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Résumé


La revue était celle qui, parmi les publications italiennes se rapprochant le plus de la revue allemande, Die Kunst im Dritten Reich, et fut recruter quelques-uns des plus grands historiens de l’art italien de l’époque en les utilisant comme conseillers et comme rédacteurs. Le Arti fut en mesure d’exister pendant la période la plus difficile de l’histoire italienne et cessa de paraître avec la chute du fascisme en 1943.

Of the many art periodicals in Italy during the late 1930s, few were official fascist propaganda journals. Most of the art journals in circulation before the Second World War, particularly those not connected to any particular art movement, were directed by scholars of art history. Such was the case of L’Arte, directed by the noted art historians Adolfo Venturi and later by his son Lionello Venturi; and Critica d’arte, under the aegis of the celebrated team of Carlo Ludovico Ragghianti and Ranuccio Bianco Bandinelli, subsequently joined by Roberto Longhi. One journal, Le Arti¹ (the Arts), stands apart from other such publications, for it was not under the command of any single art historian, though there were several on its editorial board. Rather, it was headed by a government official named Marino Lazzari,² in charge of the Direzione Generale delle Antichità e Belle Arti (Office for Antiquities and Fine Arts), a part of the Ministry of National Education, whose Cabinet Minister was the powerful Giuseppe Bottai.³

Guido Armellini has called Le Arti, "one of the fundamental tools in defining the new artistic reality during the late 1930s; intelligent and incisive though intentionally corrupt."⁴ Fernando Tempeste has described Le Arti as "a journal of high critical value; one that unites all the characteristics of an official voice . . . including unrestricted pronouncements concerning art . . . the only periodical of that era to take on such features."⁵ Yet, despite Le Arti’s importance, scant attention has been paid to it in relation to other wartime journals of the same period, such as Critica fascista (1923–43) and Primato (1940–43), both directed by Giuseppe Bottai.⁶

Le Arti was presented to the public as "the direct expression of the artistic policy of the Italian government." With the fascist calendar year and symbols of the eagle and fasces appearing on its front and back covers (Figs. 1–2), it served as an example of the strong governmental control over the arts during these final years of the regime. Le Arti was to be employed as an instrument for the indoctrination of the artistic community during this period of Italian history. It was one component of a carefully orchestrated plan to bring all artistic expression under one centralized controlling body.⁷

As an example of state manipulation of the arts, Le Arti came closer to the German art periodical, Die Kunst im Dritten Reich, founded in 1937, than to any Italian art journal of the same period. Both Germany and Italy encouraged a systematic and controlled approach to art through its dissemination in postcards, illustrated newspapers and official journals such as these. Among the two journals’ similarities: both were official publications directed towards an educated audience; both included extensive reviews of officially sanctioned (and in the case of Italy, union-run) exhibitions, and both relied on national traditions and myths to transmit art ideology.⁸

While Germany underwent a period of National Socialist art during the 1930s, Italy was experiencing a phase of stylistic pluralism. Despite debates published in various art journals in 1926 over the existence of a true fascist art, during this period Italy was not distinguished by any particular style.⁹ Mussolini, in an effort to play one group against another, was unwilling to publicly support any particular movement. Throughout the 1930s, various art movements competed simultaneously for attention, including the "novecento," expressionism, the second wave of futurism, the autonomous movement and aerial futurism.¹⁰

To understand artistic indoctrination during this period, it is necessary to recall that the idea of a "cultural interventionism" was at the forefront of a debate during the
first decades of this century. Its genesis can be traced to the period leading up to the March on Rome in 1922 which brought Mussolini into power. It was during this time that Bottai first began fabricating a blueprint for a new Italian "state." He envisioned such a state not as a government, police force or agency of order, but rather as an upholder of traditions, culture, art and thought; a veritable "spirit of the nation." Bottai's plan for an intervention would necessitate the participation of Italy's middle class, particularly its intellectuals, those writers, artists, critics and philosophers who would be summoned to assist in the cultural indoctrination of society.

