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holes. The drill hall was divided by toilets into two squares. A sign in between the toilets said WELCOME TO CANADA in seven languages. I told the nearest standing official that I was a Canadian. He told me, in German, to sit down.

John Bell has, however, succeeded in conveying the social particularity of Halifax, strikingly anticipated in the cover painting, Elizabeth Nutt's streetscape Winter, George St., Halifax, N.S. (1935). Welcome to Halifax: A Literary Portrait.

Sandra Campbell
Department of English
University of Ottawa


In my first year of Architecture at the University of Toronto I had to write a critique of a building. Rashly, I chose University College and unwisely neglected to condemn it with vigour. In the mid-fifties, eclecticism was not in vogue and only the most vivid scholar could gain a serious hearing. I chose University College. Rashly, I chose University College and killed it with the heart of the book. Chapter Three reviews ambitious Neoclassic projects commissioned prior to the 1850s, presenting this work in numerous drawings never previously published. The first of these, Charles Fowler's scheme of 1829, was very conservative, dry and academic in character, planned on a grand scale, but utterly disregarding any consideration of local site conditions. He supplied an alternative, and equally gaunt gothic idiom for the same rigidly symmetrical quadrangles. His pavilion layout echoes Jefferson's earlier University of Virginia, but with none of the latter's muscular body, inventive detail and subtle adjustment to terrain. A later version of Fowler's classically-costumed proposition was developed by Thomas Young in the late 30s, and one residential unit of this scheme was actually built. In archive photos it looks strangely anachronistic, like the later Classical Revival of the 1920s.

Chapter Four deals with the evolution of Cumberland and Storm's design for University College more or less as we know it today. Interestingly, to judge from preliminary schemes discussed in this chapter, decisions regarding materials were side-stepped until the design had reached a high level of resolution. The vigorous Romanesque of this design was greatly influenced by Governor Head, Chancellor Langton, and senior faculty; it was not merely Cumberland's personal project. Nor can it be discounted as mere pastiche. The design was innovative, unprecedented, and demanding. More than thirty sheets of drawings were prepared for the south front alone, and drawing dates show that many details were revised repeatedly up to the last possible minute. In the end, construction began in haste and secrecy to forestall compromising the high standards envisaged.

Subtitled "A Manly, Noble Structure," Chapter Five provides a critical account of architectural substance, ideas and issues active in the design. These include such things as the unprecedented richness and variety of detailing, the lavish use of brilliant colour in windows and floor tiles. These respond to late 19th century Ruskinian ideals, and were contentious issues at the time, not so inevitably part and parcel of a Romanesque stylistic option as we frequently assume. Elaboration in Storm's design was driven by the Ruskinian command that "even the smallest detail should have a meaning or serve a purpose." Ironically, this ideal underlay mid-20th-century modernism which completely rejected these very
When completed, University College was received by the public of Toronto with an enthusiasm that no modern work has come anywhere near equalling. Not even Revell's City Hall gained quite the same level of public adulation. University College was declared "perfect," "the finest structure in Canada," and "the finest ... in the New World." Today, we long for such acceptance of innovative work, but rarely get it. Yet Storm ardently followed the Puginian theory that "every building that is treated naturally, without disguise or concealment, cannot fail to look well" — another mainstay of orthodox modernism. Somehow, 20th-century pursuit of this ideal has taken serious architecture so far away from cultural norms that the most ambitious work appeals to a small minority.

I rather suspect that both our rejection of eclecticism and our superficial return to it in Postmodernism are strongly influenced by a general lack of appreciation for the professionalism, dedication, and competence of the best nineteenth century architects. Richardson's account of University College well exemplifies the task of the critic: he provides access to the substance of the architecture, ideas and issues at levels not accessible to most observers. Reading Chapter Five, one can not see how the best 20th-century architects are clearly superior to Cumberland and Storm, either in the handling of technical details or in the understanding of and dedication to architectural ideals.

Richardson's treatment of University College enables us to understand this well loved building much better, both as an outstanding contribution to our discipline, and as a major event in the urban history of Toronto; certainly not as merely the work of an "easy going pasticheur." The book is a handsome, well-designed volume, profusely illustrated in colour as well as black and white, and a real bargain. University College, as it stands today, comes through in many fine photographs by John C. Wilson. Richardson's craftsman-like prose reads smoothly, like a well-told tale, or a fond reminiscence. After all, it is the content that counts, in writing as in building.

Stan Loten
Department of Architecture
Carleton University


Conservation Today accompanied a major exhibition of the same name, launched in the spring of 1989 by the Royal Fine Art Commission at the Royal Academy of Arts, London. The author (and Deputy Director of the exhibition), David Pearce, had played a significant role in the conservation of Britain's architectural heritage as a co-founder and Vice Chairman of SAVE Britain's Heritage and as Secretary of the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings.

The book is primarily a collection of case studies of the projects illustrated in the exhibition, but it is prefaced by a discussion of their legal, political and historical context. Together, this constitutes a thorough and well-documented history of heritage conservation in Britain since 1975.

In his introduction, Pearce identifies the mid-1970s as a time of fundamental change in attitude toward architectural conservation and cites 1975, European Architectural Heritage Year (EAHY), as a milestone in this early development. EAHY caught the imagination of a public disappointed with the results of post-war planning and housing policies, and it showed "a gentler way forward" which incorporated the preservation of historic buildings, conservation and adaptive reuse. Since that time, groups such as SAVE Britain's Heritage have campaigned to change legislation as well as attitudes. There is increasing awareness that monies spent on heritage more than pay for themselves in terms of tourism, job creation and other stimuli to the economy. There has been a shift in emphasis away from high style to "the charm of the nearly ordinary," and a corresponding fashion for nostalgia has evolved. There is also an increasing interest in conservation as a means of preserving and documenting social history.Changes in public opinion have been reflected in changing governmental and corporate attitudes, and the results—some successful and some not—are illustrated in the case studies which comprise the main body of the book.

Conservation Today is quite pointedly not addressed to a specifically professional audience but, according to the author, to any and all who have an interest in protecting and maintaining the built environment. His stated aim is to reinforce the current general approval of the retention and reuse of old buildings and to "remove ... the remaining sense of mystery about the shaping and reshaping of the familiar environment." His audience, while broadly based, is nonetheless geographically quite specific. Pearce details the history of architectural conservation and adaptive reuse in Britain and illustrates it with home-grown examples. There is no attempt to place this information in an international context, yet it can easily be appreciated for the context it provides the Canadian reader who is involved.