

Phytoprotection

Pathogenicity tests of *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* isolated from balsam fir in Canada

Edgar Smerlis[†] et Gaston Laflamme

Volume 91, 2010–2011

URI : <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1008542ar>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.7202/1008542ar>

[Aller au sommaire du numéro](#)

Éditeur(s)

Société de protection des plantes du Québec (SPPQ)

ISSN

0031-9511 (imprimé)

1710-1603 (numérique)

[Découvrir la revue](#)

Citer cet article

Smerlis[†], E. & Laflamme, G. (2010). Pathogenicity tests of *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* isolated from balsam fir in Canada. *Phytoprotection*, 91, 19–21. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1008542ar>

Résumé de l'article

Gremmeniella abietina var. *balsamea* isolé du sapin baumier (*Abies balsamea*) a été soumis à une épreuve de pouvoir pathogène chez différentes espèces de conifères, y compris *A. balsamea*. Le pouvoir pathogène de ce champignon était positif seulement sur le sapin baumier. Ce champignon pathogène n'a pu infecter d'autres conifères, pas même les épinettes qui sont pourtant des hôtes reconnus du taxon *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*. De plus, les isolats provenant des épinettes et des pins étaient pathogènes seulement sur leurs hôtes respectifs. Ces résultats soulèvent des questions quant au statut taxonomique des deux champignons pathogènes classés comme *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*.

Pathogenicity tests of *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* isolated from balsam fir in Canada

Edgar Smerlis[†] and Gaston Laflamme¹✉

Received 2011-04-30; accepted 2011-09-29

PHYTOPROTECTION 91 : 19-21

The pathogenicity of *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* isolated from balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) was tested on different conifer hosts, including *A. balsamea*. Pathogenicity of the fungus was positive on balsam fir only. This pathogen could not infect other conifers, not even spruce species which are reported as hosts for the taxon *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*. Also, isolates from spruces and pines were pathogenic only on their respective hosts. These results raise questions on the taxonomic status of the two pathogens classified as *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*.

Keywords: Scleroderis canker, *Picea mariana*, *Pinus banksiana*.

[Tests du pouvoir pathogène de *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* isolé du sapin baumier au Canada]

Gremmeniella abietina var. *balsamea* isolé du sapin baumier (*Abies balsamea*) a été soumis à une épreuve de pouvoir pathogène chez différentes espèces de conifères, y compris *A. balsamea*. Le pouvoir pathogène de ce champignon était positif seulement sur le sapin baumier. Ce champignon pathogène n'a pu infecter d'autres conifères, pas même les épinettes qui sont pourtant des hôtes reconnus du taxon *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*. De plus, les isolats provenant des épinettes et des pins étaient pathogènes seulement sur leurs hôtes respectifs. Ces résultats soulèvent des questions quant au statut taxonomique des deux champignons pathogènes classés comme *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*.

Mots clés : Chancre scléroderrien, *Picea mariana*, *Pinus banksiana*.

Gremmeniella abietina (Lagerb.) Morelet is frequently found on *Pinus* spp. (Morelet 1969), and it also occurs on balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.) in the boreal forest of North America. On balsam fir, this fungus was recognized as the taxon *Gremmeniella abietina* (Lagerb.) Morelet var. *balsamea* Petrini, Petrini, Laflamme & Ouellette (Petrini *et al.* 1989). This variety also comprises *Gremmeniella* entities occurring on spruces, namely white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) and black spruce (*P. mariana* (Mill.) B.S.P.). Smerlis (1967) tested the pathogenicity of different isolates of *Gremmeniella* on several native conifer species in Quebec. The results from his trial show that the isolates from black spruce caused symptoms on *Picea* spp., but not on *A. balsamea*, *Larix* spp., or *Pinus* spp. (Smerlis 1967). Another pathogenicity test conducted by Smerlis (1968) gave erratic results and the author suggested that the use of recently transplanted or suppressed trees may have caused such results. Later, and under field conditions using well-established trees, Laflamme *et al.* (1996) tested the host preference of six isolates, two per host, from naturally regenerated black spruce, balsam fir and jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.). Our results clearly showed that each isolate thrives on the host from which it was isolated.

In this note, we present results on fungal isolation from diseased balsam fir leaders as well as the results of pathogenicity tests conducted using isolates from naturally occurring cankers caused by *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* on balsam fir and black spruce and by *G. abietina* var. *abietina* on jack pine and red pine (North American race). Cross inoculations were performed in 1983 on several conifer hosts to prove through Koch's postulate the pathogenicity of these *Gremmeniella* isolates.

Isolations from diseased balsam fir stem leaders were made in 1983 from samples collected in the Réserve faunique des Laurentides (47°35'N; 71°13'W), Quebec, Canada. Three small pieces of necrotic bark were cut with a sterile scalpel, surface sterilized for 5 s in 1% HgCl₂ solution, washed in sterile distilled water and placed in tubes containing 3% malt agar. The cultures were incubated at 15°C. A total of 100 leaders were sampled.