Many intellectuals and artists embraced fascism in good faith, at least initially, particularly those who had been active during its inception. Like Benedetto Croce, Italy's eminent scholar and director of _La Critica_, many intellectuals and artists were early sympathizers of Mussolini, until later political events changed their perspective. The regime sought to arouse intellectuals and artists to its cause, providing them with opportunities to further their professional involvements. Despite outward conformity, many of Italy's intellectuals played lip service to the regime. Like Croce, most of them could see through the superficiality and weakness of a so-called fascist culture. With the outbreak of war and its loss of scholarly and cultural freedom, it was difficult to find intellectuals who would demonstrate any real social commitment.

Bottai soon defined the framework for an indoctrination of art: "A state programmed by aesthetics and guaranteeing the aesthetic quality of artistic works; a state which teaches and controls the morality of artistic works; and a state which gives an artist a social and political dignity by allowing him full responsibility to participate directly in the civic mission of Italy..." In order for art to serve its purposes, it would have to undergo what Mangoni referred to as a "cultural intervention." Bottai, as a public educator on the responsibility and function of its people, said, "it is my view that art has an essential value and is part of a nation's personality; administered by the state, organizing and guiding its people." He then added, "taking care of art in all of its manifestations: spiritual, creative, educative, social and economical." He ended with this appeal, "it is of political necessity that the state ask of the artistic energies of the nation, a militant participation in its political action..." Giuseppe Bottai (1895-1959), one of the leading political minds of the fascist regime, was a moderate fascist who believed in the purity of its ideology as it was delineated from its inception, and who frequently challenged the rigid and dogmatic policies of the regime. In addition to being a cabinet member and a right hand man to Musso-

lini, Bottai was a prolific writer and critic of Italian arts and culture. Bottai held various posts within the regime prior to his becoming Minister of National Education in November 1936. Once Minister, he resolved the apparent deficiency of central authority overseeing Italy's artistic policies by placing control of it within his jurisdiction.

Bottai's agenda was transmitted through the offices of the Direzione Generale delle Antichità e Belle Arti (henceforth referred to as the Direzione Generale). Acting as a central base of activities, the Direzione Generale was responsible for coordinating all the provincial offices, from cataloging art works, to establishing a centre and school for artistic restoration (called the Istituto Centrale del Restauro), to publishing the journal _Le Arti_. Bottai also restructured the Soprintendenze delle Belle Arti, a department within the Direzione Generale. It would assume control of operations for all the national museums and archeological sites.

Bottai was able to carry forth most of his art programs due to the fact that the laws were wide open and the regime was without theoretical definition throughout the 1930s. As an example of the power Bottai exercised, he was able to increase the number of superintendents under his supervision from 28 to 58, giving to them full authority to carry out their work. Prior to this time, the arts were not governed by any particular bureau, except in cases concerning international matters, which were then handled by the Ministry of Popular Culture. Referred to as Miniculpop by some, this ministry was established in order to regulate all forms of mass media and communications, particularly journalism, radio and cinema. Its officials were mostly uneducated and anti-intellectual, with little empathy for artists or their work. Concentrating on the planning and execution of a seizure of power, they gave neither culture nor art much thought.

