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Résumé
Cet article examine la «construction» de l’Amérique hispanique par les cartographes du XVIe et du XVIIe siècle. À travers une étude d’objets cartographiques créés pour la monarchie espagnole, nous analysons les représentations divergentes faites par les Mayas du Yucatán et par d’autres autochtones de l’Amérique. Ces objets reflètent l’hétérogénéité de leurs créateurs dont les diverses motivations (politiques, juridiques et économiques) ne correspondent pas toujours aux intérêts du roi. Puisant dans la tradition cartographique de l’Amérique hispanique ainsi que dans les théories actuelles concernant les pratiques cartographiques, nous démontrons que les cartes participent à la formation de la culture hispano-américaine et soulignons l’évolution de la carte comme forme abstraite.

In 1556 Philip II ascended the Spanish throne. As the son of Charles V, Holy Roman Emperor and King of Spain, and the grandson of the Catholic monarchs Isabella and Ferdinand, Philip inherited one of the largest empires in history. Over the next forty years, he expanded his territories and engaged in an ambitious campaign to document its ever-increasing boundaries. For visual representations of his empire, he turned to the science of cartography. Philip seemingly understood the implicit value of cartographic objects as apparatuses to govern and control spaces. He charged his royal cosmographer, Juan López de Velasco, with mapping and documenting the entire Spanish empire, both domestically and abroad. Velasco and the court developed strategies that blended text and image. Among them are the multi-page relaciones (accounts), answers to a series of instrucciones (instructions)—a list of fifty questions regarding a territory’s history, its resources, its ecology, and its peoples—that colonial subjects were mandated to complete. The responses to three of the questions were to include maps of the polities. To fulfill the requirements of the instrucciones, the artistically inexperienced conquistadores and settlers relied on Indigenous artisans. The cartographic or landscape images are known as the relaciones geográficas (geographic accounts). In these visual productions, a subtle merging of text and image occurs: the pictorial representations are sometimes accompanied by inscriptions, as we see in the 1581 map of the guardianship of Motul, Yucatán (fig. 1).

This image—part of what is known today as Relaciones de Yucatán (Accounts of the Yucatán), the Yucatecan responses to the monarchical inquiry—replicates the new power structures that existed in sixteenth-century Yucatán. Though simple in execution, the Motul drawing is an important part of the Relaciones de Yucatán, for it is one of only three responses that contain a pictorial element. In the image, as in the text that accompanies it, the guardianship’s towns are recorded in relation to Mérida, the peninsular capital and centre of power. The Motul account does not simply situate the town in relation to Mérida—a distance of some 43.1 kilometres (26.7 miles)—visually, it also identifies its proximity to the capital in the written narrative that likewise records the history of the town’s people, its geography, and the ecology of its hinterlands. The Spaniard Martín de Palomar, who was charged with completing the Motul inquiry, enlisted the help of Maya scribes Juan Peche and Juan Cumci de Landa to produce the Motul account. It is likely that either Peche or Cumci de Landa produced the drawing, in part because of the similarity between the script in the image and its accompanying relación. An even more compelling argument for Maya attribution is the map’s eastern direction, a common Indigenous practice; by the sixteenth century, European maps favoured a northern orientation, and question 10 of the instrucciones specifically required referencing the northern and southern directions. The text and image of this map attempt to make real the conceptual idea of Motul and its guardianship by blending text and image to create an abstract signifier of the province in both Spanish and Maya terms.

The practice of recording local histories was widespread among the pre-contact Maya peoples. Peche’s and Cumci de Landa’s responses were probably derived from Maya books of the chilam balam, texts written for and by Indigenous nobles at various historical moments. They contain extensive historical, mythological, prophetic, calendrical, and medicinal information that is divided into sections or volumes. Much of this data was translated and used to respond to the royal inquiry, thus perpetuating the long Maya tradition of historical transcription. What is more, pre-contact Maya and their Mesoamerican counterparts produced cartographic imagery to document territories. This ancient Maya practice, which continued well into the colonial era, can be see in some of the Yucatecan chilam balam, which contain cartographic objects that display distinctive and primarily Indigenous sensibilities. Some scholars question the popularity of pre-contact map-making processes in Yucatán because of the lack of surviving examples, which is partly due to the destruction of many of these objects during well-documented Franciscan idolatry
campaigns. Nevertheless, the Yucatecan Maya did produce astoundingly complex cartographic objects during the colonial era. This suggests they understood how maps could document lands in abstract terms and were familiar with the use of both text and pictographs to do so. The Motul map and its accompanying relación, then, illustrate the complex multicultural negotiations transpiring between text and image in the sixteenth century.

