) was considered as an unknown by BUDD and SMITH (1981) and a best fit value obtained from the modelling results by comparison with proxy evidence. The value of

\[ \beta_2 = 4.7^\circ C \]

found by BUDD and SMITH (1981) represents the net temperature lowering due to the ice sheet cover at maximum. This also was found to agree closely with the results from atmospheric General Circulation Models (GCMs) given by GATES (1976a) and MANABE and HAHN (1977), as discussed by BUDD (1981).

This temperature change can also be interpreted in terms of an elevation isotherm shift (e.g. for a lapse rate of \( \lambda = 6.5^\circ C/ \text{km} \), \( \Delta E = 720 \text{ m for maximum ice cover} \)).

The two important consequences resulting from the ice sheet albedo feedback are illustrated by the ice volume and climate responses to the radiation changes shown in Figure 2. Firstly, there is a strong phase delay in the temperature relative to the radiation changes caused by the delayed response of the ice sheet area (and volume). Secondly, the cooling associated with the ice sheet cover causes the average temperature to be below that of the present, even though summer radiation levels in the northern hemisphere since the last interglaciation have been predominantly above the present level.

The modelled ice sheet advance and retreat for the last phase of the ice age is shown in Figure 4. Note that the high elevation regions as shown in Figure 4a, particularly at the high latitudes, play a key role in seeding the growth of the ice sheets and in maintaining the most persistent centres of flow during retreat. It should be noted that because of the coarse (200 km) resolution of the model, the ice cover appears to be too extensive in some areas where only high peaks would be covered. This is most noticeable in Figure 4e for the maximum extent where the ice cover can be interpreted with the topography of Figure 4a to indicate the elevation level reached by ice. Note also that the model edge occurs between the zero and 500 m thickness contours requiring sub-grid scale parameterisation for an exact location. The sensitivity of the model to the radiation parameter \( \beta_1 \) was indicated by BUDD and SMITH (1981). Figure 5 shows more...
clearly the sensitivity to the ice sheet albedo feedback parameter ($\beta_2$) around the best fit value.

In the interpretation of the radiation-temperature changes it is important to understand that other feedbacks may occur within the global climate system. For example, the results of the measurements of paleo CO$_2$ levels in the ice cores reported by LORIUS et al. (1984) indicate that during the cold periods the atmospheric CO$_2$ levels were lower. The lower CO$_2$ levels should be expected to result from the increased sea ice providing greater flux into the deep ocean as described by BUDD (1980); cf. also SHACKLETON et al. (1983), BROECKER et al. (1985) and DUPLESSY and SHACKLETON (1985). The increased sea ice follows directly from the lower radiation levels with additional feedback from lower temperatures. The GCM modelling of MANABE and BROCCOLI (1984, 1985a and b) has shown how the reduced CO$_2$ levels can then lead to lower temperatures and still greater sea ice coverage.

A similar feedback for the ice sheet albedo temperature decrease occurs with sea level lowering due to increased ice volume. For a fixed land surface location a sea level lowering of about 140 m or more (CHAPPELL and VEEH, 1978a and b; CHAPPELL, 1974; VEEH and VEEVERS, 1970) could add an additional temperature decrease over land of about 1°C depending on the lapse rate.

Both of these positive feedbacks need to be understood as included in the best fit parameters for the total temperature change relations derived by BUDD and SMITH (1981),

$$\Delta T = \beta_1 \Delta R + \beta_2 \frac{S}{S_m}$$

**PRECIPITATION**

As indicated above the changes in ablation rates can be very large and in excess of 10 m a$^{-1}$. By contrast, the absolute changes in precipitation can be expected to be much smaller although, in proportional terms, this can still be quite significant. Over the region of northeast North America including Baffin Island and Labrador the precipitation rates are generally in the range of 0.25 to 1 m a$^{-1}$. The sensitivity studies of BUDD and SMITH (1981) indicated that large changes in precipitation were not necessary to account for the growth or decay of the ice sheets within the times available. The most important feature, however, that needed to be included was the "elevation-desert" effect whereby the accumulation over the ice sheet decreased with increasing surface elevation (and
distance inland) as the ice sheet grows. This is in line with the characteristics of existing ice sheets and the decrease of water vapour available at higher elevations and lower temperatures (cf. Sugden, 1977; Robin, 1983).

Apart from this elevation effect, the sensitivity studies also indicated that it was not necessary to vary the basic precipitation rates from the present values except for perhaps higher rates at the southern margins of the ice sheet as could be expected from the GCM studies (cf. e.g. Manabe and Hahn 1977).