In the introduction to the first issue of _Le Arti_, entitled "Direttive del Ministero dell'Educazione Nazionale," Bottai defined the propaganda mission of the journal, "...I will demonstrate... how the fascist nation, through its doctrines, considers art to be an indispensable element in the education of its citizens... it is my desire that the journal will demonstrate to everyone how art and criticism are politically important..." Lazzari validated Bottai's plan to utilize _Le Arti_ for indoctrination purposes when he stated, "...one must encourage artists whose works are not being exhibited in galleries by placing them in the official journals... for even here the force of propaganda... is no less intense." As aside from its official mission, _Le Arti_ was also a scholarly journal of art history, covering all periods of art, from ancient through contemporary, including architecture and
Le Arti was modelled after another official publication of the Direzione Generale, Bollettino d'arte (Fig.3), which it replaced from 1938 to 1943. When Bollettino d'arte resumed publication in 1948, it disassociated itself from Le Arti, choosing to ignore Le Arti's volume numbering and to continue where it had left off. The two journals had similar approaches to art history, including lengthy presentations on restoration efforts of art treasures which were being carried out in Italy. Like Bollettino d'arte, Le Arti also contained an illustrated section on the conservation of art works called "Cronaca dei ritrovamenti e dei restauri," as well as reports on the activities from the various superintendents and departments controlling the Italian museums and schools of art. Unique to Le Arti were reports describing the organization of important archeological zones, and articles and information on music and theatre. Le Arti also absorbed another journal, Rassegna della istruzione artistica (Fig. 4), which was briefly directed by Marino Lazzari, with contributions by Bottai. Le Arti achieved recognition by the scholarly community in Italy, and became a major publication for art historical research, similar to what Bollettino d'arte had been years before.

The creation of Le Arti was prompted by a strong dissatisfaction among the superintendents of the various state-run art museums and agencies over the lack of published information on their divisions in the other official art journals of that period. Their concerns were voiced publicly at a conference in July 1938, attended by both Bottai and Lazzari and reported on at length in the journal's first two issues. The conference served to trace the relationship of the Soprintendenze delle Belle Arti to the public agencies, to explore restoration efforts of several art works, and to lay the foundation for cataloguing and museum management. At the conclusion of the conference, Lazzari announced plans for a new official journal that would continue in the tradition of Bollettino d'arte and Rassegna della istruzione artistica, consolidating the agendas of the two journals into one. The journal would address equally the problems and methodology of ancient and contemporary art: "with the same dedication for Giotto as for Soffici." Its aim would be to document and disseminate information on the work being performed in museums, "alive, rich... a point of reference for your discoveries." The advisory board (Consiglio Direttivo) for Le Arti included many individuals who were at the forefront of creative and artistic initiatives in Italy, such as Roberto Longhi and Pietro Toesca. Working alongside the advisory board was the editorial committee (Comitato di Redazione), which included such important art historians as Cesare Brandi and...
Giulio Carlo Argan, the latter serving also as editorial secretary for the journal. Prominent artists, many with pro-fascist leanings, also served on the board. They included Carlo Carrà, Felice Carena, Antonio Maraini, Arturo Martini, Cipriano Oppo, Ardengo Soffici and the architect Giuseppe Pagano.  

Le Arti offered its readers scholarly articles, critical essays, reviews and commentaries by some of Italy’s most distinguished art historians. Lazzari had secured the best consultants for the journal, many of whom were also regular contributors. Some of the more important articles appearing in Le Arti included: a two-part article on Giotto by Cesare Brandi (beginning in volume I, issue 1); a study of Michelangelo’s Palenstrina Pietà by Pietro Toesca (in issue 2); a reassessment of Giorgio Morandi’s paintings by Brandi (in issue 3); an essay on contemporary Italian architecture by Giulio Carlo Argan (in issue 4); and an investigation of Friulian painting by Sergio Bettini (in issue 5). Among the important works in volume II: an analysis of ancient Roman portraiture by Giovanni Becatti (in issue 2); and an examination of the art of Arturo Tosì by Argan (in issue 5–6). Major studies towards the latter years of Le Arti included, in volume III, a two-part review of the paintings of Giovanni di Paolo by Brandi (beginning in issue 4–5), and in volume IV, an examination of the mosaics of Monreale by Roberto Salvini (in issue 5–6).

Important features in Le Arti included complete reports of activities from the various art schools, state agencies and departments of art in areas of conservation, art treasures and culture; a feature called “Documenti e commenti per la storia dell’arte” which appeared from 1941 to 1942, and a book review section called “Rassegna bibliografica.” A prominent feature entitled “Notiziario” appeared at the end of each issue and was considered by many to be the richest part of the journal. It included editorials and commentaries on art, short news items about museums, Italian artists abroad, and exhibition and book reviews. Extensive indices appeared at the end of each year. Following the outbreak of war, from 1941 to 1943, the journal published a special section devoted exclusively to the war (Figs. 5–6).