The early colonial era witnessed European and Indigenous efforts to define the new global world: in the sixteenth century the concepts of Hispanic and Latin America were not fully constituted in the public discourse. Seemingly, both European and Indigenous peoples understood the map’s potential for replicating authority. The Motul map indicates how the Maya understood the town’s standing in regard to its guardianship and to the colonial capital. Although subject to Mérida, Motul exercised authority over a region. For power to be validated over lands (by either Maya or Spanish peoples), the territory must be created. In other words, space must be produced, to borrow Henri Lefebvre’s terms, through conceptual and physical exercises, which the Motul image does.

This paper examines the processes through which early modern cartographers created conflicting spaces of Hispanic America. Though the maps produced for Philip II serve as the basis for this study, they are only a small fraction of the hundreds—if not thousands—of maps created during the colonial period. I therefore reference and discuss numerous other cartographs made for judicial proceedings as well as those executed for popular cultural texts. With this broader context of American cartographic practices in mind, I focus on several extant maps from colonial Yucatán, many of which were produced by Indigenous peoples. The Yucatec cartographs are only a few of the hundreds that were created through the entire colonial era by numerous Amerindiains who were distinct from each other ethnically, economically, geographically, temporally, and so on. Not surprisingly then, these cartographic documents highlight their creators’ heterogeneity, many of whom had economic, judicial, and political motivations that did not always correspond to royal interests. Nevertheless, these images contributed to the production of Hispanic America. In other words, the cartographs fulfilled ideological functions for the heterogeneous colonial peoples. The diversity in the form and content of the maps thus reflects social, political, economic, and other cultural desires, while simultaneously fostering new forms of knowledge through the merging of culturally distinct and previously separated peoples, all practices that developed in Yucatán.

To understand this diversity, I first contextualize the study of cartography in Hispanic American studies. I focus primarily on what can be defined as the ideology of the European-derived map, in which the grid form played a critical role in documenting the lands, resources, cities, and peoples of the Americas. But the grid was not the only formula used to construct maps. In certain instances the overriding contextual structures of the grid limited the Indigenous peoples in their engagements with the new colonial society, while in others the aboriginal artists were able to produce powerful multivocal images of Indigenous agency and political activism. I argue that cartography and mapmaking practices offer an important glimpse into the formation of Hispanic American culture as well as into the evolution of the map as an abstract form.

The Ideology of Empire

Philip II’s recognition of the potential power of maps for governing does not seem to have been inherited by his successors; nor were the maps and cartographs he commissioned reproduced en masse. Only a few of the hundreds of Iberian cartographs produced for Philip II made it out of the Spanish realms to serve political and scientific ends. Nevertheless, in the last few decades, the study of cartography has played an important role in a discourse on Latin American history that recognizes
The grid as a product of power relations is exemplified in Willem Janszoon Blaeu's 1642 *America Nova Tabula* (fig. 2). Here, the grid operates on many levels. First, it formalizes the longitudinal and latitudinal variants, while also framing and ordering the taxonomic representations of several checkerboard gridded-style towns, including Mexico City, Cuzco, Santo Domingo, and Cartagena. Second, the Indigenous peoples are removed to the margins, where they are rendered as caricatures. This image virtually codifies the grid's ability to document lands and to promote ideology through the implied physical, conceptual, and social ordering of lands and cities that further establishes orientation and human classification.

Images such as Blaeu's have fueled Mignolo's post-colonial analyses. Mignolo contends that by the end of the colonial era, the modern idea of Latin America was beginning to take shape. Much of his argument rests on the supposed inherent clarity of historical maps: he describes maps as simultaneously real and not real, i.e., conflating the physical and conceptual ideas about that place. According to Mignolo, we often accept cartographic images as being the real territory they abstractly represent. In this way, maps create the world. Such theoretical precepts are common, and many theorists have relied on maps to understand our world. Jean Baudrillard states, "The territory no longer precedes the map, nor survives it. Henceforth, it is the map that precedes the territory...[and] it is the map that engenders the territory." Therefore, maps influence our perceptions of the physical world and of the societies that inhabited it. Mignolo concludes that Latin America—because of its actual location and of its depiction in maps—remains on the margins of the West and the United States.