### ICE THICKNESS AND BEDROCK DEPRESSION

It was pointed out by Budd and Smith (1981) that a time dependent bedrock depression response was an essential component of the glacial cycle. Figure 2 indicates that the phase delay in the bedrock depression contributes to an effective lowering of the ice sheet surface at the time when the summer radiation, ablation rate, and elevation of the firm line are all increasing. This results in a more rapid retreat of the ice sheet than would otherwise occur without bedrock depression.

A second important feature is that the ice sheet does not reach steady state because of the time scales associated with the varying radiation forcing, the ice sheet growth and decay, and the bedrock response. As a consequence, the bedrock depression does not reach equilibrium either and the amplitudes of the variations are much less than the equilibrium values corresponding to the ice thickness changes. For example, for the model run of Figure 2, the maximum ice thickness obtained was over 4,000 m but the bedrock depression maximum was only 700 m, compared to an equilibrium value which could be over 1,000 m.

The third major point worth noting is that although the situation at the end of the last interglaciation is not known with certainty, it appears likely that the areas presently still depressed were higher. Consequently, an adjustment to the bedrock topography was made by Budd and Smith (1981) to take account of the residual depression for the initial zero load bedrock configuration.

Another consequence of bedrock depression involved a more rapid disappearance of ice from Hudson Bay due to the access of sea water causing the thinning ice to float. This was also shown by Pollard (1984). Results of modelling and temperature analyses indicate that the basal ice of the central and southern part of the ice sheet could be expected to be near melting point for the bulk of the period. As a result, basal melt water would also be extensive and the flow of this water warrants further consideration. Numerical modelling of the basal melt flow, as described by Budd and Jønassen (1986), may be included in future studies of the ice sheet.

### ICE VELOCITY AND BASAL SHEAR STRESS

The general model used by Budd and Smith (1981, 1982, 1985) and Budd et al. (1984) for the ice dynamics and flux calculations takes the form

\[ V = k_1 r_b Z + k_2 \frac{r_b}{Z^2} \]

where \( V \) is the average horizontal velocity through the thickness,

\( r_b \) is the basal shear stress

\( Z \) is the ice thickness

\( Z^* \) is the ice thickness above that which just grounds the ice

\( k_1, k_2, n, p, q \) are ice deformation parameters

and \( k_2, p, q \) are ice sliding parameters (cf. Budd et al., 1979).

For West Antarctica where the bedrock is deep below sea level the above sliding formulation results in a much flatter
and lower surface profile upstream of the grounding line than would otherwise result from internal deformation above (cf. BUDD et al., 1984; McINNES and BUDD, 1984).

In the case of the North American ice sheet modelled with a coarse resolution of 200 km horizontal spacing, the predominance of the land surface above sea level for the grounded ice resulted in very little sliding. Under these circumstances the effects of additional sliding could not be easily distinguished from simply using higher values for the ice deformation flow parameter $k_i$. Although an equivalent temperate ice type value of

$$k_i = 0.1 \text{ bar}^{-n} \text{ a}^{-1}$$

was found to be satisfactory, the sensitivity of the model to the value of $k_i$ was not high.

The changes of the ice sheet in time are computed from the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} = A - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (VZ)$$

where $A$ is the net surface ice mass balance from accumulation and ablation, and basal melting is considered negligible.

The average velocity $V$ is obtained from equation (5) where the basal shear stress is given by

$$\tau_b = \rho g \alpha Z$$

where $\alpha$ is the surface slope

$\rho$ is the ice density

and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration.

For $n = 3$ the expression for ice flux is given by

$$VZ = k_i (\rho g \alpha)^n Z^{n-2}$$

which produces only a weak dependence on $k_i$ for the resulting ice thickness.

With a higher resolution, the sliding formulation can be expected to become more important and would thus allow an improved simulation of ice stream formation in the low bedrock areas such as the St. Lawrence River region. The flow of ice into the depressed bedrock region of Hudson Bay could also be better simulated by the sliding formulation with higher resolution. The main result that occurs from changes to the velocity formulation, whether or not sliding is included, is that the higher the velocity the thinner the ice and the greater the ice area to thickness ratio. The results of BUDD and SMITH (1981) suggest that the flow and dynamics of the Laurentide Ice Sheet need not have been unlike those of the glaciers and ice sheets existing today.

Finally in regard to the velocities, some speculations have been made regarding the possibility of large-scale surging of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. BUDD and McINNES (1979) suggested that the basic characteristics of the Laurentide Ice Sheet (including: accumulation, flux, surface slope, ice thickness, basal shear stress and ice temperature) were such that surging should not be unexpected. The regions of primary interest for surging are the large southern lobes reaching south of the Great Lakes.