In addition to articles and features, there were numerous essays and commentaries on art. Many of these essays, particularly those written by Bottai, were charged with virtuous phrases intended to appeal to the readers’ highest ideals on matters related to art and the artist’s role within the fascist regime. Such was the case in the third issue, when Bottai urged his readers, to “have faith in the Italian artist who has worked hard to give to the fascist nation an art...
LA GUERRA D’ITALIA
Dall’armistizio con la Francia alla conquista della Somalia Britannica

L’incontro del Duce e del Führer a Monaco
Il Duce, accompagnato dal Ministro degli Esteri Count Galeazzo Ciano, è partito per la Germania. Incontra il Partito Fascista. (Fig. 1)

Il Duca, al Principe di Piamonte
Il Duca, Comandante delle truppe apparse, ha indirizzato la seguente lettera al Principe di Piemonte, Comandante il Gruppo tenente del Principe Obst.

Add: Toneto a Roma, donna rinnnovanti
l'espressione del mio profondo compiacimento per la distinzione, il coraggio, il marito delle truppe che Voi comandate. Le Divisioni e i reparti che Voi guidate, fortunato di possedere in consegna, e in mano presentati in un mondo rilie, teste omertà di eseguente patria, si può definir suprema.

Gli italiani e gli stranieri devono sapere che nei giorni 21, 22, 23 e 24 giugno si è svolta quella che sarà chiamata la battaglia del Lanzo alpino, avvenuta, imponente, con una partecipazione di 200 chilometri, a questa fra i 2 e i 3 chilometri metri, in meno a incruditi terremoti di nuove...


maybe not grand, but sincere and uncompromising... In the artist, he asked, “... do not chronicle the heroic facts of fascism; be initiators, not spectators; read about art, not just in journals, but in your heart...” Such messages, with their constant references to myths and traditions, were repeated over and over again in the journal.34

With this arrangement, Le Arti quickly acquired a basic form and content from which it never deviated. The cover, with the words “Le Arti” written in big bold letters, made no attempt to conceal its official nature (Fig. 1). Inside, Le Arti was indistinguishable from other Italian journals of that period. It utilized a double column spread with a large typeface, and was printed on porous paper. Unusual for a wartime journal, it had an abundance of illustrations, occasionally one or more in colour.

The subjects of race and heritage were at the forefront in Italy in 1938. Yielding to pressures from Nazi Germany and seeking Hitler’s approval, Mussolini implemented racial laws in November 1938 and other anti-Semitic measures “in defence of the Italian race.” Arguing that Italian art should be entirely Italian and therefore racially pure, Mussolini’s campaign rejected any artistic expression that ran contrary to traditional Italian art forms. It soon became obvious that Bottai had to take a stand on this argument which was being debated daily in the Italian newspapers. Saying that the journal would “take an objective attitude,” and believing that a healthy debate would benefit the regime, Bottai published an interview with the renowned racist, Teresio Interlandi, in the second issue of Le Arti. In the interview, Interlandi called for a pure Italian art, equating modernist tendencies with Jewish and other foreign influences.35

Bottai, who had by the next issue of Le Arti expanded his views on the racial debate, tempered his words somewhat by suggesting that, “maybe a too hurried exchange on the racial debate has resulted in misunderstandings in recent discussions on modern art.” He added that, “attaching oneself to traditions in order to define artistic content with relation to racial content, was certainly not a good idea...”36 Bottai was seeking a middle ground when he remarked that, “while there were artists who went abroad to learn about other forms of art which were international, non-Italian, anti-traditional and hence Jewish in nature, there were also artists who would temper this tendency with a show of native Italian good sense, intelligence and artistic traditions.”37 Le Arti soon ceased to offer discussion on the subject. The racial polemic was never a part of Bottai’s program for change, as he saw in it no opportunities for...
Bottai could never accept the extreme racism of Interlandi. According to De Grand, he understood the distance between the regime and the younger generation of scholars, and how little it would take to alienate them with a totalitarian culture. Among the many ways in which fascist ideology was communicated was through art works shown in local, regional and national exhibitions. The formation of the artists’ unions (Sindacati Fascisti Belle Arti) in 1928 was one of the regime’s more aggressive interventions into the artistic arena. The legal recognition of unions for artists and the control they had over exhibitions were the first concrete acts of a political indoctrination for the arts.