However, such assessments are overly concerned with cultural constructs and not enough with the physical environments in which American peoples lived. For example, Blaeu's image also conveys the effectiveness of urban practices that helped establish the Spanish colonial physical ordering on American frontiers. Many representations of American urban centres, for instance those of Cuzco and Mexico City, which are based on the Italian Giovanni Battista Ramusio's late sixteenth-century prints, slavishly adhere to a grid plan (figs. 3 and 4). In their original form, as prominent historian Richard Kagan suggests, the contrast in the cityscapes between the rigidly defined urban centres and the fictive, imagined landscapes fostered the civic and communal identities of the Spanish *urbs* or cultural centres. The Spanish cities are juxtaposed with the *monte* (mountains or scrublands), the unsettled and un-Christianized lands where, as the governor of Yucatán don Francisco de Solís informed Philip II in 1584, the Maya "worship idols" and resist Spanish acculturation practices. Such comments demarcate locations with a clearly defined European presence from those beyond the grid-planned cities. The images reveal the grid's
literal, lived form—the damero, or European-conceived checkerboard-gridded city. Like the related cartograph, the urban grid reflects the desire to promote the ordering of society through socially and physically defined spaces. These spaces are explained in the Ordenanzas de Población (Settlement Ordinances) included in the 1573 Las leyes de Indias (Laws of the Indies) compiled for Philip II. The policies describe the general logic, order, and structure of newly founded Hispanic American cities. The ordinances implicitly delineate urban space using a grid or orthogonal plan (traza en damero), in which government and religious buildings are situated around the heart of Hispanic American cities: the plaza mayor. These ordering practices produced space and its social hierarchies. As Henri Lefebvre rightly suggests,

The result [of town planning] is a strictly hierarchical organization of space, a gradual progression outwards from the town’s centre, beginning with the ciudad [capital/major city] and reaching out to the surrounding pueblos [small towns/villages]. The plan is followed with geometrical precision; from the inevitable Plaza Mayor a grid extends indefinitely in every direction.
Nonetheless, the prints make manifest the implementation of urban policies that tried to mitigate cultural instability. These policies established a regularized landscape created through the grid that also signified social, religious, and political hierarchies, an urban structuring that was difficult to obtain in Old World centres.

Acknowledgment of the grid’s “authority,” in both its urban and conceptual forms, is found in the 1581 map of Cholula in Puebla, Mexico (fig. 5). The image was created for the relaciones geográficas, most likely by a Mixtec artisan; it contains many non-European features, such as Indigenous script and iconography, within the compositional design of the grid. The recording of habitats to the marking of sacred, hybridized Mesoamerican-Christian temples, all features are made to


Thus, as Lefebvre posits, the monarchy strove to create a space that was quantifiable and distinguishable through regularized legislation, visual reproductions, and the literal erection of towns. Such constructions established a contrast between the clearly marked spaces of the Spanish urbs and the less defined spaces of the monte.

These significant social facets of Hispanic urbanism were not lost on the conquistadores and European intellectuals. The latter learned of these urban practices through images like those of Cuzco and Mexico City, which were widely reproduced from Ramusio’s prints. Kagan analyzes these reproductions of Ramusio’s prints and others like them in negative terms, arguing that they construct conceptions of the Hispanic domains as unchanging, prefiguring the modern abstraction of Latin America.

Nonetheless, the prints make manifest the implementation of urban policies that tried to mitigate cultural instability. These policies established a regularized landscape created through the grid that also signified social, religious, and political hierarchies, an urban structuring that was difficult to obtain in Old World centres.

