

BOOKS RECEIVED

Notice of BOOKS RECEIVED Policy

Informal Logic no longer invites *descriptive* book reviews. However, at the end of each issue of the journal, *Informal Logic* will print, and re-print, *notices* of monographs, collected papers, proceedings of conferences, anthologies and any similar scholarly books (not textbooks) published during the previous four years on topics related to informal logic, critical thinking, argument (logic, dialectic, rhetoric) theory or practice. The notice, to be supplied by the author(s) or editor(s) or publisher, may simply describe the work or shamelessly promote it, or both, but *must not exceed 150 words*. Each notice will be reprinted in each issue of the journal until four years after the year the edition of the book was first published. (Be sure to include at least the author's or editor's name, the title of the book, the year of publication, the publisher and the number of pages.) We hope this department of the journal will serve as a resource for researchers wanting to know of recent work in the field. Send notices to: tblair@uwindsor.ca.

A reader may apply to the editors to publish a *critical review* of a book on the *notices* list, and the editors may from time to time commission such a critical review.

Books Received (by date):

JULIO CABRERA (2019) *Introduction to a Negative Approach Argumentation: Towards a New Ethic for Philosophical Debate*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing. Pp.200. ISBN: 978-1-5275-3968-6.

This work deals with argumentation in philosophy. In the “affirmative” view of argumentation, each party thinks it is right while all other positions are wrong; argumentation is seen as guided by a set of rules that should lead to the resolution of the dispute in favor of one party. This book advances a critique of such an approach, proposing instead a negative one, the central idea of which is that each party organizes the elements of the problem concerning the definition of terms, the assumptions to be accepted, and the types of logical resources being used. The negative approach attempts to modify the ethics of philosophical discussions, moving towards pluralism, a diversity of perspectives, and the capacity to adopt a panoramic view where one's own posture appears only as one among