The artists’ unions managed all major national and regional public exhibitions and established policies that made artists dependent on the state for work and inspiration. Two well-known union heads who were also frequent contributors to Le Arti were Cipriano Efsisio Oppo (1891-1962) and Antonio Maraini (1886-1963). Their involvement with both the unions and Le Arti guaranteed the journal a large readership and provided a setting for the indoctrination of the arts. The more important juried exhibitions reviewed and reported on by Le Arti were the “Premio Bergamo” (Bergamo prize), awarded between 1939 and 1941, and the “Premio Cremona” of 1939 (Fig. 7). By reviewing and publicizing these juried exhibitions, Le Arti encouraged a sense of competition among the artistic community.

The “Premio Bergamo” and the “Premio Cremona” represented two extremes in artistic expression during this period. The “Premio Bergamo,” founded in 1939 by Bottai, officiated over art works which were considered more modern and personal than the celebratory forms of the Cremona paintings. The “Premio Cremona” was instituted in 1939 by Party Minister Roberto Farinacci, a fascist extremist who supported and discussed the most reactionary currents in art in his newspaper, Il Regime fascista, from 1926 to 1933. He favoured a figurative art reminiscent of that being pursued in the Third Reich.

Bottai publicly supported both the “Premio Bergamo” and the “Premio Cremona,” calling them, “two faces of the same political culture, both diverse and necessary.” Bottai maintained that their very coexistence proved that the state was not strictly one-sided, because, “in art, there cannot exist a monopoly.”

The art most favoured by Bottai was expressionism. In this movement were Afro, Corrado Cagli, Renato Guttoso and Mario Mafai, who exhibited together as a group at the “Premio Bergamo.” Le Arti’s editors, Roberto Longhi, Giulio Carlo Argan, and the artists, Arturo Martini and Ottone Rosai (Fig. 8), served on the acceptance committee of the Bergamo exhibitions. Their participation in the “Premio Bergamo” could be seen as an endorsement of this more personal form of expression.

Bottai understood the limitation of a syndicate system for directing art exhibitions, which lowered standards because of the number of participants. He sought out other ways to introduce contemporary art to the public, through private initiatives, and by sponsoring non-union exhibitions and awards. He helped establish Centres of Action for the Arts in various cities throughout Italy, hoping to create a network of activity. Additionally, he laid the groundwork for an Office of Contemporary Art, another component in Bottai’s intervention in the arts. Conceived in 1940 with the approval of the Direzione Generale, but never put into action due to the war, it was to serve as an information centre for artists and a library for contemporary Italian art, documenting all the regional and national exhibitions.

The final element employed by the government to establish a connection between art and state was through the two per cent (for art) law, created in 1942 and discussed in Le Arti. Under this law, all buildings of the state, public institutions, companies and union organizations, which were not solely technical or industrial, were required to ensure that two per cent of their total cost would go to...
wards their artistic embellishment. The law was made public in August 1942.\textsuperscript{48}

The program seemed to provide an incentive for artists, and many of the expressionists profited from it by securing mural commissions. Opinions varied on the reasons for instituting the law. Some felt that the law was created as a measure to lift the economic conditions of artists. Others believed it originated from artists themselves who feared that they would be forced to follow the stylistic dictates of the buildings’ architects, such as Marcello Piacentini, a supporter of a monumental style of architecture favoured by Mussolini.\textsuperscript{49} Others felt it was a way to buy time and artists’ goodwill until after the war, because in reality, there were few such commissions for artists in 1942, most new public works and other creative endeavours having been halted by the bombardments.\textsuperscript{50}