Acknowledgment of the grid’s “authority,” in both its urban and conceptual forms, is found in the 1581 map of Cholula in Puebla, Mexico (fig. 5). The image was created for the relaciones geográficas, most likely by a Mixtec artisan; it contains many non-European features, such as Indigenous script and iconography, within the compositional design of the grid. The recording of habitats to the marking of sacred, hybridized Mesoamerican-Christian temples, all features are made to
conform to the European grid, restricted to their appropriate cell or solar (urban block) of the town’s traza. The grid contains pre-existing Indigenous history by confining it to its cell, or past moment. Conceptually, then, the image operates within the discourse of the deterriorialization of Indigenous peoples, and the Pre-Columbian cultural traditions are symbolically denied any historical significance. The Indigenous centre has been reconceptualized through the rendering of the clearly demarcated buildings and churches: churches replace the altepetl (water hill) used in Mesoamerican pictographic traditions to mark pyramids and to recall associated communal narratives about time, place, and people. The celebrated markers of pre-Columbian communal identity—the pyramids—are replaced by churches, the civic symbols of many European towns. The churches and the grid serve to neutralize an existing Indigenous past.

The map can be understood as an example of Spanish institutional control, its primary purpose to exert the monarch’s power over the peoples and the physical and conceptual spaces of the Americas. This brings to mind George Simmel’s discussion of the interrelationships within maps. He argues that the forms and contents within space(s) interact:

The extent to which space, as land for example, can be framed or bounded is significant as a fundamental aspect of space, in that not only does it close the space from an “outside” giving it a more “real” and concretized spatial character, it also constructs an inner cohesiveness that is subject to its own localized regulations.

In other words, the compression of objects within the map’s confines homogenizes them. The result is that the map normalizes the relationships between people and places. More simply, the map becomes the stand-in for the real place.

The Cholula pintura (painting or drawing) illustrates the difficulties of distinguishing the realities of the actual location from the abstract ideas about the place presented in the map. The Cholula cartograph brings to light the fallacies of European claims and ideas about the Americas and Indigenous peoples. For instance, it fails to convey the reality of the township’s topography: the hills on the map are actually the remnants of larger earthen Pre-Columbian mounds that do not conform to the rigid European grid. Although the image presents a conceptualized implementation of European order on the city, vestiges of the town’s pre-contact past are a reminder of another equally complex ideological narrative.

The emphasis on the relational value of the grid presents only one side of the cartographic spectrum. Indeed, the gridded map is just one form of cartographic imagery. Other, more popular forms include itinerary maps—cartographs that sacrifice longitudinal and latitudinal accuracy in favour of relational connections between geographies and towns, as in the Motul image (fig. 1). The images offer differing narratives and constructs about space, ones that contradict the rigidity of the grid and oppose the perceptions that the Spanish monarchy and European intellectuals attempted to develop about America. Indeed, the images offer the perspectives of those living in Hispanic America.

The Map’s Other History

Like the Motul image, the Cholula map offers another layer of complexity regarding sixteenth-century mapmaking practices: many of the works were produced by Indigenous artisans, not European cartographers. Some maps indicate an astounding
has documented the intellectual exchange that occurred between Mixtec and Europeans artists in a group of geographic accounts known today as the Relaciones Geográficas of Central Mexico. Mundy argues that the content of many images presents a startling contrast to European-crafted maps. The differences are explicit in the map of Teozacoalco (San Pedro Teozacoalco), Oaxaca (fig. 6). This Indigenous image is the embodiment of Pre-Columbian “mapping” practices; its circular composition can be equated to Mesoamerican cosmology and calendrical systems. The image conforms to Mixtec practices of recording histories through glyphic and pictographic forms: the dynasties that dominated the political culture of the Teozacoalco territory years before the arrival of the conquistadores are presented in the left hand margin. Moreover, the work indicates Indigenous territorial expansions with the inclusion of

level of hybridization of distinctive artistic and intellectual practices. This is the case of the Cholula pintura, where the Mixtec artist comfortably bridges the cultural divide. Such syncretism was made possible by the training many Indigenous noblemen received at the convento (convent) schools, which enabled Native American artists and intellectuals to produce powerful multivocal objects and treatises. One example is the illustrated text El primer nueva corónica y buen gobierno (The First New Chronicle and Good Government) created by the Quechua nobleman Felipe Guaman Poma de Ayala in 1615. This work, which presented an Andean history as told by Poma to King Philip III, was anomalous in its size, scope, and scale.

