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Mannerism, until recently that much disparaged style of the 16th century, has become the focal point of a renewed interest in the post-Renaissance period. While Mannerism first appeared in Italy it quickly became an international phenomenon, establishing itself firmly where it developed a flavour of its own within the brilliant, glittering atmosphere of the court of Francis I and Henri II at Fontainebleau, between about 1530-60. Although the School of Fontainebleau is not directly represented in the National Gallery collections, the Gallery can point to examples by two artists, Jean Duvet (1485-c. 1561) and Jacques Bellange (1594-1638) whose work is related to, yet remained independent of the influences of Fontainebleau. An impression of the engraving Duvet Studying the Apocalypse was acquired as early as 1922 at a time when he was of little interest even to the collector. It was exchanged in 1954 for yet a better impression (plate 1).

The first plate, Duvet studying the Apocalypse, in some respects suggests the final scene of St. John on the Island of Patmos. It is generally supposed, however, that despite the appearance of an energetic man in his prime, Duvet treated this plate as a title-page and portrayed himself in the figure of the bearded man who is not writing but pondering the mysteries of the Apocalyptic visions. The identification with St. John is no doubt intentional. A man of sensitivity and imagination such as Duvet could not remain impervious to the vicissitudes of the religious wars or to the new and daring teachings of Luther and Calvin which were bringing about radical changes in the familiar, established world. To Duvet, troubled by the conflicts within and around him, the words of the prophet, "John, who also am your brother and companion in tribulation," must have held a particular immediacy. Further hidden allusions to Duvet are in the swan "l'oiseau à duvet", as well as in the "duvetouses" plants and the bird whose under plumage or "duvet" is exposed as the eagle tears out the feathers. It is this sort of recherché jeu-de-moi that artists of the School of Fontainebleau delighted in and deliberately sought out as a form of individual expression. At the superficial level they were mere witticisms but they...
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also served as a mask and their use often underscored a deep personal involvement. The swan bearing an arrow in its beak, having broken the chain that bound it to the lifeless tree trunk, swims triumphantly towards Duvet. It is the symbol of Duvet’s victory: MENS RESTAT (cf. VICTRIX + GRA / DEQ SVADET / OPUS; a reaffirmation of his faith at a time of spiritual upheaval.

Although Duvet enjoyed the patronage and protection of Francis I and Henri II as orfèvre du roi, religious oppression in France made it difficult for a man of his liberal tendencies to work as he wished. He therefore lived in self-imposed exile in Geneva from 1540-1536 and it was there that he worked for ten years on his illustrations to the Apocalypse, completing them in 1553. A Royal privilege that extended for twelve years was granted in the following year but he waited until 1561 before publishing them in Lyon. It has been suggested that the delay may have been due to the difficulty of finding a text that would be palatable to Catholic and Protestant alike, since some of the imagery was highly unorthodox. What place for instance have the Three Fates in the context of the Book of Revelation or in Catholic Christian iconography? Was this Duvet’s semi-obscure allusion to the doctrine of Predestination around which raged an agonizing controversy for every thinking man of the time?

Duvet’s intense fervour is communicated through his unique manner of composing and of handling the burin. While the crowded composition, the confused, spatial perspective, and the crude draughtsmanship stem from native Gothic tendencies, there is nothing of the naive copyist in his handling of the various elements within the picture plane. Despite his borrowings from such disparate sources as Dürrer (cf. Apocalypse of 1498), Mantegna and Michelangelo (anti-classical, distorted configuration of human forms), he creates something quite different. It is an attempt at a synthesis but since it is not quite resolved the effect on the spectator is one of discord and tension. The unsystematic handling of the burin, moving in all directions and cutting back on itself, contributes to the frenzied mood that becomes even more evident in the succeeding plates of the Apocalypse. For Duvet (who was trained as a goldsmith and not unfamiliar with the technical perfection of the engravings of Dürrer and Mantegna) the burin was surely no more than an instrument of expression and what we have been inclined to regard as technically backward is instead the deliberate cultivation of a particular and personal mannerism.

Bellange, at a much later date in the early 17th century, was to treat the new process of etching in an equally cavalier fashion, concerning himself less with its perfection than with its use as a form of expression that was peculiarly suited to his nervous, agitated line. In contrast to Duvet, Bellange is more highly mannered. Evidence of this “maniera” is reflected in the elongated forms, the small oval heads and the attenuated gesticulating fingers, stylizations which characterize the second generation of the School of Fontainebleau and of late international Mannerism. The extremely decorative, eccentric nature of Bellange’s work is seen here in one of the finest impressions of his etching of The Adoration of the Magi (plate 1) and in the rare black chalk drawing of St. John Preaching (plate 3). The drawing is probably later in date than the etching since the figures are slightly less bizarre and more softly rounded and Baroque in character. But in both there is a feeling of unreality as though players with exaggerated gestures are enacting some theatrical scene for their own amusement irrespective of the audience. The sense of unreality in both is heightened further by the irrational, uplifted and overcrowded composition. Bellange the man remains hidden behind the façade of his witty line and almost defies the spectator to interpret such obscure images as that of the two struggling figures in the Adoration. Clearly the symbolism is of struggle and triumph, probably spiritual, but the relationship of this symbol to the main theme of the composition remains an elegant riddle. Beyond the eighty or so drawings, the forty etchings and a handful of dubious paintings, little is known of Bellange who was court painter to the Duke of Lorraine from 1602-1616 at Nancy.

It has been suggested that “mannerism marked one of the deepest breaks in the history of art, and its rediscovery implies a similar break in our own day.”

1. Duvet was actually born in Dijon but the family came from Langres and Duvet himself married there, claimed citizenship and executed many works for the town.


(Traduction française, p. 80.)