Despite its origins, the law was promoted fully in the pages of \textit{Le Arti}. Bottai remarked that the law “was an opportunity for the state to support a force of action in art.”\textsuperscript{51} Lazzari added that the “two per cent (for art) law promoted by Bottai . . . changed the moral and social position of the artist . . . who felt productive and social, like a needed

worker . . . participating in the economic life of the nation and in its political life as an artist.”\textsuperscript{52}

Bottai was proud of the passing of this law, as it provided one solution to the official intervention in the art world. Recognized early on by Mussolini, as “representing the legitimation of the totality of Italian art,” the law provided, “the best means of returning to a unity of the arts and to express the fascist times.”\textsuperscript{53} The two per cent (for art) law and the Office of Contemporary Art were intended to be complementary; while one was created to join together the public with its artists, the other would have documented their activities in all its aspects.\textsuperscript{54} These programs—the Office of Contemporary Art, the two per cent (for art) law and the organization of artists’ unions—were the key moments in fascist politics for the control of organizations and of artists, and the principal tangible acts for an intervention in art.\textsuperscript{55}

An editorial in \textit{Le Arti} in 1941 stated that Bottai would assume command at the batteline and that under-secretary Emilio Bodero would take over his official duties.\textsuperscript{56} Despite his absence, Bottai’s influence continued to be felt in the journal. His essays appeared in \textit{Le Arti} through the spring of 1942.\textsuperscript{57}
Toward the latter years of the regime, the Fascist Party had found it increasingly difficult to manage effectively the vast number of programs it had engendered. Mussolini's last rearrangement of his cabinet in February 1943, which included the dismissal of Bottai from his government position,\(^\text{18}\) did not halt the decline of the party and the state. Three years of military setbacks, including a crumbling home front and an unpopular war, brought the collapse of fascism and of Mussolini's dictatorship. After July 1943, most organized cultural activity in Italy ceased.\(^\text{59}\)

In an essay in one of the final issues of Le Arti in 1943, Lazzari's words, always somewhat constrained, now expressed fatigue. The war had ravaged many of the art treasures and monuments of Italy. Lazzari questioned whether in defending art, one was not defending art against mankind: "a defense of art is a defence against us, men of practical means, a defence against our spirituality. . . ." The new National Minister of Education, Carlo Alberto Biggini, under-secretary during Bottai's tenure, echoed a similar sentiment when he said, "the state does not have a duty regarding art other than to educate people on the conscious function of civilization." He underscored his point by adding, "art and politics are not parallel roads never destined to intersect, but rather on the same road . . . a road of comprehension and revelation of our times and of the spirit and the will." Lazzari summed up the spirit of those times with the following: "... today, more than ever, we are involved in the lottery of survival and historical materialism, which finds its artistic significance in a new formula . . . in the defence of art."\(^\text{60}\) Both Biggini and Lazzari were distancing themselves from the polemics which had absorbed Bottai for close to a decade. The connection between art and politics had by now evolved into a partnership between art and life. By 1943, many intellectuals and artists had turned against the regime to join the Resistance.\(^\text{61}\)

Le Arti published one more issue before ceasing publication in December 1943. It had survived a most difficult period in Italian history primarily through its strong connection with Giuseppe Bottai and the fascist regime. The journal's rise and fall paralleled that of Bottai's tenure as National Minister of Education. Its discontinuation, almost immediately after Mussolini's removal by the Grand Council in July 1943 and the subsequent fall of fascism, was not surprising. Le Arti proclaimed to be the "direct expression of the government" in the personification of Bottai; hence, it derived its true meaning only through Bottai and the regime. Despite Bottai's exploitation of art as self-serving, censored and controlled, he attempted to imbue it with energy and vitality. Le Arti was more than just another journal among numerous official publications in circulation during wartime Italy; it was a symbol of fascist culture: academic, yet compliant and immensely rhetorical.

* I would like to thank Professor Rolf Swensen of Queens College for his perceptive comments and recommendations in the reworking of this article.
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