Other works, including the relaciones images, were collaborative projects involving Indigenous artists and scribes as well as Spanish court officials. Art historian Barbara Mundy

Figure 5. Anonymous, Map of Cholula (Puebla), 1581. Coloured ink on paper, 31 x 44 cm. Austin, Nettie Lee Benson Latin American Collection (artwork in the public domain; photo courtesy of the University of Texas Libraries, The University of Texas at Austin).
a newly annexed territory in the upper zone of the circular map. The expanded lands and Indigenous attire of the ruling couples assert the Mixtec relationship to the principal map’s geography, with its mountains and rivers. The Christian convents, with their identifying Spanish texts, are virtually lost in the Mixtec world.

The Yucatecan map of Tabasco, called the “Alfaro Map,” presents a similarly complex Indigenous world, barely populated by Christian missions (fig. 7). Named after Melchor Alfaro de Santa Cruz, the Spanish estate holder charged with documenting the land, it is another example of a hybrid image, this one likely co-produced by a Chontal Maya artist.36 The Alfaro Map, like the Motul drawing, privileges the eastern direction—as was standard in Maya practice—as can be established by the trees, churches, and mountains. The image’s accompanying Spanish writing, probably executed by Alfaro himself, has no single orientation, though it does appear to privilege the north through accented compass marks. This is to be expected, as instruction number 10 from the relaciones geográficas advises,

Describe the site and state the situation of said town, if it lies high or low or in a plain, and give a plan or colored painting showing the streets, squares, and other places; mark the monasteries. This can be easily sketched on paper, and shall be done as well as possible. It is to be noted which part of the town face North and which South.37

The image’s conflation of European and Mesoamerican cartographic traditions exposes the contradictions in Hispanic American mapping practices. The Motul map, though much
more simply drawn, is similarly complex. While the rendering of the church indicates an eastern direction, the map has multiple viewing perspectives, and is oriented by Motul’s church from which the colonial pathways and roads radiate. This fractured compositional design, or organization, marks a radical departure from Bleau’s systematized world. In both the Alfaro Map and the Motul drawing, the compositions are organic and lack precise orientating tools. The cartographs adopt more popular “itinerary map” designs found in Western and Mesoamerican traditions.

Often itinerary maps were drafted to visualize the proximity between locations. In so doing, the mapmakers frequently
sacrificed specificity of topography, culture, and scale. The Motul drawing epitomizes the itinerary tradition as it shows the routes that connect Motul to its tributaries and to Mérida. The map, however, lacks references to topography or scale. Similarly, the Alfaro Map forfeits the relational scale between convents and communities in favour of an astoundingly rich portrayal of the Tabascan landscape. Curiously, the vast openness of the image unsettled Alfaro, who obsessively scribbled within the margins, providing a great deal of information about the various areas of the province as if to compensate for the map’s lack of scale and quantifiable data. The Alfaro Map is, however, anomalous within the relaciones geográficas.
blending of traditions. It is a highly abstract circular cartograph segmented by a cross shape, which is nestled within the pages of the eighteenth-century book of the chilam balam of the Maya town of Chumayel. The image created by an anonymous Maya artist reconstructs the political geography of Yucatán. The image’s name derives from its accompanying text, which references the various centres through the analogy of the bird:

Mani is the base of the land. Campeche (Campech) is the tip of the wing of the land. Calkini is the base of the wing of the land. Itzmal (Ytzmal) is the middle of the wing of the land. Zaci (Çaci) is the tip of the wing of the land. Conkal (Cumkal) is the head of the land.

At the centre of the bird is Tihó (more commonly spelled Mérida), which in the following line is identified as the spiritual capital of Yucatán. Interestingly, the capital is not discussed within the map’s composition. Presumably, the artist assumed that his Maya audience would recognize it as the peninsula’s political and religious capital and felt that it was not necessary to identify it in the text.

Nonetheless, the Bird Map questions the political and spiritual significance of Mérida: the capital is referenced by its Maya name, as are the important Spanish centres of Campeche (Campech) and Valladolid (Zaci). Moreover, the Maya town of Mani is privileged over all others. Described as “the base of the land [or the peninsula],” Mani is given extreme prestige as a place of origin, in this instance as the place of origin of the whole peninsula. The author’s concerns seem to relate more closely to a Maya audience than to a Spanish one. Considering the chilam balam are rife with communal and clan efforts at self-representation, these inclinations are not surprising. Indeed, Chumayel was a direct tributary of Mani, and its rulers were members of the Xiu family clan that ruled Mani; it was politically, socially, and culturally wed to the Maya capital. Thus, the image honours a pre-contact Maya past well into the colonial era.