Preliminary modelling results by McINNES (unpublished) indicate that, for the type of model used for existing glaciers and ice sheets (cf. BUDD and McINNES, 1974; BUDD, 1975; BUDD and McINNES, 1978), surging of the southern lobes of the Laurentide Ice Sheet would be quite likely with the following characteristics for the largest surge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>3,500 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. length</td>
<td>400 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. ice thickness of</td>
<td>1,500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surged lobe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Such surge type lobe features could cause the southern edge of the ice sheet to reach further south in some locations than the broad scale model results of BUDD and SMITH (1981) indicate. Smaller surges could also be simulated with the model, in which case the length changes and periods were both less than those given above. Although this work is still preliminary, it does suggest that non-climatic induced fluctuations of the boundaries of the Laurentide Ice Sheet could have occurred and it also provides an indication of the time and space scales of the fluctuations which could have been expected.

**BASAL MOVEMENT, EROSION AND TILL TRANSPORT**

For existing ice sheets the regions of high shear stress and velocity are in the outer regions, towards the margins or the ablation zones. A similar pattern was found for the Laurentide Ice Sheet by BUDD and SMITH (1981). Vertical wear or erosion rates were studied in sliding experiments by BUDD et al. (1979). The general relation obtained was

$$w = c_1 \tau^{2/3} V^{3/2}$$

where $w$ is the vertical erosion rate

$\tau$ is the basal shear stress

$N$ is the basal normal stress

$V$ is the sliding velocity

and $c_1$ is a constant.

In these tests the velocity was found to be a function of the stresses as follows:

$$V = k_2 \tau^{3/2} N$$

and so other forms for the erosion were obtained such as

$$w = c_2 \tau^2 N^{2/3}$$

or

$$w = c_3 \tau^4 V^{-2/3}$$

For the second relation $c_2 = 0.11 \text{ mm a}^{-1} \text{ bars}^{-2}$ and the erosion rate is governed primarily by the geometry of the ice sheet involving both the ice thickness and the surface slope.

At a dome summit of an ice sheet the surface slope decreases to zero. Consequently the basal shear stress and velocity there are also zero which means that around a central dome region the erosion and transport rates are very low. The active zones are predominantly the high stress regions further out which implies that most of the transport of material may take place during the growth and advance phases of the ice sheet development. Although the pattern of glacial till and erosion features represents net integral effects over many
ice ages, the cycle of growth and decay since the last interglaciation could be considered as a typical sequence. To indicate the importance of the time variation on the ice flow patterns, as distinct from the flow patterns at maximum, Figure 6 shows the time averaged ice flow from the sequence shown in Figure 4 from 40 ka BP to 8 ka BP. It is quite clear that the independent centres of flow can be expected to have a strong influence on the residual pattern of flow characteristics portrayed by the basal material after the ice has disappeared. The pattern shown in Figure 6 from this model run is not unlike the pattern derived for the region from field surface observations by SHILTS (1980).

It is also apparent from these modelling results that the coalescence of the initial centres of flow to form a single dome centre of flow may be expected to result in relatively stagnant basal ice with little impact on the dispersal of basal debris. It therefore follows that evidence for the existence of a central dome from basal till may be difficult to find.

**ADVANCE AND RETREAT RATES**

The pattern of advance and retreat generated by the model is illustrated by the sequence shown in Figure 4 between 36 and 4 ka BP. This pattern shows a similar timing for advance and retreat as derived from the proxy field evidence, e.g. GOLDSHWAITE (1958); PREST (1969); ERYSON et al. (1969); DENTON and HUGHES (1981); PREST (1984).

It should be noted that there are progressive shifts in the centres of flow. Coalescence and separation of the different ice flow regions also strongly influence the nature and speed of the advancing or retreating fronts. As an example of the rates of change in the positions of the ice fronts, Figure 7a shows the separate time sequences of the change in position of those parts of the fronts south of Baffin Island (full curve) and south of Labrador (broken curve). The corresponding rates of change, shown in Figure 7b, are generally around 100 ma⁻¹ and reach a peak of the order 250 ma⁻¹. These rates of advance or retreat do not entail any unusual features beyond the normal ice dynamics and ablation rates of existing glaciers.

It should be noted that in directions at an angle to the flow directions, the advancing or retreating ice boundaries can cover or expose larger distances in a short time. It is therefore important to consider advance and retreat rates primarily in directions of the ice flow.

**LONG TERM CHANGES AND ICE AGE FREQUENCIES**

The climate parameters for the radiation temperature relation \( \beta_1 = 0.4°C/Wm^{-2} \) and the ice sheet albedo feedback \( \beta_2 = 4.7°C \) were derived from the matching of the timing for the last major advance and retreat of the Laurentide Ice Sheet as illustrated by Figures 4 and 5. A useful test of the model is then provided by keeping those parameters set at the same values for a longer period simulation. The results of the model run for 500 ka with the summer radiation deviations tabulated by VERNEKAR (1972) were given by BUDD and SMITH (1985). Figure 8b shows the ice volume response to the radiation changes shown in Figure 8a using the radiation-temperature parameter \( \beta_1 = 0.4°C/Wm^{-2} \) and values for the albedo feedback factor ranging from \( \beta_2 = 4.2 \) to 5.2°C.