Twenty-one different fungi were isolated from the naturally infected balsam fir leaders. *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* was obtained from 89% of the samples. *Sydowia polyspora* (Brev. & v. Tav.) E. Müll. ranked second with a frequency of 31%. *Lophium mytilinum* (Pers. ex Fr.) Fr. and *Epicoccum purpurascens*

1. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, Québec, Québec, Canada G1V 4C7; corresponding author e-mail: gaston.laflamme@nrcan-rncan.gc.ca

†. This experiment was conducted by the first author before he retired from the Canadian Forest Service in 1987. This information was brought to the attention of the second author after Smerlis's death in 2003.

Ehrenb. ex Schlecht. were isolated from 21 and 16% of the leaders, respectively. The other mostly unidentified fungi ranged in frequencies from 1 to 6%.

Inoculations on eastern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis* L.), creeping juniper (*Juniperus horizontalis* Moench) and ground juniper (*J. communis* L. var. *depressa* Pursh) were done in 1983 in Saint-André-de-Kamouraska (47°41'N; 69°44'W), and those on eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis* (L.) Carr.) were done in Saint-Louis-de-Blandford, Arthabasca (46°15'N; 72°00'W). The other tree species (Table 1) were treated at the Valcartier Forest Station (46°54'N; 71°29'W) of the Canadian Forest Service, near Quebec City. The inoculations were carried out with i) mycelium from monoascospore isolates, ii) mycelium from monoconidia isolates, iii) ascospores, and iv) conidia.

All inoculations with mycelium were done in the fall of 1983 on current-year stem or branch leaders by removing needles from the base of shoots and cutting a tongue-like section in the bark, a method previously described by Smerlis (1969). Six monoascospore isolates of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir were used as inocula; four cultures originated from the Réserve faunique des Laurentides and the two others were prepared from samples collected at Lac Albanel (50°54'N; 73°18'W) in the James Bay region, and in Saint-Jean-de-Cherbourg (48°51'N; 67°07'W) in the Matane region. Two monoconidial isolates of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir were used; they originated from the Réserve faunique des Laurentides. Inoculations with *G. abietina* var. *abietina* from jack pine were carried out with one monoascospore and one monoconidial isolate of the North American strain prepared from specimens collected in Saint-Urbain (47°33'N; 70°32'W) in the

Charlevoix region. Finally, inoculations with *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from black spruce were carried out in 1983 with one monoascospore and one monoconidial isolate prepared from specimens collected in the Réserve faunique des Laurentides. All cultures were grown on 3% malt agar at 15°C. The inoculations ranged in number per tree species or source of inoculum from 5 to 23, but the original data are not available anymore. Isolations from positive inoculations were made on 3% malt agar and the tubes were maintained at 15°C.

Inoculations with ascospores and conidia were carried out in Valcartier in mid-July of 1983 in duplicate on 50 cm x 50 cm plots on which nine seedlings had been planted. The tree species treated are listed in Table 1. The method of inoculation with ascospores discharged from apothecia has already been described (Smerlis 1976). In short, branches bearing mature apothecia were attached to a wire over the seedlings. Branches of red pine (*P. resinosa* Ait.) bearing apothecia of *G. abietina* var. *abietina* (North American strain) were collected in Sainte-Hedwidge (48°35'N; 72°21'W) in the Lac-Saint-Jean region, and those of black spruce and balsam fir (*G. abietina* var. *balsamea*) were collected in the Réserve faunique des Laurentides.

Conidial suspensions, prepared at the rate of four pycnidia per 100 mL of distilled water, were made from fructifications of samples collected on the three tree species at the previously mentioned localities. Each treated plot was sprayed with 25 mL of spore suspension with a hand-operated sprayer. The number of conidia per mL is not available anymore. Distilled water was applied on the control plots.

Table 1. Results of inoculation with *Gremmeniella abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir and spruce and *G. abietina* var. *abietina* from jack pine and red pine (North American race) on several conifer species with four types of inoculum: 1 = mycelium from monoascospore isolates, 2 = mycelium from monoconidial isolates, 3 = ascospores discharged from apothecia, and 4 = conidial suspensions; + = symptoms; - = no symptoms

Tree species	<i>G. a. var. balsamea</i> (<i>Abies</i>)				<i>G. a. var. balsamea</i> (<i>Picea</i>)				<i>G. a. var. abietina</i> (<i>Pinus</i>)			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Juniperus communis</i> var. <i>depressa</i>	-	-										
<i>J. horizontalis</i>	-	-										
<i>Larix laricina</i>	-		-	-			-	-			-	-
<i>Picea abies</i>	-			-								
<i>P. glauca</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+				
<i>P. mariana</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+				
<i>P. rubens</i>	-			-				+				
<i>Pinus banksiana</i>	-	-	-	-					+	+	+	+
<i>P. contorta</i>	-											
<i>P. resinosa</i>	-	-	-	-					+	+	+	+
<i>P. strobus</i>	-			-								+
<i>P. sylvestris</i>	-			-								+
<i>Taxus canadensis</i>	-											
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	-	-										
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	-											