Such references can also be found in the relaciones geográficas, for the instrucciones specifically requested communal histories. The Teozacoalco image, for example, presents a history of the land’s occupation that long predates Spanish claims to the territory. In both the Teozacoalco and Cholula maps, the legacies of the groups’ Pre-Columbian pasts are apparent, whether through spatial realizations, compositional designs, decorations, or bilingual scripts. Such features hint at a contestation of Spanish authority. Simply put, the works’ Indigenous content and histories question the colonial assertion of the newness of the New World. In fact, the cartographs affirm that the New World was not new and that the spaces of the continents had already been produced by Indigenous cultures. Indeed, the Map of Teozacoalco records the province’s lineage in order to

Figure 9. Anonymous, “Bird Map.” From Chilam Balam of Chumayel, eighteenth century. Ink on paper, full page 20 x 15 cm. Rare Books and Special Collections, Princeton University Library (artwork in the public domain; Princeton University).
Figure 10. Gaspar Antonio Chi, attributed, *The Mani Land Treaty Map*, 1557. European brown ink on European paper, 41 x 31 cm. The Latin American Library, Tulane University, New Orleans (artwork in the public domain; courtesy of the Latin American Library, Tulane University).
Figure 11. Anonymous (Gaspar Antonio Chi?), *The Map of Mani*, ca. 1560. From *The Xiú Chronicles*. Ink on paper, 41 x 31 cm. Tozzer Library Special Collections, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA (artwork in the public domain; courtesy of Tozzer Library, Harvard University).
affirm the ruling family’s pre-contact authority and to assist in securing its position in the colonial era.

Similarly, the sixteenth to nineteenth-century documents known as the Xiu Chronicles record the Xiu Maya clan’s claims on the town of Mani and its environs.\(^{45}\) The Xiu texts were drafted for different purposes than the relaciones geográficas: they are a probanza de hidalgua (proof of nobility) given to the local colonial administrators, presumably so that the crown could grant Xiu familial claims of nobility and sovereignty over the Mani polity. In so doing, the documents affirmed the Xiu lord’s statuses as señores naturales (natural lords). Such a status was important in Yucatán as it secured lineage succession and afforded prestige to the Maya lords: they were exempt from some Spanish tribute demands and were also allowed to collect tribute from the local peasants of their communities.\(^{44}\) Thus, the papers are examples of communal self-representation, evincing the Xiu’s efforts to maintain their Pre-Columbian status in the colonial era against the Spanish as well as against rival Maya clans.\(^{45}\)

La Memoria de la distribución de los montes de Maní a 15 de agosto de 1557 (The Report of the Distribution of the Lands of Mani, 15 August 1557) is one of these documents within the Xiu Chronicles.\(^{46}\) It describes the boundaries of the Xiu polity as they were defined through a land agreement with rival Maya chibalob (lineages) in accord with the Spanish colonial administration. In so doing, La Memoria illustrates a hybrid idea of the Yucatecan province as it combines Maya and Spanish understandings of space. To make the land treaty more accessible to all colonial audiences, i.e., Maya and European, it was translated into at least two maps: the drawing that accompanies the Xiu Chronicles (fig. 11) and another version that accompanies colonial administrative papers in Tulane University’s Latin American Library (fig. 10).\(^{47}\)

The two maps of Mani record the information contained in the 1557 land treaty in similar ways: they represent the Xiu polity and illustrate the interrelationships between towns. Both images adopt a quasi-itinerary format, situating Mani as the territory’s principal town with tributary influence extending over a large populated area with numerous townships. However, the works served different purposes. The Map of Mani (fig. 11) was developed to accompany the documents that argued for nobility, while the Mani Land Treaty Map (fig. 10) was likely produced for colonial administrators to record the boundaries of the Mani province as established by the treaty of 1557. The latter uses a circular composition to depict the Xiu lineage and territories. As art historian Amara Solari has suggested, the circular drawing presents a new cartographic form that combines Maya and Spanish mapping traditions in an idealized representation with an Indigenous sensibility in narrative and format.\(^{48}\) The image is also informative, as it indicates Maya attempts to main-
space and structures of power. At the same time, the Motul image serves to define township lines and polity centrism against rival Maya claims.