The results show relatively robust major interglaciations with the ice volumes at maxima depending on the degree of decrease of ice during interglaciations.

It appears that the ice sheets exhibit large hysteresis insofar as it takes a considerable change from the present radiation regime to form them, but then an even larger reverse change to make them disappear. The main cause of an apparent 100 ka cycle comes from the interaction of the cycles for obliquity (~ 40,000 a) and perihelion (~ 23,000 a) such that the extrema become in phase approximately each second or third cycles of the obliquity variations (i.e. 80,000 or 120,000 a). The time for growth and retreat of the ice sheet together with the hysteresis reduces the higher frequency components of the ice volume changes.

Finally, the changes in eccentricity (on the order of 100 ka) give rise to the greater amplitudes of some cycles over the
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

The numerical modelling of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in response to the Earth’s orbital variations provides a relatively clear simulation of the interglacial period around 120 ka BP. Field evidence, including radiocarbon dating, has delineated the timing and pattern of the most recent advance, peaking about 18 ka BP and the subsequent retreat. This seems to be also relatively clear from the modelling. In between these two periods however, the picture is not as clear, particularly with regard to the size of the ice cover peaks at about 110, 90 and 60 ka BP or the amount of ice remaining for the relative interstades at 40, 80 and 95 ka BP.

The timing of the ice sheet response for these extrema is not very sensitive to the parameterisation, as shown by the small phase shifts in Figure 5, so it appears that the times are rather well established relative to the radiation changes which provide a relatively firm reference time frame for these events. Figure 5 also shows that the magnitudes of the ice extent for the stades and interstades between 100 ka and 20 ka BP depends strongly on the ice sheet area albedo feedback. Tuning the model to match the paleo-evidence for the extent over the period from say 30 ka to 10 ka BP still leaves some uncertainty of the extent for the earlier periods, e.g. the 40 ka and 80 ka minima and the 60 and 90 ka maxima. It is important to note however that the radiation levels vary with latitude and that the ice cover response and climatic

FIGURE 8. a) The deviations in summer radiation from VERNEKAR (1972) are shown from 510 ka BP to 19 ka for 10° latitude intervals from 40° to 80°N. The extreme radiation levels prior to the major glaciations and interglaciations are marked G (below) and I (above).

b) The corresponding ice sheet volume response for the North American ice sheets from the numerical modelling of BUDD and SMITH (1985) are shown for three values of the albedo feedback equivalent to 4.16, 4.68 and 5.2°C temperature lowering for the ice area at maximum (observed).

a) Les écarts de l’insolation estivale selon VERNEKAR (1972), de 510 ka jusqu’à 19 ka BP à intervalle de 10° de latitude, du 40° au 80°N. Les niveaux extrêmes d’insolation estimés avant les importantes glaciations et les interglaciaires sont indiqués par les lettres G (en bas) et I (en haut).

b) Les réponses correspondantes du volume de glace des inlandsis d’Amérique du Nord, à partir de la modélisation de BUDD et SMITH (1985) sont montrées pour trois valeurs d’albédo équivalentes à des baisses de température de 4,16, 4,68 et 5,2°C dans la région englacée au pléni­glaciaire.
temperature changes may be well displaced in time from the radiation changes. The modelling provides a useful background for the scale of these phase lags between different parts of the ice and climate system. This means that the modelling and field data collection could together focus on examining some aspects of the problem in detail.

From the modelling viewpoint it is possible to examine many other features, basal shear stress, erosion rates, till transport, etc., which may be of interest to the field investigators. Other new developments need to include higher resolution (say down to 50 or even 20 km), as well as explicit computation of ice temperatures and basal water flow. Specific modelling of the floating ice and ice calving could also be added. The variation of sea level can readily be included in the model as either a prescribed, prognostic or interactive variable. Finally, the ice sheets over the rest of the northern hemisphere could be modelled as part of an interactive ice sheet and climate system. In such a study the Antarctic Ice Sheet could also be included since it contributes to both sea level and total ice volume changes. The Antarctic however does not have a very strong influence on the northern hemisphere climate except indirectly through changes in the sea ice cover and carbon dioxide levels. In treating the complete northern hemisphere it will be important to also include an adequate formulation for floating ice shelves which could develop as an integral part of the interconnecting grounded ice sheets.
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