Inoculations with pure cultures of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir on that host resulted in symptoms ranging in frequencies from 67 to 100%. Cankers up to 8 cm long, generally causing mortality of the inoculated leaders, were formed. The fungus was reisolated from all sets of inoculations, although not from all individual infections tested. Pycnidia of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* with conidia developed during the first summer following the inoculations on some of the leaders treated with cultures of either form of the spores. Apothecia were observed on dead leaders the second summer after the inoculations. Treatments with ascospores or conidial suspensions of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir similarly resulted in symptoms only on balsam fir (Table 1). All inoculated balsam fir seedlings were infected. Applications of conidial suspensions caused symptoms manifested by defoliation of most or all branch leaders and also of the stem terminal. The defoliation was generally associated with subsequent mortality of the seedlings.

The inoculations with mycelium of *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir on the other tree species were all negative (Table 1). Controls where distilled water was applied, likewise, showed no signs or symptoms.

Inoculations with *G. abietina* var. *abietina* (North American strain) and *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from spruce by any of the three methods caused symptoms on pines and spruces, respectively, and they were not pathogenic on balsam fir (Table 1). Controls showed no signs or symptoms.

These pathogenicity tests show that *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from balsam fir is pathogenic only on balsam fir. It also shows that *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* from spruce is pathogenic only on spruce as was demonstrated previously on four spruce species: *P. glauca*, *P. mariana*, *P. rubens* Sarg., and *P. abies* (L.) Karst. (Smerlis 1967). Finally, *G. abietina* var. *abietina* caused diseases only on pine species.

In the reappraisal of the genus *Gremmeniella*, Petrini *et al.* (1989) decided not to create new species of *Gremmeniella* found on spruce and balsam fir in eastern Canada because of the lack of well-defined morphological differences between specimens from pines, spruces and balsam fir. Dimensions of asci, ascospores and conidia showed overlaps from specimens collected on these tree species. However, electrophoresis showed four different patterns among the reference cultures, two on pines (North American race and European race), one on *Larix* spp., and the other one represented by isolates from spruces and balsam fir in eastern Canada. On that basis, Petrini *et al.* (1989) created two varieties inside the type species, the variety *abietina*, mainly found on pines, and the variety *balsamea*, affecting spruce and balsam fir in the province of Quebec (Canada). Also, a phylogeny study of *Gremmeniella* spp. based on sequences of the 5.8S rDNA and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region shows a level of divergence of ITS between the two varieties *abietina* and *balsamea* as large as the level of divergence between the species *G. laricina* and *G. abietina* var. *abietina* or between *G. laricina* and *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* (Hamelin and Rail 1997). In the same study, the host-related genetic

differentiation in *G. abietina* var. *balsamea* is supported by sequences of the ITS region.

In view of the host specificity of *Gremmeniella* found on spruces and balsam fir in eastern Canada, as well as the differences shown by phylogenetic studies, we strongly suggest reviewing the taxonomic status of the two fungal pathogens causing diseases on spruce and balsam fir, which are currently included in the taxon *G. abietina* var. *balsamea*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The assistance provided by the late Marcel Saint-Laurent, technician at the Laurentian Forestry Centre, is acknowledged. We thank Pierre DesRochers and Danny Rioux for their critical reading of the manuscript, and Louis Parrot, former professor at Université Laval, for his assistance with the search of Smerlis's documents.

REFERENCES

- Hamelin, R.C., and J. Rail. 1997. Phylogeny of *Gremmeniella* spp. based on sequences of the 5.8S rDNA and internal transcribed spacer region. *Can. J. Bot.* 75 : 693-698.
- Laflamme, G., A. Ylimartimo, and R. Blais. 1996. Host preference of two *Gremmeniella abietina* varieties on balsam fir, jack pine and black spruce in eastern Canada. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 18 : 330-334.
- Morelet, M. 1969. Un discomycète inoperculé nouveau. *Bull. Soc. Sci. Nat. Archéol.* 183 : 9.
- Petrini, O., L.E. Petrini, G. Laflamme, and G.B. Ouellette. 1989. Taxonomic position of *Gremmeniella abietina* and related species: a reappraisal. *Can. J. Bot.* 67 : 2805-2814.
- Smerlis, E. 1967. Occurrence and pathogenicity of *Scleroderris lagerbergii* in Quebec. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 51 : 584-585.
- Smerlis, E. 1968. Additional information on the pathogenicity of *Scleroderris lagerbergii*. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 52 : 738-739.
- Smerlis, E. 1969. Synonymy and pathogenicity of *Dermea piceina*. *Can. J. Bot.* 47 : 213-214.
- Smerlis, E. 1976. Evaluation of fungicides for control of *Gremmeniella abietina*. I. Laboratory and preliminary field assays. *Can. For. Serv., Laurentian For. Res. Cent., Info. Rep.* LAU-X-23.