Even though they had to fulfill the bureaucratic instructions of the relaciones geográficas, the Motul and other maps studied here retain their Mayaness. They do so through their orientation, multiple viewing perspectives, and representation of time and space; and clearly they honour the pre-contact Maya past. Although attempts were made to mitigate Indigenous territorial claims, as in the maps of the relaciones geográficas, the peoples that occupied the American spaces continuously contested these assertions. The maps they produced illustrate the colonial encounter and the abstractions of cartography through the visual presentation of history’s counternarratives.

The creator of the image places Motul within the larger political and cultural networks of Yucatán. The map conveys Motul’s importance—despite the fact it was considered subordinate to Mérida—identifying its political, economic, judicial, and religious influence over other towns. Situating Motul as a “secondary” Yucatecan centre with sway over a locality, the drawing replicates the flow of information in the province. Information arrives in Mérida from the royal and viceregal courts; it is, in turn, directed to the local polities, like Motul; from Motul it is further filtered to its townships—or vice versa in a reverse sequence of steps. The image therefore not only documents the land, albeit in conceptual terms, it replicates the way information is transmitted through the centres and peripheries of the Hispanic world. In this manner, the map produces both
Notes

1 The Spanish monarchs entrusted the Casa de Contratación (House of Trade, founded in 1503) to carry out much of the task of documenting the empire.

2 Barbara Mundy has identified that forty five (or 65%) of the sixty-nine images of New Spain were drawn by Indigenous artists. For more information see Mundy, The Mapping of New Spain: Indigenous Cartography and the Maps of the Relaciones Geográficas (Chicago and London, 1996).


4 Likewise, the Nettie Lee Benson Latin American Collection at the University of Texas, Austin, created a virtual exhibit of eleven of the relaciones geográficas. For more information, see http://www.lib.utexas.edu/benson/rg/. Additionally, digital copies of the original questions and their responses can be found online through Spain’s Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte’s PARES (Portal de Archivos Españoles). See Archivo General de Indias (AGI), Indiferente General, Descripción de los pueblos de Yucatán, 1530, n. 5.

5 I will return to these points later in the paper.

6 The books of the chilam balam, which are sometimes referenced as Mesoamerican primordial titles, are compilations of the shorter títulos and crónicas (annals). Títulos are municipal texts that record the community’s history as well as information used to promote the community’s interests. The crónicas are shorter community histories that contain similar information, including specifics on important events, the participants involved in them, and the effects of these events on the community.


8 For a review of the popularity of Indigenous maps in Yucatán, see Michel Antochiw, Historia cartográfica de la Península de Yucatán (Campeche, 1994) and Amara Solari, The Transfiguration of Space: Maya Ideologies of the Sacred in Colonial Yucatan (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2013).

9 Although not discussed here, Hispanic America should not simply be contextualized in a transatlantic setting; important trade routes extended to Asia, thus establishing a transpacific context as well.


11 Ricardo Padrón has argued that Spanish mapping practices did not keep pace with the numerous scientific and mathematical developments occurring in other European territories, and were therefore not widely adopted. Conversely, María Portuondo has suggested that Spanish maps were not as widely reproduced because of the monarchy’s attempt to maintain control of cosmographic and cartographic data. Much of this data was codified over the years of production as the international cartographic practitioners employed by the Spanish crown developed certain sets of expected information to be presented on Iberian maps.


Aside from his insightful article, Padrón offers a more thorough and compelling study of Spanish cartographic practices in relationship to the roots of modernity in The Spacious Word: Cartography, Literature, and Empire in Early Modern Spain (Chicago, 2004).
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17 For more information see Mignolo, *The Darker Side*, 219–58.


20 AGI, México 359, r.6, n.28, f.1, *Cartas de Gobernadores, Francisco de Solís*, Junio 9, 1584.


22 Lefebvre, *The Production of Space*, 161.
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